arXiv:1507.02794v2 [math.AP] 24 Dec 2015

ELLIPTIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR BESSEL OPERATORS, WITH APPLICATIONS TO ANTI-DE SITTER SPACETIMES

ORAN GANNOT

Abstract. This paper considers boundary value problems for a class of singular elliptic operators which appear naturally in the study of asymptotically anti-de Sitter (aAdS) spacetimes. After formulating a Lopatinskiĭ condition, elliptic estimates are established near the boundary. The Fredholm property follows from additional hypotheses in the interior. This paper provide a rigorous framework for the mode analysis of aAdS spacetimes for the full range of boundary conditions considered in the physics literature. Completeness of eigenfunctions for some Bessel operator pencils with a spectral parameter in the boundary condition is shown, which has applications to linear stability of certain aAdS spacetimes.

The study of linear fields on asymptotically anti-de Sitter (aAdS) spaces has stimulated new interest in boundary value problems for a class of singular elliptic equations, wherein the operator $D_x^2 + (\nu^2 - 1/4)x^{-2}$ acts on one of the variables [21, 29, 30, 31, 53, 56, 57]. To formulate this class of operators more precisely, consider a product manifold $[0, \varepsilon) \times \partial X$, where ∂X is compact. The model for what we call a Bessel operator has the form

$$P(x, y, D_x, D_y) = D_x^2 + (\nu^2 - 1/4)x^{-2} + A(x, y, D_y),$$

where $(x, y) \in (0, \varepsilon) \times \partial X$ and A is a family of second order differential operators on ∂X depending smoothly on $x \in [0, \varepsilon)$. The parameter ν is required to be real and strictly positive. In the study of linear waves on aAdS spacetimes, ν is related to the mass of a scalar field — see Section 2 for more details. The condition $\nu > 0$ corresponds to the Breitenlohner–Freedman bound [10, 11].

Boundary data for this problem are formally defined by the following weighted restrictions:

$$\gamma_- u = x^{\nu - 1/2} u|_{\partial X}, \quad \gamma_+ u = x^{1-2\nu} \partial_x (x^{\nu - 1/2} u)|_{\partial X}.$$

Some care is needed to give precise meaning to these restrictions — see Section 3.4, along with an earlier discussion in Warnick [56]. The boundary operators in this paper are of the form $T = T^- \gamma_- + T^+ \gamma_+$, where T^-, T^+ are differential operators on ∂X of order at most one and zero respectively. This paper is concerned with solvability of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} Pu = f \text{ on } X \\ Tu = g \text{ on } \partial X \end{cases} \tag{0.1}$$

when $0 < \nu < 1$, and the simpler equation

$$Pu = f \text{ on } X \tag{0.2}$$

when $\nu \geq 1$. No boundary conditions are imposed when $\nu \geq 1$, since in that case u must satisfy a Dirichlet boundary condition. The difference between the cases $0 < \nu < 1$ and $\nu \geq 1$ is explained in more detail in the introduction to Section 4.

Ellipticity of the Bessel operator P is defined in Section 1.4. As in the study of smooth boundary value problems, there is also a notion of ellipticity for (0.1), given by a natural Lopatinskiĭ condition on the pair (P, T). This condition is introduced in Section 4.4. Elliptic estimates are proved in Theorem 1 of Section 4.9. When the operators P, T depend polynomially on a spectral parameter λ, there is a notion of parameter-ellipticity for both P and the boundary value problem (0.1). Theorem 2 of Section 4.10 provides elliptic estimates in terms of parameter-dependent norms, which are uniform as $|\lambda| \to \infty$ in the cone of ellipticity.

For the global problem, consider a compact manifold $\overline{X}$ where $[0, \varepsilon) \times \partial X$ is identified with a collar neighborhood of ∂X. Suppose that the restriction of P to this collar is a Bessel operator — see Section 1 for details. As in the case of smooth boundary value problems, estimates for P near ∂X may often be combined with estimates in the interior X to establish the Fredholm property (including some cases where P fails to be everywhere elliptic on X). In Section 5, a sufficient condition of this type is discussed. Furthermore, in the presence of a spectral parameter λ, unique solvability is established for λ in the cone of ellipticity provided $|\lambda|$ is sufficiently large.

Section 2 recalls the notion of an aAdS metric, which is the primary motivation for this paper. It is shown that the Fourier transformed Klein–Gordon operator is indeed a Bessel operator whose order ν depends on the Klein–Gordon mass. One goal is to study the Klein–Gordon equation by Fourier synthesis once its spectral family is understood, corresponding to the study of normal or quasinormal modes. This paper provides a rigorous framework for future work in that direction.

For stationary aAdS spacetimes with compact time slices and an everywhere timelike Killing field, Section 6 describes a class of boundary conditions which yield a complete set of normal modes associated to a discrete set of eigenvalues. Of particular interest are time-periodic boundary conditions which depend on ∂_t (hence depend on the spectral parameter after a Fourier transform). This is important for the study of modes with transparent or dissipative boundary conditions, along with superradiance phenomena [3, 30, 59].

In a forthcoming paper [24], discreteness of quasinormal frequencies is also established for massive fields on Kerr–AdS black holes with arbitrary rotation speed. These frequencies replace eigenvalues in scattering problems [14, 16, 24, 33, 40, 57]. When $0 < \nu < 1$, arbitrary boundary conditions satisfying the Lopatinskiĭ condition may be imposed on the field (although of course one does not have any completeness statement).

The approach of this paper is inspired by the texts of Roitberg [50] and Kozlov–Mazya–Rossman [41]. This approach is particularly suited to the singular nature of Bessel operators, and allows for the study of boundary value problems in low regularity spaces as needed in applications to general relativity — see Section 5. All the methods are classical, using only homogeneity properties of differential operators. The key is exploiting the theory of "twisted" derivatives as first emphasized in [56]. This is based on the classical observation that the one-dimensional Bessel operator $D_x^2+(\nu^2-1/4)x^{-2}$ admits a factorization as the product of a first order order operator and its adjoint; this first order operator is then treated as an elementary derivative.

Using a variational approach, Holzegel [29], Warnick [56, 57], and Holzegel–Warnick [32] derive some of the same (or similar) elliptic estimates. However, only the "classical" self-adjoint boundary conditions are handled when $0 < \nu < 1$; these are the Dirichlet ($T = \gamma_-$) and Robin boundary conditions ($T = \gamma_+ + \beta\gamma_-$ with real-valued β). The approach taken here accounts for a larger class of non-self-adjoint boundary conditions, which is optimal among differential boundary conditions.

The results of this paper should also be compared to earlier works of Vasy [53], Holzegel [29] on aAdS spaces, where a more restrictive measure is used to define the space of square integrable functions. In those works, the square integrability condition is equivalent to the generalized Dirichlet boundary condition. This limits the range of applications, since different boundary conditions are employed throughout the physics literature on aAdS spaces [3, 6, 10, 11, 18, 35, 60]

There is also a general microlocal approach to degenerate boundary value problems developed by Mazzeo–Melrose [48], Mazzeo [45], Mazzeo–Vertman [46], among many others. The work of Vasy [53] on aAdS spaces makes use of this technology. In particular, the elliptic theory in [46] could likely reproduce the results of this paper, and is also applicable to much more general classes of elliptic operators. On the other hand, the approach developed here is directly motivated by the physics literature. For instance, the Sobolev spaces used in this paper were originally defined in [56] to give precise meaning to the energy renormalization implicit in the work of Breitenlohner–Freedman [10]. There is also a simplicity advantage in using physical space methods, rather than a more sophisticated microlocal approach.

Bessel operators of the precise kind studied here arise in numerous contexts outside of general relativity with negative cosmological constant, both mathematical and physical. See for instance the monograph of Kipriyanov [39] and the substantial literature surrounding "generalized axially symmetric potentials" [22, 25, 34, 58, 61].

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. **Conventions for differential operators.**

If P is a smooth differential operator on a manifold Y, then in local coordinates,

$$P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(y) D_y^\alpha. \tag{1.1}$$

In that case the order of P is said to no greater than m. The smallest m for which there exists a nonzero coefficient a_α, $|\alpha| = m$ in some coordinate representation is the order of P, written $\operatorname{ord}(P)$. If the order of P is no greater than m, the symbol $\sigma_m(P)$ of P with respect to m is the polynomial function on T^*Y given in local coordinates by

$$\sigma_m(P)(y,\eta) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha(y)\eta^\alpha, \quad (y,\eta) \in T^*Y.$$

Thus if m is strictly larger than the order of P, then $\sigma_m(P) = 0$. The space of differential operators of order no greater than m is denoted $\mathrm{Diff}^m(Y)$. If P has order no greater than m with $m < 0$, then $P = 0$; conversely if $P = 0$, then P can assigned any negative order. This convention will be useful throughout Section 4.

The class of parameter-dependent differential operators on a manifold Y is defined as follows: $P \in \mathrm{Diff}^m_{(\lambda)}(Y)$ if in local coordinates,

$$P(y, D_y; \lambda) = \sum_{j+|\alpha| \leq m} a_{\alpha,j}(x)\lambda^j D_y^\alpha.$$

The parameter-dependent order $\operatorname{ord}^{(\lambda)}(P)$ is defined by assigning to λ^j the same weight as a derivative of order j. Thus the parameter-dependent principal symbol of P is given by

$$\sigma_m^{(\lambda)}(A) = \sum_{j+|\alpha|=m} a_{\alpha,j}(t)\lambda^j\eta^\alpha, \quad (y,\eta,\lambda) \in T^*Y \times \mathbb{C}.$$

If Y is a compact manifold without boundary, the parameter -dependent Sobolev norms on Y are defined by

$$|||u|||^2_{H^s(Y)} = |\lambda|^{2s}\|u\|^2_{H^0(Y)} + \|u\|^2_{H^s(Y)},$$

and a parameter-dependent operator $P \in \mathrm{Diff}^m_{(\lambda)}(Y)$ is bounded $P : H^s(Y) \to H^{s-m}(Y)$ uniformly with respect to $|\lambda|$ in these norms.

1.2. **Manifolds with boundary.** Let $\overline{X} = X \cup \partial X$ denote an n-dimensional manifold with compact boundary ∂X and interior X. A boundary defining function for ∂X is a function $x \in C^\infty(\overline{X})$ satisfying

$$x^{-1}(0) = \partial X, \quad x > 0 \text{ on } X, \quad dx|_{\partial X} \neq 0.$$

Given x, there exists an open subset $\overline{W} \supseteq \partial X$, a number $\varepsilon > 0$, and a diffeomorphism $\phi : [0,\varepsilon) \times \partial X \to \overline{W}$ such that $x \circ \phi$ agrees with the projection $[0,\varepsilon) \times \partial X$. A collar neighborhood of this type can be constructed as follows: choose a Riemannian metric g on $\overline{X}$ and consider the unit normal vector field $N = \nabla_g x / |\nabla_g x|_g$. This is well defined on $\{0 \leq x < \varepsilon\}$ provided $\varepsilon > 0$ is chosen sufficiently small to ensure $dx \neq 0$. Then let

$$\phi(s, y) = \exp(sN)(y)$$

where $\exp(sN)$ is the flow of N for $s \in [0,\varepsilon)$ and $y \in \partial X$. A collar diffeomorphism of this type is said to be compatible with x. Unless otherwise specified, a manifold with boundary $\overline{X}$ will always refer to $\overline{X}$ equipped with a distinguished boundary defining function x and a choice of compatible collar diffeomorphism ϕ (note that ϕ also depends on a metric g used to define the vector field N).

1.3. **Bessel operators.** Given $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, formally define the differential operator ∂_ν by the formula

$$\partial_\nu = \partial_x + (\nu - 1/2)x^{-1} = x^{1/2-\nu}\partial_x x^{\nu - 1/2}.$$

Furthermore, let $\partial_\nu^* = -x^{\nu-1/2}\partial_x x^{1/2-\nu}$, which is the formal adjoint of ∂_ν with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}_+$. Similarly, let $D_\nu = -i\partial_\nu$ and $D_\nu^* = i\partial_\nu^*$. Note that

$$|D_\nu|^2 := D_\nu^* D_\nu = D_x^2 + (\nu^2 - 1/4)x^{-2}$$

is the one-dimensional Bessel operator in Schrödinger form.

Definition 1. Let $\overline{X}$ denote a manifold with compact boundary. A differential operator $P \in \mathrm{Diff}^2(X)$ is called a Bessel operator of order $\nu > 0$ if there exist

$$A = A(x, y, D_y) \in \mathrm{Diff}^2(\partial X), \quad B = B(x, y, D_y) \in \mathrm{Diff}^1(\partial X),$$

depending smoothly on $x \in [0,\varepsilon)$, such that $B(0, y, D_y) = 0$ and

$$\phi^* P = |D_\nu|^2 + B(x, y, D_y)D_\nu + A(x, y, D_y) \tag{1.2}$$

The set of such operators is denoted by $\mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X)$.

Remark. The requirement that $|D_\nu|^2$ appears with unit coefficient is not at all essential. If the coefficient is a positive function smooth up to $x = 0$, then the quotient of P by this coefficient is a Bessel operator as above, and this normalization does not affect any of the arguments in Sections 4, 5.

The class of Bessel operators depends strongly on the pair (x, ϕ), where x is a boundary defining function and ϕ is a collar diffeomorphism compatible with x in the sense of Section 1.2. To make this explicit, write $\mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X; x, \phi)$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let x, ρ be two boundary defining functions with associated collar diffeomorphisms ϕ_x, ϕ_ρ. Given $p \in \overline{W}_x$, write*

$$\phi_x^{-1}(p) = (x(p), y(p)) \in [0, \varepsilon_x) \times \partial X.$$

Let $\Phi = \phi_x^{-1} \circ \phi_\rho$, so that $\Phi(t, z) = ((x \circ \phi_\rho)(t, z), (y \circ \phi_\rho)(t, z))$ for each $(t, z) \in [0, \varepsilon) \times \partial X$. If

$$\partial_t^2 (x \circ \phi_\rho)(0, \cdot) = 0, \quad \partial_t (y \circ \phi_\rho)(0, \cdot) = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

and $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X; \rho, \phi_\rho)$, then there exists a positive function $f \in C^\infty(\overline{W}_x \cap \overline{W}_\rho)$ such that $fP \in \mathrm{Bess}(X; x, \phi_x)$.

Proof. The condition (1.3) gives

$$(x \circ \phi_\rho)(t, z) = t\partial_t (x \circ \phi_\rho)(0, z) + \mathcal{O}(t^3), \quad (y \circ \phi_\rho)(t, z) = (y \circ \phi_\rho)(0, z) + \mathcal{O}(t^2).$$

The result can be established from the composition rule for derivatives in local coordinates. ☐

Lemma 1.2. *Suppose that x is a boundary defining function, and that ϕ_x is given by the flow of $\nabla_g x / |\nabla_g x|_g$ with respect to a fixed metric g defined near ∂X. Let $\rho = e^w x$ and $h = e^{2w} g$, where w is smooth near ∂X and $\partial_x w(0) = 0$. If ϕ_ρ is given by the flow of $\nabla_h \rho / |\nabla_h \rho|_h$, then $\Phi := \phi_x^{-1} \circ \phi_\rho$ satisfies (1.3).*

Proof. First write

$$x(\phi_\rho(t, z)) = e^{-w(\phi_\rho(t,z))} \rho(\phi_\rho(t, z)) = e^{-w(\phi_\rho(t,z))} t,$$

which gives

$$\partial_t^2 (x \circ \phi_\rho)(t, z) = e^{-w(\phi_\rho(t,z))} \left(-2\partial_t (w \circ \phi_\rho) + t\partial_t (w \circ \phi_\rho)^2 + t\partial_t^2 (w \circ \phi_\rho) \right)(t, z).$$

This quantity vanishes at $t = 0$ provided $\partial_t (w \circ \phi_\rho)(0, z) = 0$, as assumed in the lemma. Next, write

$$\nabla_h \rho = x e^w \nabla_h w + e^w \nabla_h x = e^{-w}(x \nabla_g w + \nabla_g x).$$

Thus $\nabla_h \rho = e^{-w} \nabla_g x$ and

$$\frac{\nabla_h \rho}{|\nabla_h \rho|_h} = e^w \frac{\nabla_g x}{|\nabla_g x|}$$

when restricted to ∂X. Therefore $\partial_t (y \circ \phi_\rho)(0, z)$ is proportional to $(\nabla_h \rho)_z y$ for any $z \in \partial X$, since $\phi_\rho(0, z) = z \in \partial X$. Further, $(\nabla_h \rho)_z y$ is proportional to $(\nabla_g x)_z y$, which is proportional to $\partial_t (y \circ \phi_x)(0, z)$. But $(y \circ \phi_x)(t, z) = z$ for all t, so the derivative of this constant function vanishes, finishing the proof. ☐

In Lemma 1.2 the relation $h = e^{2w}g$ can be replaced by $h = e^v g$ for any smooth v, but in practice h will be related to g as stated.

Definition 2. A (smooth, positive) density μ on $\overline{X}$ is said to be of product type near ∂X if

$$\phi^*\mu = |dx| \otimes \mu_{\partial X}$$

for a fixed density $\mu_{\partial X}$ on ∂X.

It is always possible to choose a density of product type near ∂X. This is useful in light of the next lemma. If $\overline{X}$ is compact, then $L^2(X)$ may be defined as the space of square integrable functions with respect to any smooth density μ on $\overline{X}$, in particular one of product type near ∂X.

Lemma 1.3. *Suppose that μ is of product type near ∂X. If $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X)$, then $P^* \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X)$, where P^* is the formal adjoint of P with respect to μ.*

Proof. The pullback of P^* to $(0,\varepsilon) \times \partial X$ is given by

$$|D_\nu|^2 + D_\nu^* B^* + A^*,$$

where A^*, B^* are the formal adjoints of A, B with respect to $\mu_{\partial X}$.

On the other hand, $D_\nu^* B^* = B^* D_\nu^* + [D_x, B^*]$. Furthermore, since $B = xB_1$ for a first order operator B_1 on ∂X depending smoothly on $x \in [0,\varepsilon) \times \partial X$, it follows that

$$B^* D_\nu^* = x B_1^* D_\nu^* = B_1^*(xD_x - i(1/2 - \nu)) = B^* D_\nu - i(1/2-\nu)B_1^*,$$

which completes the proof since the multiple of B_1^* as well as $[D_x, B^*]$ may be absorbed into A^*. □

For the local theory, it is convenient to work on $\mathbb{T}^n_+ = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$, where $\mathbb{T}^{n-1} = (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$. The set of Bessel operators on $\mathbb{T}^n_+$ is defined with respect to the canonical product decomposition on $\mathbb{T}^n_+$. Thus $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ if

$$P(x,y,D_\nu,D_y) = |D_\nu|^2 + \sum_{|\beta| \le 1} b_\beta(x,y) D_y^\beta D_\nu + \sum_{|\alpha|\le 2} a_\alpha(x,y) D_y^\alpha$$

for $b_\beta \in xC^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})$ and $a_\alpha \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})$. When working on $\mathbb{T}^n_+$, the functions b_β, a_α are referred to as the *coefficients* of P

Fix a coordinate chart $Y \subseteq \partial X$ and a diffeomorphism $\theta : Y \to V$, where V is an open subset of $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$. Setting

$$U = \phi([0,\varepsilon) \times Y),$$

the map $\psi : U \to [0,\varepsilon) \times V$ given by $\psi = (1 \times \theta) \circ \phi^{-1}$ defines a boundary coordinate chart on $\overline{X}$. Given $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X)$, there clearly exists $P_U \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ such that

$$Pu = P_U(u \circ \psi)$$

for each $u \in C_c^\infty(U^\circ)$. Furthermore, it is always possible to arrange it so that the coefficients of P_U (in the sense of the previous paragraph) are constant outside a compact subset of $\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+}$.

1.4. **Ellipticity and the boundary symbol.** Given $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X)$ which near ∂X has the form

$$P = |D_\nu|^2 + BD_\nu + A,$$

let $A_0(y, D_y) = A(0, y, D_y)$. Ellipticity of P at a point $p \in \partial X$ is defined via the function

$$\xi^2 + \sigma_2(A_0)(p, \eta), \tag{1.4}$$

which is a homogeneous polynomial of degree two in $(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times T^*_p\partial X$.

Definition 3. The Bessel operator $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X)$ is said to be (properly) elliptic at $p \in \partial X$ if for each $\eta \in T^*_p\partial X \setminus 0$ the polynomial

$$\xi \mapsto \xi^2 + \sigma_2(A_0)(p, \eta) \tag{1.5}$$

has no real roots.

Ellipticity at $p \in \partial X$ is equivalent to the statement that the homogeneous polynomial $\xi^2 + \sigma_2(A_0)(p, \eta)$ is elliptic in (ξ, η). Thus, ellipticity implies the existence of nonreal roots $\pm\xi(p, \eta)$, where $\operatorname{Im} \xi(p, \eta) < 0$ by convention.

For each $(y, \eta) \in T^*\partial X \setminus 0$, the symbol $\sigma_2(A_0)(y, \eta)$ determines a family of one dimensional Bessel operators given by

$$\widehat{P}_{(y,\eta)} = |D_\nu|^2 + \sigma_2(A_0)(y, \eta). \tag{1.6}$$

The operator $\widehat{P}_{(y,\eta)}$ is called the boundary symbol operator of P. Let $\mathcal{M}_+(y, \eta)$ denote the space of solutions to the equation

$$\widehat{P}_{(y,\eta)} u = 0$$

which are bounded as $x \to \infty$. Ellipticity at $p \in \partial X$ implies that $\dim \mathcal{M}_+(p, \eta) = 1$ for each $\eta \in T^*_p\partial X \setminus 0$. Indeed, the space of solutions to $\widehat{P}_{(p,\eta)} u = 0$ is spanned by the modified Bessel functions

$$\left\{ x^{1/2} K_\nu(i\xi(p, \eta)x),\, x^{1/2} I_\nu(i\xi(p, \eta)x) \right\}.$$

Since $\operatorname{Re} i\xi(p, \eta) > 0$, it follows that

$$x^{1/2} K_\nu(i\xi(p, \eta)x) = \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-x/C}\right), \quad x \to \infty,$$

while the second solution grows exponentially [49, Chapter 7.8]. Thus only the first solution can possible lie in $\mathcal{M}_+(p, \eta)$.

1.5. Parameter-dependent Bessel operators.

Definition 4. Let $\overline{X}$ denote a compact manifold with boundary as in Section 1.2. A differential operator $P(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Diff}^2_{(\lambda)}(X)$ is called a parameter-dependent Bessel operator of order $\nu > 0$ if there exist

$$A(\lambda) = A(x, y, D_y; \lambda) \in \mathrm{Diff}^2_{(\lambda)}(\partial X), \quad B(\lambda) = B(x, y, D_y; \lambda) \in \mathrm{Diff}^1_{(\lambda)}(\partial X)$$

depending smoothly on $x \in [0, \varepsilon_x)$, such that $B(0, y, D_y; \lambda) = 0$ and

$$\phi_x^* P(\lambda) = |D_\nu|^2 + B(x, y, D_y; \lambda) D_\nu + A(x, y, D_y; \lambda) \tag{1.7}$$

The set of such operators is denoted by $\mathrm{Bess}_\nu^{(\lambda)}(X)$.

Ellipticity with parameter is defined by replacing the standard principal symbol of A with its parameter-dependent version. Begin by fixing an angular sector $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 5. A parameter-dependent Bessel operator $P(\lambda)$ is said to be (properly) parameter-elliptic with respect to Λ at $p \in \partial X$ if for each $(\eta, \lambda) \in T_p^*\partial X \times \Lambda \setminus 0$, the polynomial

$$\xi \mapsto \xi^2 + \sigma_2^{(\lambda)}(A_0)(p, \eta; \lambda) \tag{1.8}$$

has no real roots.

Similarly, for $(y, \eta, \lambda) \in T^*\partial X \times \Lambda \setminus 0$, define

$$\widehat{P}_{(y,\eta;\lambda)} = |D_\nu|^2 + \sigma_2^{(\lambda)}(A_0)(y, \eta; \lambda),$$

and then let $\mathcal{M}_+(y, \eta; \lambda)$ denote the space of solutions to $\widehat{P}_{(y,\eta;\lambda)} u = 0$ which are bounded as $x \to \infty$. As before, this space is one-dimensional.

2. MOTIVATION: ASYMPTOTICALLY ANTI-DE SITTER MANIFOLDS

This section recalls the notion of an asymptotically anti-de Sitter (aAdS) metric. Then, a convenient expression for the Klein–Gordon equation is given with respect to a certain product decomposition near the conformal boundary. By means of a Fourier transform, the initial boundary value problem for the Klein–Gordon equation is reduced to the study of the boundary value problem for a stationary partial differential equation depending polynomially on the spectral parameter. The corresponding operator is a Bessel operator whose order ν depends on the Klein–Gordon parameter; the condition $\nu > 0$ translates into the well known Breitenlohner–Freedman bound.

2.1. **aAdS metrics.** Let $\overline{X}$ denote an n-dimensional compact manifold with boundary as in Section 1.2, and set $\overline{M} = \mathbb{R} \times \overline{X}$. Here $t \in \mathbb{R}$ will denote a global time coordinate.

Remark. There is a one-to-one correspondence between boundary defining functions ρ on $\overline{M}$ satisfying $\partial_t \rho = 0$ and boundary defining functions x for $\overline{X}$: given ρ with $\partial_t \rho = 0$, define $x(\cdot) = \rho(t_0, \cdot)$ for any $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$; conversely, given x, define $\rho(t, \cdot) = x(\cdot)$.

A boundary defining function ρ on M satisfying $\partial_t \rho = 0$ is said to be *stationary*.

Definition 6. A smooth Lorentzian metric g on $\overline{M}$ is said to asymptotically simple if there exists a boundary defining function $\rho \in C^\infty(\overline{M})$ such that

(1) the Lorentzian metric $\bar{g} = \rho^2 g$ admits a smooth extension to $\overline{M}$,

(2) the restriction $\bar{g}|_{T\partial M}$ is again Lorentzian.

The map $g \mapsto \bar{g}|_{\partial M}$ depends on g and a choice of boundary defining function. However, the conformal class $[\bar{g}|_{T\partial M}]$ depends only on g, since any two boundary defining functions differ by a positive multiple. Also note that if g is asymptotically simple, then $d\rho$ is spacelike for $\bar{g}|_{\partial M}$.

Definition 7. An asymptotically simple manifold $(\overline{M}, g)$ is said to be aAdS if there exists a boundary defining function ρ such that $|d\rho|^2_{\bar{g}} = -1$ on ∂M.

The aAdS property does not depend on the choice of boundary defining function. In addition to being aAdS, suppose that g is *stationary* in the sense that ∂_t is a Killing vector field for g. For the remainder of this section, all metrics are assumed to be stationary. This allows for a reduction to compact time slices.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose* $\gamma \in [\bar{g}|_{T\partial M}]$ *is stationary. Then there exists a stationary boundary defining function* x *such that* $x^2 g|_{T\partial M} = \gamma$.

Proof. Choose any boundary defining function $\tilde{\rho}$ such that $\tilde{\rho}^2 g|_{T\partial M} = \gamma$, and define x on $\overline{X}$ by

$$x(p) = \tilde{\rho}(0, p), \quad p \in \overline{X}.$$

Since γ is stationary, it follows that $x^2 g|_{T\partial M} = \gamma$. $\square$

Suppose g and $\gamma \in [\bar{g}|_{T\partial M}]$ are stationary, with x as in Lemma 2.1. Let $s \mapsto \phi(s, \cdot)$ denote the flow on $\overline{M}$ corresponding to $\nabla_{x^2 g} x$; note this vector field commutes with ∂_t.

Lemma 2.2. *There exists* $\varepsilon > 0$ *and an open neighborhood* $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ *of* ∂M *in* $\overline{M}$ *such that*

$$\phi : [0, \varepsilon)_s \times \partial M \to \overline{\mathcal{C}}$$

is a diffeomorphism.

Proof. Since ∂_t and the infinitesimal generator $\nabla_{x^2g}x$ commute, it follows that $\phi(s,\cdot)$ commutes with the flow of ∂_t, namely the time translations. Since ∂X is compact, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and an open neighborhood U of $\{t = 0\} \times \partial X$ in ∂M such that ϕ is a diffeomorphism from $[0, \varepsilon) \times U$ onto its range. It now suffices to commute ϕ with time translations $(t_0, p) \mapsto (t_0 + t, p)$ to construct a diffeomorphism valid for all times. □

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $(\overline{M}, g)$ is an aAdS spacetime. If g and $\gamma_0 \in [\bar{g}|_{T\partial M}]$ are stationary, then there exists a unique stationary boundary defining function x such that:*

(1) $x^2g|_{T\partial M} = \gamma_0$,

(2) $|dx|^2_{x^2g} = -1$ in a collar neighborhood $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ of ∂M as in Lemma 2.2.

Proof. The proof of [26, Lemma 5.2] goes through essentially unchanged. Let ρ be any stationary boundary defining function such that $\rho^2 g|_{T\partial M} = \gamma_0$. Write $\bar{g} = \rho^2 g$ and

$$x = e^w \rho.$$

Then the equation $|dx|^2_{x^2g} = -1$ is equivalent to

$$-1 = |d(e^w\rho)|^2_{e^{2w}\bar{g}} = |d\rho|^2_{\bar{g}} + 2\rho\, \langle dw, d\rho\rangle_{\bar{g}} + \rho^2 |dw|^2_{\bar{g}}. \tag{2.1}$$

This is the same as

$$2(\nabla_{\bar{g}}\rho)w + \rho|dw|^2_{\bar{g}} = -\rho^{-1}(1 + |d\rho|^2_{\bar{g}}),$$

which is a noncharacteristic first order equation for w since $d\rho$ is spacelike. Since ρ and $\bar{g}$ are stationary, this equation can be solved uniquely for all times with the initial condition $w|_{\partial M} = 0$. □

If x satisfies the condition described in Lemma 2.3, then x is said to be a special boundary defining function. Note the integral curves of $\nabla_{x^2g}x$ are geodesics near ∂M. Thus the Gauss lemma implies that

$$\phi_x^*(g) = \frac{-ds^2 + \gamma(s)}{s^2} \tag{2.2}$$

on $[0, \varepsilon) \times \partial M$, where ϕ_x is the diffeomorphism considered in Lemma 2.2. Here $s \mapsto \gamma(s)$ is a smooth family of stationary Lorentzian metrics on ∂M, such that $\gamma(0) = \gamma_0$. Furthermore, $\phi_x^* x = s$, so by an abuse of notation it is convenient to write $g = x^{-2}(-dx^2 + \gamma(x))$ on the collar neighborhood $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$.

Definition 8. The metric g as in Lemma 2.3 is said to even modulo $\mathcal{O}(x^3)$ (in the sense of Guillarmou [28]) if there exists a two-tensor γ_1 on ∂M such that

$$\gamma(s) = \gamma_0 + s^2\gamma_1 + \mathcal{O}(s^3).$$

As in [28, Proposition 2.1], this evenness property is instrinsic to the conformal class $[\bar{g}|_{T\partial M}]$ in the sense that it does not depend on the particular representative. The fundamental class of aAdS metrics which are even modulo $\mathcal{O}(x^3)$ are the Einstein aAdS metrics, see [2, Section 2] for example. This includes all of the physically motivated aAdS spaces. The Einstein condition also enforces additional conditions on the expansion of $\gamma(s)$ which are not exploited here — in the asymptotically hyperbolic setting, see Mazzeo–Pacard [47, Section 2].

The following criterion is frequently easy to verify for aAdS spacetimes.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that ρ is stationary. If $\rho^2 g$ has an expansion*

$$\phi_\rho^*(\rho^2 g)(s,y,ds,dy) = -ds^2 + \gamma_0(y,dy) + \gamma_1(y,ds,dy)s + \mathcal{O}(s^2)$$

with $\gamma_1 = 0$, then g is even modulo $\mathcal{O}(x^3)$.

Proof. Let x denote the unique stationary boundary defining function associated to the representative $\rho^2 g$. Write $x = e^w \rho$ for some w. Then (2.1) implies that after dividing by ρ,

$$2\partial_\rho w = \mathcal{O}(\rho),$$

so $\partial_\rho w = 0$ at the boundary. The result can then be deduced from Lemma 1.2. $\square$

2.2. **The Klein–Gordon equation.** Fix a stationary aAdS spacetime $(\overline{M}, g)$, equipped with a geodesic boundary defining function x as in Lemma 2.3. Furthermore, suppose that g is even modulo $\mathcal{O}(x^3)$ in the sense of Definition 8. In light of the product decomposition (2.2) it follows that near ∂M,

$$\Box_g = x^2 D_x^2 + i(n-1+e(x))xD_x + x^2 \Box_{\gamma(x)},$$

where $x \mapsto e(x)$ is a smooth family of functions on ∂X such that

$$e(x) = x^2 e_0 + \mathcal{O}(x^3)$$

for some $e_0 \in C^\infty(\partial X)$. Indeed, $e(x) = (1/2)x\partial_x \log(\det \gamma(x))$, and $\det \gamma(x) = \det \gamma_0 + \mathcal{O}(x^2)$. Given $\nu > 0$, let

$$P_g = x^{-(n+1)/2}(\Box_g + \nu^2 - n^2/4)x^{(n-1)/2},$$

which corresponds to conjugating the Klein–Gordon operator with mass $\nu^2 - n^2/4$ by $x^{(n-1)/2}$ and then multiplying by x^2. Explicitly,

$$P_g = D_x^2 + (\nu^2 - 1/4)x^{-2} + ix^{-1}e(x)D_x + \Box_{\gamma(x)}.$$

In particular $P_g = \Box_{\bar{g}} + \nu^2 - 1/4$, where $\bar{g} = x^2 g$ is smooth up to ∂M. Using that g is stationary, it is possible to define the spectral family

$$P(\lambda) = e^{i\lambda t} P_g e^{-i\lambda t} \tag{2.3}$$

which is naturally a differential operator on $\overline{X}$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(\overline{M}, g)$ denote a stationary aAdS spacetime. Suppose x is a geodesic boundary defining function, and g is even modulo $\mathcal{O}(x^3)$. Define the rescaled and conjugated stationary Klein–Gordon operator $P(\lambda)$ on X by (2.3). Then $P(\lambda)$ is a parameter-dependent Bessel operator on X of order ν with respect to x.*

Proof. As indicated above,

$$P(\lambda) = D_x^2 + ix^{-1}e(x)D_x + (\nu^2 - 1/4)x^{-2} + e^{i\lambda t}\Box_{\gamma(x)}e^{-i\lambda t}$$

for $x \in [0, \varepsilon)$. Since $e(x) = x^2 e_0 + \mathcal{O}(x^3)$, it follows that $x^{-1}e(x) \in xC^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{C}})$. Furthermore, $x^{-1}e(x)D_x$ can be replaced by $x^{-1}e(x)D_\nu$ since the two differ by a smooth bounded function. Finally, $e^{i\lambda t}\Box_{\gamma(x)}e^{-i\lambda t}$ may be considered as a family of parameter-dependent second order operators on ∂X, depending smoothly on $x \in [0, \varepsilon)$. ☐

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that ∂_t is timelike for γ_0 in the sense that $\gamma_0(\partial_t, \partial_t) > 0$. Then $P(\lambda)$ is elliptic on ∂X in the sense of Section 1.4.*

Proof. Consider the metric $g_0 = -dx^2 + \gamma_0$ on $[0, \infty) \times \partial X$. If $\eta \cdot dy$ is the canonical one-form on ∂X, then the function (1.4) is formally obtained by evaluating

$$-g_0^{-1}(\xi\, dx + \eta \cdot dy - \lambda\, dt, \xi\, dx + \eta \cdot dy - \lambda\, dt)$$

at $\lambda = 0$. But if $\partial_t^\flat$ denotes the covector dual to ∂_t with respect to g_0, then

$$\lambda = -g_0^{-1}(\partial_t^\flat, \xi\, dx + \eta \cdot dy - \lambda\, dt)$$

If this latter quantity vanishes, then the covector $\xi\, dx + \eta \cdot dy$ is orthogonal to the timelike covector $\partial_t^\flat$. Thus $(\xi, \eta) \mapsto -g_0^{-1}(\xi\, dx + \eta \cdot dy, \xi\, dx + \eta \cdot dy)$ is positive definite for each $y \in \partial X$. ☐

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that dt is timelike for γ_0 in the sense that $\gamma_0^{-1}(dt, dt) > 0$. Then $P(\lambda)$ is parameter-elliptic on ∂X in the sense of Section 1.5 with respect to any angular sector $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ disjoint from $\mathbb{R} \setminus 0$.*

Proof. In the notation of Lemma 2.6 above, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the function (1.8) is formally obtained by evaluating

$$\begin{aligned} &-g_0^{-1}(\xi\, dx + \eta \cdot dy - \operatorname{Re}\lambda\, dt, \xi\, dx + \eta \cdot dy - \operatorname{Re}\lambda\, dt) + (\operatorname{Im}\lambda)^2\gamma_0^{-1}(dt, dt) \\ &+2i\,(\operatorname{Im}\lambda)\, g_0^{-1}(\xi\, dx + \eta \cdot dy - \operatorname{Re}\lambda\, dt, dt). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

If the imaginary part of this quantity vanished but $\operatorname{Im}\lambda \neq 0$, then $\xi\, dx + \eta \cdot dy - \operatorname{Re}\lambda\, dt$ would be orthogonal to dt. Thus g_0^{-1} would negative definite on vectors of this form, which shows that the real part does not vanish. ☐

3. FUNCTION SPACES AND MAPPING PROPERTIES

The purpose of this section is to define Sobolev-type spaces $\mathcal{H}^s$ based on the elementary derivatives ∂_ν and $|D_\nu|^2$, both on $\mathbb{T}^n_+$ and on a manifold with boundary. Finally, it is shown that Bessel operators act continuously between these spaces.

The exposition is closest to that of [56], where these "twisted" Sobolev spaces were first introduced in the context of aAdS geometry. The relationship between $\mathcal{H}^1$ and certain weighted Sobolev spaces was exploited both in [56] and also in the closely related study of asymptotically hyperbolic spaces in [15]. Similar spaces are also defined in [36], and in [37, 63] as related to the Hankel transform.

Throughout this section the spaces $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ are defined with respect to ordinary Lebesgue measure, and $H^m(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ denotes the standard Sobolev space of order m on $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$. The notation $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+) := L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is also frequently used.

3.1. **The weighted space $H^1_\mu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.**

Given $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$H^1_\mu(\mathbb{T}^n_+) = \{u \in \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{T}^n_+) : x^{\frac{\mu}{2}}\partial^\alpha u \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq 1\},$$

which is a Hilbert space under the norm

$$\|u\|^2_{H^1_\mu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq 1} \|x^{\frac{\mu}{2}}\partial^\alpha u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}.$$

Furthermore, let $\mathring{H}^1_\mu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ denote the closure of $C^\infty_c(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ in H^1_μ. These spaces are well studied, see Lions [42], Grisvard [27] for example.

Lemma 3.1. *The following hold for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$.*

1. *If $|\mu| < 1$, then $C^\infty_c(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})$ is dense in $H^1_\mu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.*
2. *If $|\mu| \geq 1$, then $H^1_\mu(\mathbb{T}^n_+) = \mathring{H}^1_\mu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.*

Proof. Proofs of these facts may be found in [27, 42]. □

Given a Hilbert space E, let $H^1_\mu(\mathbb{R}_+; E)$ denote the Hilbert space of E-valued distributions $u \in \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+; E)$ such that

$$x^{\frac{\mu}{2}}u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; E), \quad x^{\frac{\mu}{2}}u' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; E),$$

equipped with obvious norm. The Sobolev embedding theorem in this setting, [27, Proposition 1.1'], says that $H^1_\mu(\mathbb{R}_+; E) \hookrightarrow C^0(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+}; E)$ for $\mu < 1$, thus the map $u \mapsto u(0)$ is continuous $H^1_\mu(\mathbb{R}_+; E) \to E$. Since $H^1_\mu(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \subseteq H^1_\mu(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}))$, it follows that any $u \in H^1_\mu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ admits a trace

$$u \mapsto u|_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}). \tag{3.1}$$

Furthermore, the kernel of $u \mapsto u|_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}$ is $\mathring{H}^1_\mu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ — see [27, Proposition 1.2]. The next lemma improves upon the regularity of this restriction.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $\mu < 1$. Then the restriction*

$$u \mapsto u|_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}, \quad u \in C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})$$

extends uniquely to continuous map $\gamma : H^1_\mu(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to H^{(1-\mu)/2}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, and furthermore γ admits a continuous right inverse.

Sketch of proof. By the Sobolev embedding, any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+}) \subseteq H^1_\mu(\mathbb{R}_+)$ admits an estimate of the form

$$|\varphi(0)|^2 \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x^\mu \left(|\varphi|^2 + |\varphi'|^2\right) dx.$$

Apply this inequality to the function $\varphi(sx)$, and then choose s (depending on φ) satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^\mu |\varphi|^2 \, dx = s^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^\mu |\varphi'|^2 \, dx.$$

This yields the estimate

$$|\varphi(0)|^2 \leq 2C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x^\mu |\varphi|^2 dx\right)^{(1-\mu)/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} x^\mu |\varphi'|^2 dx\right)^{(1+\mu)/2}. \tag{3.2}$$

Now consider $u \in H^1_\mu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ and let $\hat{u}(q)$ denote its Fourier coefficients, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. It suffices to apply the inequality (3.2) to $\hat{u}(q)$, which lies in $H^1_\mu(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for each $q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. Multiplying (3.2) by $\langle q \rangle^{1-\mu}$ and summing over all q, it follows that

$$\|\gamma u\|_{H^{(1-\mu)/2}} \leq C \|u\|_{H^1_\mu(\mathbb{R}_+)}.$$

The unique continuation of γ follows from the density of $C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})$ in $H^1_\mu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. That γ admits a right inverse is also straightforward, see Lemma A.3 for a closely related result. □

The trace $u \mapsto \gamma u$ defined in Lemma 3.2 agrees with the restriction given by (3.1) since they both agree on the dense set $C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})$.

3.2. The space $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Given $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+) = \{u \in \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{T}^n_+) : \partial_\nu^j \partial_y^\alpha u \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \text{ for } j + |\alpha| \leq 1\},$$

where $\partial_\nu^j \partial_y^\alpha u$ is taken in the sense of distributions on $\mathbb{T}^n_+$. Then $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is a Hilbert space when equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} = \sum_{j+|\alpha|\leq 1} \|\partial_\nu^j \partial_y^\alpha u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)},$$

The space $\mathcal{H}^1_*(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is defined analogously by replacing ∂_ν with its formal adjoint ∂_ν^*. Let $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ denote the closure of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ in $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, and similarly for $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1_*(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Lemma 3.3. *If $\nu \neq 0$, then $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+) = \mathring{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ with an equivalence of norms.*

Proof. Let $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. Note that

$$0 \leq \langle \partial_\nu u, \partial_\nu u \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} = \|\partial_x u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} + (\nu^2 - 1/4)\|x^{-1}u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)},$$

so Hardy's inequality in one dimension follows by plugging in $\nu = 0$. From this and for any $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|\partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} + |\nu - 1/2|\|x^{-1}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C_\nu \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}.$$

Conversely, If $|\nu| \geq 1/2$, then $\nu^2 - 1/4 \geq 0$, so

$$\|\partial_x u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)} \leq \|\partial_\nu u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)}.$$

If $0 < |\nu| < 1/2$, then $\nu^2 - 1/4 < 0$, so

$$(4\nu^2 - 1)\|\partial_x u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq (\nu^2 - 1/4)\|x^{-1}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}.$$

This also gives $4\nu^2\|\partial_x u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq \|\partial_\nu u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$. In either case, adding the $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ norms of $\partial_y^\alpha u$ for $|\alpha| \leq 1$ shows that

$$C_\nu^{-1}\|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C_\nu \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$$

for a constant $C_\nu > 0$ depending on $\nu \neq 0$. The result follows from the density of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ in both spaces. □

The basic observation concerning $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is that the map $\mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ given by $u \mapsto x^{\nu - 1/2}u$ restricts to an isometric isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to H^1_{1-2\nu}(\mathbb{T}^n_+).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $x^{1/2-\nu}C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ if $0 < \nu < 1$, and $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+) = \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ if $\nu \geq 1$. Using Lemma 3.2, it is also possible to define weighted traces of $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ functions, as will be explained in Section 3.4.

3.3. The space $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Given $\nu > 0$, define

$$\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) = \{u \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+) : \partial_\nu u \in \mathcal{H}^1_*(\mathbb{T}^n_+), \text{ and } \partial_y^\alpha u \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq 1\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ becomes a Hilbert space when equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} = \|\partial_\nu^* \partial_\nu u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \|\partial_y^\alpha u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Although $x^{1/2-\nu}C_c^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ when $0 < \nu < 1$, this is not the case for $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. In fact, $x^{1/2-\nu}C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})$ is not contained in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ unless $\nu = 1/2$. An appropriate dense space of smooth functions is defined in Section 3.4.

3.4. **Weighted traces.** It follows from Lemma 3.2 that the weighted restriction

$$u \mapsto x^{\nu-1/2}u|_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}, \quad u \in x^{1/2-\nu}C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}_+^n})$$

extends uniquely to a continuous map $\gamma_- : \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}_+^n) \to H^\nu(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, and furthermore γ_- admits a continuous right inverse. This is true for all $\nu > 0$. Similarly, there exists a weighted restricted

$$\gamma_-^* : \mathcal{H}_*^1(\mathbb{T}_+^n) \to H^{1-\nu}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}),$$

initially defined for $u \in x^{\nu-1/2}C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}_+^n})$ by $\gamma_-^* u = x^{1/2-\nu}u|_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}$. However, note that γ_-^* is now defined for $\nu < 1$ — indeed, $\mathcal{H}_*^1(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$ is isomorphic to $H_{2\nu-1}^1(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$, and the trace on $H_{2\nu-1}^1(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$ is only defined for $2\nu - 1 < 1$. Since $u \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$ implies $\partial_\nu u \in \mathcal{H}_*^1(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$, there exists a second trace

$$\gamma_+ : \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}_+^n) \to H^{1-\nu}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$$

given by the composition $\gamma_+ = \gamma_-^* \circ \partial_\nu$. The trace γ_+ therefore only exists for $0 < \nu < 1$, while γ_- is well defined for $\nu > 0$.

Definition 9. Given $\nu > 0$, let $\mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$ denote the following spaces of functions.

(1) If $0 < \nu < 1$, then $\mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$ consists of $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$ of the form

$$u(x, y) = x^{1/2-\nu}u_-(x^2, y) + x^{1/2+\nu}u_+(x^2, y) \tag{3.4}$$

for some $u_\pm \in C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}_+^n})$.

(2) If $\nu \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}_+^n) = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$.

Note that $\mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$ is contained in $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$ for each $s = 0, 1, 2$. If $0 < \nu < 1$, then $\mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$ is not typically contained in $x^{1/2-\nu}C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}_+^n})$ (unless $\nu = 1/2$); on the other hand, traces of $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$ are still easily computed from the definitions.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $0 < \nu < 1$. If $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$ satisfies (3.4), then*

$$\gamma_- u = u_-(0, \cdot), \quad \gamma_+ u = 2\nu u_+(0, \cdot) \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. If $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$ satisfies (3.4), then $x^{\nu-1/2}u(x, y) = u_-(x^2, y) + x^{2\nu}u_+(x^2, y)$. Since this function is continuous on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with values in $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, it follows that $\gamma_- u = u_-(0, \cdot)$, see the remark after Lemma 3.2. A similar argument shows that $\gamma_+ u = 2\nu u_+(0, \cdot)$. □

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that $\nu > 0$ and $s = 0, 1, 2$. Then $\mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_+^n)$.*

Proof. A proof is provided in Appendix A. □

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose that $0 < \nu < 1$. Then there exist unique continuous maps*

$$\gamma_\mp : \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}_+^n) \to H^{s-1\pm\nu}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$$

such that if $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ *satisfies* (3.4), *then* $\gamma_- u = u_-(0,\cdot)$ *and* $\gamma_+ u = 2\nu u_+(0,\cdot)$. *Here* γ_- *is defined for* $s = 1, 2$, *while* γ_+ *is only defined for* $s = 2$.

Proof. Combining Lemma 3.5 with Lemma 3.4 shows that the map

$$u \mapsto u_-(0,\cdot), \quad u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$$

admits a unique extension $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to H^\nu(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ for $s = 1, 2$. The additional regularity $\gamma_- u \in H^{1+\nu}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ for $u \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ follows from the equality $\gamma_\pm \partial_y^\alpha u = \partial_y^\alpha \gamma_\pm u$ for $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ and each multiindex α. Similarly, the map

$$u \mapsto 2\nu u_+(0,\cdot), \quad u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$$

admits a unique extension $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to H^{1-\nu}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$.

□

Remark. As noted above, γ_- can be defined for all $\nu > 0$ (rather than just $0 < \nu < 1$) but this fact is only ever used in Lemma A.5 of the Appendix.

3.5. **Dual spaces.** Throughout, $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+) = L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is identified with its own antidual $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+)'$ via the Riesz representation. Given $s = 1, 2$, let

$$\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+) = \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)'$$

denote the corresponding antiduals. Since the inclusion $\iota : \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is dense, $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is identified with a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ via the map $\iota^* : \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. Thus if $s \geq 0$ and $u, v \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, then the image $\iota^* u$ in $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ acts on v via the $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ pairing

$$\iota^* u(v) = \langle u, v \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}.$$

Because $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, there is no ambiguity in using the notation

$$\langle f, v \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} := f(v), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+),\ v \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$$

in general.

3.6. **A Fourier characterization.** Given $s = 0, 1, 2$, any $u \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ has well defined Fourier coefficients

$$\hat{u}(q) = (2\pi)^{-(n-1)/2} \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^{n-1}} e^{-i\langle q, y\rangle} u(\cdot, y)\, dy, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}.$$

It is easily seen $\hat{u}(q) \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for each fixed $q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$.

This may be extended uniquely by duality: given $f \in \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, let $\hat{f}(q) \in \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ denote the functional

$$\langle \hat{f}(q), v \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} = (2\pi)^{-(n-1)/2} \langle f, e^{i\langle q,y\rangle} v \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}, \tag{3.6}$$

where $v \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Given $\tau > 0$ and $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, let

$$(S_\tau u)(x, y) = u(\tau x, y) \tag{3.7}$$

denote the action of dilation in the normal variable. This clearly extends to a bounded map $S_\tau : \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ for $s = 0, 1, 2$. Furthermore, S_τ may be extended uniquely to $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ by duality: given $f \in \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, define

$$\langle S_\tau f, v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} = \tau^{-1} \langle f, S_{\tau^{-1}} v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}$$

for $v \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Lemma 3.7. *Given* $s = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$,

$$\|u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \langle q\rangle^{2s-1} \|S_{\langle q\rangle^{-1}} \hat{u}(q)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_+)}.$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Proof. When $s \geq 0$ this follows immediately from Parseval and Fubini's theorems. When $s < 0$, the proof is exactly the same as in [41, Lemma 2.3.1]. □

3.7. The space $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

If $\underline{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$, define

$$H^{\underline{t}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) := \prod_{j=1}^{k} H^{t_k}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}).$$

Keeping this notation in mind, let $\underline{\nu} = (1-\nu, 1+\nu)$ and then set

$$\underline{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_- \\ \gamma_+ \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.8}$$

Following [41, 50], define the following spaces for $0 < \nu < 1$. Given $s = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ denote the set of all

$$(u, \phi_-, \phi_+) \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{s-\underline{\nu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$$

such that

1. $\phi_- = \gamma_- u$ and $\phi_+ = \gamma_+ u$ if $s = 2$,
2. $\phi_- = \gamma_- u$ and ϕ_+ is arbitrary if $s = 1$,
3. $\phi_\pm$ are arbitrary if $s \leq 0$.

A typical element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ will be denoted $(u, \underline{\phi})$, where $\underline{\phi} = (\phi_-, \phi_+)$. The norm of $(u, \underline{\phi})$ is given by

$$\|(u, \underline{\phi})\|^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} = \|u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} + \|\underline{\phi}\|^2_{H^{s-\underline{\nu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})}.$$

If $s = 2$, then $u \mapsto (u, \underline{\gamma})$ provides an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+).$$

On the other hand, if $s \leq 1$, then the two spaces $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ are fundamentally different.

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose that* $0 < \nu < 1$. *Then for each* $s = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$, *the set*

$$\{(u, \underline{\gamma} u) : u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)\}$$

is dense in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Proof. It suffices to prove this for $s \geq 0$, since $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is dense in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ if $s < 0$. Assuming that $s \geq 0$ and $(u, \underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, choose $u_n \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ such that $u_n \to u$ in $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. If $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$ satisfies $\chi = 1$ near $x = 0$, define

$$u_{n,\varepsilon} = u_n - \left(x^{1/2-\nu}(\gamma_- u_n - \phi_-) + (2\nu)^{-1} x^{1/2+\nu}(\gamma_+ u_n - \phi_+)\right) \chi(\varepsilon^{-1} x).$$

Clearly $u_{n,\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ and $\gamma_\pm u_{n,\varepsilon} = \phi_\pm$. Furthermore, since $s \geq 0$, it is particularly easy to check that $u_{n,\varepsilon} \to u_n$ in $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ for n fixed and $\varepsilon \to 0$. Thus it is possible find a sequence $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ such that $u_{n,\varepsilon_n} \to u$ in $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ as $n \to \infty$, and hence

$$(u_{n,\varepsilon_n}, \underline{\gamma} u_{n,\varepsilon_n}) \to (u, \underline{\phi})$$

in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. $\square$

Recall from Section 3.6 the dilation S_τ, defined by (3.7). Note that

$$(\gamma_- \circ S_\tau) u = \tau^{1/2-\nu} \gamma_- u, \quad (\gamma_+ \circ S_\tau) u = \tau^{1/2+\nu} \gamma_+ u$$

for each $\tau > 0$ and $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. It follows that S_τ may be extended uniquely to $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{s,k}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ by defining

$$S_\tau(u, \underline{\phi}) = (S_\tau u, \tau^{1/2-\nu}\phi_-, \tau^{1/2+\nu}\phi_+).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.7 and the usual Fourier characterization of $H^m(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ that

$$\|(u, \underline{\phi})\|^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \langle q \rangle^{2s-1} \, \|S_{\langle q \rangle^{-1}}(\hat{u}(q), \underline{\hat{\phi}}(q))\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_+)}$$

for each $(u, \underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

3.8. **Parameter-dependent norms.** When considering the action of parameter-dependent Bessel operators, one must consider modified norms on the spaces defined so far. Given $s = 0, 1, 2$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, let

$$|\!|\!| u |\!|\!|^2_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} = \sum_{j=0}^{s} |\lambda|^{2(s-j)} \|u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}.$$

Furthermore, if $f \in \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, let

$$|\!|\!| f |\!|\!|_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} = \sup\{ |\langle f, u \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}| : |\!|\!| v |\!|\!|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} = 1 \}.$$

Recall the standard parameter-dependent norms $\|\!|v|\!\|_{\mathcal{H}^m(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})}$ on $H^m(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ as in Section 1.5. Given $(u,\underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, set

$$\|\!|(u,\underline{\phi})|\!\|^2_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} = \|\!|u|\!\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} + \|\!|\underline{\phi}|\!\|^2_{H^{s-\underline{\nu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})}.$$

These parameter-dependent norms have the property that there exists $C > 0$ independent of λ such that

$$\|\!|u|\!\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C|\lambda|^{-1}\|\!|u|\!\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}, \quad \|\!|(u,\underline{\phi})|\!\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C|\lambda|^{-1}\|\!|(u,\underline{\phi})|\!\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$$

for $u \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ and $(u,\underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, respectively.

3.9. **Mapping properties.** In this section, mapping properties of Bessel operators on $\mathbb{T}^n_+$ are examined. The analogue of Green's formulas is established, which allows the extension of P to spaces with low regularity. Recall from Section 1.3 that $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ means that

$$P = |D_\nu|^2 + B(x,y,D_y)D_\nu + A(x,y,D_y), \tag{3.9}$$

where $B \in \mathrm{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, $A \in \mathrm{Diff}^2(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ depend smoothly on $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$ and $B(0,y,D_y) = 0$. Throughout this section, assume that the coefficients of A, B are constant outside a compact subset of $\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+}$. The boundedness of each term in P will be exmined individually.

Before proceeding, it is necessary to consider certain multipliers of $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ when $s \geq 0$. The commutation relations

$$[\partial_\nu, \varphi] = \partial_x\varphi = [\partial_\nu^*, \varphi], \quad [|D_\nu|^2, \varphi] = -\partial_x^2\varphi - 2(\partial_x\varphi)\partial_x. \tag{3.10}$$

will be used throughout the following lemma.

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose that $\varphi \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})$ is bounded along with all of its derivatives, and consider multiplication by φ as a continuous map $\mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.*

(1) For $s = 0, 1$, multiplication by φ restricts to a continuous map

$$\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+).$$

(2) If $\partial_x\varphi|_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} = 0$, then multiplication by φ restricts to a continuous map

$$\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+).$$

In either of these two cases,

$$\|\varphi u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{C^0(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})}\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s} + C_s\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $C_s \geq 0$ depends on the first s derivatives of φ, and $C_0 = 0$.

Proof. The continuity statement is obvious for $s = 0$. For $s = 1$ it follows from the first commutator formula (3.10). When $s = 2$, the additional condition $\partial_x\phi|_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} = 0$ is needed to ensure that

$$u \mapsto (\partial_x\varphi)\partial_x u$$

is bounded $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$: the vanishing of $\partial_x\phi$ implies $(\partial_x\varphi)\partial_x = (\partial_x\varphi)\partial_\nu$ modulo multiplication by a smooth function, which acts continuously by the first part. The estimate (3.11) follows as well from (3.10). ☐

Remark. Lemma 3.9 result may also be extended to $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ by defining

$$\varphi(u, \underline{\phi}) := (\varphi u, \varphi|_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}\underline{\phi}),$$

and using that standard Sobolev spaces on $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ are closed under multiplication by smooth functions.

Remark. The hypotheses of Lemma 3.9 can not be improved when $s = 2$. In other words $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is not closed under multiplication by arbitrary $C^\infty_c(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})$ functions. On the other hand, if $\varphi \in C^\infty_c(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})$ is constant in a neighborhood of $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$, then $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is closed under multiplication by φ for each $s = 0, 1, 2$.

Now consider the term $|D_\nu|^2$, which is clearly bounded

$$|D_\nu|^2 : \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+).$$

The distinction between $0 < \nu < 1$ and $\nu \geq 1$ plays an important role when extending this action. Suppose that $0 < \nu < 1$, and let J denote the usual symplectic matrix,

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the following formulae are valid for each $u, v \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$:

$$\left\langle |D_\nu|^2 u, v \right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} = \left\langle u, |D_\nu|^2 v \right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} + \left\langle \underline{\gamma} u, J \underline{\gamma} v \right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\left\langle |D_\nu|^2 u, v \right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} = \langle D_\nu u, D_\nu v \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} + \langle \gamma_+ u, \gamma_- v \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} \tag{3.13}$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is dense, (3.12) is valid for $v \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, and (3.13) is valid for $v \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $0 < \nu < 1$ and $s = 0, 1, 2$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\| |D_\nu|^2 u \|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C \| (u, \underline{\gamma} u) \|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}.$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Proof. For $s = 2$ this follows since the norms $\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$ and $\|(u, \underline{\gamma} u)\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$ are equivalent for each $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. The case $s = 1$ follows from (3.13), and the case $s = 0$ follows from (3.12). ☐

As a consequence of Lemma 3.10, the map $(u, \underline{\gamma} u) \mapsto |D_\nu|^2 u$, $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ admits a unique extension as a bounded operator

$$|D_\nu|^2 : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$$

for $s = 0, 1, 2$ and $0 < \nu < 1$. The situation is simpler when $\nu \geq 1$, since in that case $\mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+) = C^\infty_c(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. The analogues of (3.12), (3.13) are given by

$$\big\langle |D_\nu|^2 u, v \big\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} = \big\langle u, |D_\nu|^2 v \big\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\big\langle |D_\nu|^2 u, v \big\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} = \langle D_\nu u, D_\nu v \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}, \tag{3.15}$$

valid for each $u, v \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. The analogue of Lemma 3.10 is the following.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $\nu \geq 1$ and $s = 0, 1, 2$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\| |D_\nu|^2 u \|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

From Lemma 3.11, it follows that the map $u \mapsto |D_\nu|^2 u, u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ admits a unique continuous extension as a bounded operator $|D_\nu|^2 : \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ for $s = 0, 1, 2$ and $\nu \geq 1$.

Next consider a typical term in BD_ν. Such a term may be written as $b(x,y) D^\beta_y D_\nu$, where $b \in x C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})$ is constant for x large and $|\beta| \leq 1$. The following result holds for all $\nu > 0$, since there are no boundary terms when integrating by parts.

Lemma 3.12. *Suppose that $b \in x C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})$ is constant for x large and $|\beta| \leq 1$. Then*

$$b D^\beta_y D_\nu : \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathcal{H}^{s-|\beta|-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$$

is bounded for each $s = 0, 1, 2$. Furthermore, there exists $c > 0$ depending on s, β and $C \geq 0$ depending on b, s, β, r such that

$$\| b D^\beta_y D_\nu u \|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-|\beta|-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq cr \|b\|_{C^1(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} + C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}. \tag{3.16}$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} u \subseteq \{0 \leq x \leq r\}$.

Proof. The boundedness result is clear for $s = 2$. For $s = 0, 1$, it follows from the same considerations as in Lemma 1.3: define $B = b D^\beta_y$, and note that $B = B_1 x = x B_1$ where B_1 is smooth up to $x = 0$. Thus

$$B D_\nu = D^*_\nu B + i(1 - 2\nu) B_1 + [B, D_x]$$

So for each $u, v \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$,

$$\langle B D_\nu u, v \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} = \langle D_\nu u, B^* v \rangle = \langle u, B^* D_\nu v - i(1 - 2\nu) B^*_1 v + [B, D_x]^* v \rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}.$$

The first equality implies boundedness for $s = 1$, while the second implies boundedness for $s = 2$.

Similarly, (3.16) clearly holds for $s = 2$. To prove the other cases, begin by writing $b = x b_1$, where b_1 is smooth up to $x = 0$. Also define $q = [D^\beta_y, b]$, and $q = x q_1$, so the functions q, q_1 are smooth up to $x = 0$ (and vanish if $|\beta| = 0$).

(1) If $s=1$, then for $u,v\in\mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$,

$$\left\langle bD_y^\beta D_\nu u, v\right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} = \left\langle bD_\nu u, D_y^\beta v\right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} - \langle u, D_\nu\,\overline{q}\,v - i(1-2\nu)\overline{q_1}v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}.$$

Thus

$$\|bD_y^\beta u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-|\beta|-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq \|bD_\nu u\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} + C\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+)},$$

whence the result follows.

(2) Similarly for $s=0$, if $u,v\in\mathcal{F}_\nu$, then

$$\left\langle bD_y^\beta D_\nu u, v\right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} = \left\langle bu, D_\nu^* D_y^\beta v\right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} - \left\langle u, D_\nu^*\,\overline{q}\,v + [D_x,b]^* D_y^\beta v\right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}.$$

To handle the first term write

$$\left\langle bu, D_\nu^* D_y^\beta v\right\rangle = \left\langle b_1 u, xD_\nu^* D_y^\beta v\right\rangle = \left\langle bu, (D_\nu - i(1-2\nu))D_y^\beta v\right\rangle,$$

which gives exactly

$$|\left\langle bu, D_\nu^* D_y^\beta v\right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}| \leq \|bu\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1+|\beta|}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)},$$

as desired. Now consider the second term, which in absolute value is bounded by

$$|\left\langle u, D_\nu^*\,\overline{q}\,v + \overline{q}\,D_y^\beta v\right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}| \leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}\left(\|D_\nu^*\,\overline{q}\,v\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} + \|\overline{q}\,D_y^\beta v\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}\right).$$

The second term in parentheses on the right hand side is bounded by a constant times $\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1+|\beta|}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$ according to Lemma 3.9. For the first term on the right hand side, the only part of the $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ norm which can't be handled as above is the summand $\|D_\nu D_\nu^*\,\overline{q}\,v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$. For this,

$$D_\nu D_\nu^*\, x\overline{q_1} = D_\nu\left(xD_\nu - i(2-2\nu)\right)\overline{q_1} = x|D_\nu|^2\overline{q_1} - i(3-2\nu)D_\nu\overline{q_1}.$$

Using (3.10),

$$x|D_\nu|^2\overline{q_1} = x\overline{q_1}|D_\nu|^2 - 2x(\partial_x\,\overline{q_1})\partial_\nu - x(\partial_x^2\,\overline{q_1}) - (1-2\nu)\partial_x(\overline{q_1}),$$

which is bounded $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)\to\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. This shows that

$$\|D_\nu^*\,\overline{q}\,v\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1+|\beta|}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)},$$

which completes the proof.

□

Remark. Lemma 3.12 implies that $bD_y^\beta D_\nu$ is also bounded $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)\to\mathcal{H}^{s-|\beta|-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ since the projection $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)\to\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ onto the first factor is continuous.

Finally, a typical term in the operator A can be written as $a(x,y)D_y^\alpha$, where $|\alpha|\leq 2$ and $a\in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})$ is constant outside a compact subset of $\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+}$.

Lemma 3.13. *Suppose that $a\in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})$ is constant for x large.*

(1) If $s=0,1$ and $|\alpha|\leq 2$, then $aD_y^\alpha:\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)\to\mathcal{H}^{s-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is bounded.

(2) If $s = 0, 1, 2$ *and* $0 < |\alpha| \leq 2$*, then* $aD_y^\alpha : \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathcal{H}^{s-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ *is bounded*

Furthermore, suppose that $a(0,p) = 0$ *for* $p \in \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$*. Then there exists* $c > 0$ *depending on* s, α *and* $C \geq 0$ *depending on* a, s, α, r *such that in each of the above cases,*

$$\|aD_y^\alpha u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq cr\|a\|_{C^1(\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+})}\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} + C\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}. \tag{3.17}$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ *such that* $\operatorname{supp} u \subseteq \{(x,y) \in \overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+} : |x| + |y-p| < r\}$*.*

Proof. (1) First suppose that $s = 0, 1$. The boundedness result is clear if $s = 1$ and $|\alpha| \leq 1$ or $s = 0$ and $|\alpha| = 0$. Otherwise, suppose that $s = 1$ and $|\alpha| = 2$. Write $aD_y^\alpha = \sum_{|\gamma|=1} D_y^\gamma A_\gamma$ for smooth tangential operators $A_\gamma(y, D_y)$ of order at most one. Then for each $u, v \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ and $|\gamma| = 1$,

$$|\left\langle D_y^\gamma A_\gamma u, v\right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}| = |\left\langle A_\gamma u, D_y^\gamma v\right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}| \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}.$$

On the other hand, suppose that $s = 0$. Then

$$|\left\langle aD_y^\alpha u, v\right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}| = |\left\langle u, D_y^\alpha a v\right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}| \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}^{|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$$

for $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2$.

(2) The only case not handled above is $s = 2$, in which case it follows from Lemma 3.9 that aD_y^α is bounded $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathcal{H}^{s-|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ provided $|\alpha| \neq 0$.

(3) This follows from the same arguments as in (1) and (2).

□

To summarize the above discussion, write $A = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq 2} a_\alpha D_y^\alpha$ (non uniquely) in the form

$$A = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq 1} D_y^\alpha A_\alpha$$

for some $A_\alpha \in \operatorname{Diff}^1(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ which depends smoothly on $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Recall that P^* is also a Bessel operator, accordig Lemma 1.3. Then there are the two Green's formulas

$$\langle Pu, v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} = \langle u, P^* v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} + \langle \underline{\gamma} u, J\underline{\gamma} v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\langle Pu, v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} = \langle D_\nu u, D_\nu v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} + \langle D_\nu u, B^* v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} + \sum_{|\alpha|\leq 1}\left\langle A_\alpha u, D_y^\alpha v\right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} + \left\langle \gamma_+ u, \gamma_- v\right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}}, \tag{3.19}$$

valid for each $u, v \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Lemma 3.14. *Let* $0 < \nu < 1$ *and* $s = 0, 1, 2$*. Then there exists* $C > 0$ *depending on* s *such that*

$$\|Pu\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C\|(u, \underline{\gamma} u)\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. *Thus the map* $(u, \underline{\gamma} u) \mapsto Pu$, $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ *admits a unique extension as a bounded operator*

$$P : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$$

for $s = 0, 1, 2$ *and* $0 < \nu < 1$. *When* $s = 0, 1$, *this extension is determined by* (3.18), (3.19).

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemmas 3.10, 3.12, 3.13. □

The situation is simpler when $\nu \geq 1$: the analogues of (3.18), (3.19) are given by

$$\langle Pu, v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} = \langle u, P^* v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} \tag{3.20}$$

$$\langle Pu, v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} = \langle D_\nu u, D_\nu v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} + \langle D_\nu, B^* v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \left\langle A_\alpha u, D_y^\alpha v\right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}, \tag{3.21}$$

valid for each $u, v \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. As before, (3.20) is in fact valid for $v \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, while (3.21) is valid for $v \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Lemma 3.15. *Let* $\nu \geq 1$ *and* $s = 0, 1, 2$. *Then there exists* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\|Pu\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}.$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. *the map* $u \mapsto Pu$, $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ *admits a unique extension as a bounded operator*

$$P : \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$$

for $s = 0, 1, 2$ *and* $\nu \geq 1$. *When* $s = 0, 1$ *this extension is determined by* (3.20), (3.21). *The action of* P *on* $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ *is simply the restriction of* $P : \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ *to* $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemmas 3.11, 3.13, 3.12. □

Suppose that $0 < \nu < 1$. If $s = 0, 1$, then an element $f \in \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is not uniquely determined by a distribution in $\mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. On the other hand, f may certainly be restricted to a functional on $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, which is determined uniquely by a distribution since $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is dense in this space by definition. Given $s = 0, 1, 2$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, $f \in \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, the equation $Pu = f$ can be interpreted in this weak sense, namely

$$\langle u, P^* v\rangle_X = \langle f, v\rangle_X$$

for all $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \subseteq \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^{2-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. For $s = 2$ this is just the statement that $Pu = f$ in distributions. Furthermore, if $(u, \underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ and $P(u, \underline{\phi}) = f$, then $Pu = f$ weakly.

Now suppose that $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu^{(\lambda)}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is a parameter-dependent Bessel operator. Recalling the definition of the parameter-dependent norms as in Section 3.8, it is straightforward to show that the following hold.

(1) If $0<\nu<1$ and $s=0,1,2$, then there exists $C>0$ such that

$$|\!|\!|P(\lambda)(u,\underline{\phi})|\!|\!|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C|\!|\!|(u,\underline{\phi})|\!|\!|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$$

for each $(u,\underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

(2) If $\nu \geq 1$ and $s=0,1,2$, then there exists $C>0$ such that

$$|\!|\!|P(\lambda)u|\!|\!|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C|\!|\!|u|\!|\!|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

There are also straightforward extensions of Lemmas 3.10, 3.11, 3.12, 3.13 for parameter-dependent norms.

3.10. **Function spaces on a manifold.** Consider a compact manifold with boundary $\overline{X}$, equipped with a distinguished boundary defining function x and collar diffeomorphism ϕ as in Section 1.2.

Definition 10. Given $\nu>0$, let $\mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ denote the following spaces of functions.

(1) If $0<\nu<1$, then $\mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ consists of $u \in C^\infty(X)$ such that

$$(u\circ\phi)(x,y) = x^{1/2-\nu}u_-(x^2,y) + x^{1/2+\nu}u_+(x^2,y) \tag{3.22}$$

for some $u_\pm \in C^\infty([0,\sqrt{\varepsilon})\times\partial X)$.

(2) If $\nu \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{F}_\nu = C^\infty_c(X)$.

Fix a finite open cover $\overline{X} = \bigcup_i U_i$ by coordinate charts (U_i,ψ_i), such that either

$$U_i \cap \partial X = \emptyset, \quad \psi_i : U_i \to \psi_i(U_i) \subseteq \mathbb{T}^n,$$

or if $U_i \cap \partial X \neq \emptyset$ then

$$U_i = \phi([0,\varepsilon)\times Y_i), \quad \psi_i = (1\times\theta_i)\circ\phi^{-1}$$

for a coordinate chart (Y_i,θ_i) on ∂X. This of course implies that $\partial X = \bigcup_i Y_i$, where the union is taken over all i such that $U_i \cap \partial X \neq \emptyset$. Now take a partition of unity of the form

$$\sum_i \chi_i^2 = 1, \quad \chi_i \in C_c^\infty(U_i),$$

with the additional property that if $U_i \cap \partial X \neq \emptyset$, then χ_i has the form

$$\chi_i = (\alpha\beta_i)\circ\phi^{-1},$$

for functions $\alpha \in C_c^\infty([0,\varepsilon))$, $\beta_i \in C_c(Y_i)$, where $\alpha = 1$ near $x=0$. Note that if $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ then $\chi_i u$ may be identified with an element of $\mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ via the coordinate map ψ_i. Keeping this in mind, define

$$\|u\|_{i,\mathcal{H}^s(X)} := \|(\chi_i u)\circ\psi_i^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)},$$

for $s=0,\pm1,\pm2$ and $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$.

Definition 11. Given $s = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$, let

$$\|u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^s(X)} = \sum_i \|u\|^2_{i,\mathcal{H}^s(X)}.$$

Then define

$$\mathcal{H}^s(X) = \text{closure of } \mathcal{F}_\nu(X) \text{ in the } \mathcal{H}^s(X) \text{ norm.}$$

To prove that $\mathcal{H}^s(X)$ is independent of the choice of covering U_i and partition of unity χ_i, the following two results are needed

Lemma 3.16. *Let Y, Y' be open subsets of $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$, and suppose that $\Phi : Y \to Y'$ is a diffeomorphism between them. Suppose that $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}_+} \times Y$ is compact. Then for each $s = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$ there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$C^{-1}\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq \|u \circ (1 \times \Phi)\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ with $\operatorname{supp} u \subseteq K' := (1 \times \Phi)(K)$,

Proof. For $s = 0, 1, 2$ this follows immediately from the change of variables formula in the tangential direction. The cases $s = -1, -2$ follow by duality: choose $\alpha \in C^\infty_c(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$ and $\beta \in C^\infty_c(Y')$ such that $\chi := \alpha\beta = 1$ on K'. Then for each $v \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$,

$$\frac{|\langle u, v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}|}{\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}} \leq C_1^{-1} \frac{|\langle u, \chi v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}|}{\|\chi v\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}},$$

where $\|\chi v\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C_1 \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$. Thus

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C_1^{-1} \sup_{\|w\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}=1} |\langle u, w\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all $w \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} w \subseteq K'$. Then

$$\langle u, w\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} = \langle u \circ (1 \times \Phi), w_1\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+},$$

where $w_1(x, y) = J(y)(w \circ (1 \times \Phi))(x, y)$ and J is the Jacobian determinant of $1 \times \Phi$. Since J depends only on y,

$$\|w_1\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C_2 \|w\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)},$$

which shows that $\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C\|u \circ (1 \times \Phi)\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$ for some $C > 0$. The same argument is now applied with Φ replaced by Φ^{-1} to conclude the reverse inequality. ☐

Remark. More generally, the space $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ are invariant under diffeomorphisms Φ satisfying the conditions in Lemma 1.1

Before stating the next result, recall that for M a (non-compact) manifold without boundary, the standard Sobolev spaces $H^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(M)$, $H^s_{\mathrm{comp}}(M)$ are defined as follows: Fix a locally finite open cover $M = \bigcup_j X_j$ by coordinate charts (X_j, ψ_j) where $\psi_j : X_j \to \mathbb{R}^n$, and a subordinate partition of unity $\sum_j \chi_j^2 = 1$ where $\chi_j \in C^\infty_c(X_j)$. Then

$H^s_{\mathrm{comp}}(M)$ is defined as all compactly supported distributions $u \in \mathcal{E}'(M)$ such that the norm

$$\|u\|^2_{H^s(M)} := \sum_j \|(\chi_j u) \circ \psi_j\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}. \tag{3.23}$$

is finite. The local spaces $H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(M)$ then consist of distributions $u \in \mathcal{D}'(M)$ such that $\chi u \in H^s_{\mathrm{comp}}(M)$ for each $\chi \in C^\infty_c(M)$. Let $H^s_K(M)$ denote the space of all $u \in H^s_{\mathrm{comp}}(M)$ whose supports are contained in a fixed closed set $K \subset M$. If M is compact, then $H^s_K(M)$ is complete under the norm 3.23. Furthermore, these spaces do not depend on any of the choices used to define them.

Lemma 3.17. *Let K be a compact subset of $\mathbb{T}^n_+$. Then for each $s = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$ there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$C^{-1}\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$$

for each $u \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} u \subseteq K$.

Proof. This is again straightforward for $s = 0, 1, 2$, while the cases $s = -1, -2$ follow by duality. □

The combination of Lemmas 3.16, 3.17 show that the spaces $\mathcal{H}^s(X)$ do not depend on any of the choices used to define them.

Lemma 3.18. *Fix a density on $\overline{X}$ of product type near ∂X. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$ denotes the inner product on $L^2(X; \mu)$. For each $s = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$*

$$|\langle u, v \rangle_X| \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(X)}\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-s}(X)},$$

where $u, v \in \mathcal{F}_\nu$. Furthermore, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$ extends to a nondegenerate pairing $\mathcal{H}^s(X) \times \mathcal{H}^{-s}(X) \to \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. This can be reduced to the case on $\mathbb{T}^n_+$ via the coordinate charts (U_i, ψ_i) and partition of unity χ_i used to define $\mathcal{H}^s(X)$ (it is here that choosing a quadratic partition of unity is particularly convenient) □

Thus $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(X)$ is naturally identified with the antidual of $\mathcal{H}^s(X)$ via the inner product induced by μ on $\mathcal{H}^0(X)$. When $0 < \nu < 1$, it is also possible to show that the maps

$$u \mapsto u_-(0, \cdot), \quad u \mapsto 2\nu u_+(0, \cdot)$$

for $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ satisfying (3.22) admit continuous extensions $\gamma_\mp$ such that

$$\gamma_\mp : \mathcal{H}^s(X) \to H^{s-1\pm\nu}(\partial X).$$

It is understood that γ_- exists for $s = 1, 2$, while γ_+ exists for $s = 2$. The spaces $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X)$ are then defined exactly as in Section 3.7.

Lemma 3.19. *Let $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X)$. Then the following hold.*

1. *If $0 < \nu < 1$ and $s = 0, 1, 2$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that*
$$\|Pu\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(X)} \leq C\|(u, \underline{\gamma} u)\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{s}(X)}.$$
for each $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$.
2. *If $\nu \geq 1$ and $s = 0, 1, 2$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that*
$$\|Pu\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(X)} \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s}(X)}.$$
for each $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$.

As in Section 3.9, it follows that $(u, \underline{\gamma} u) \mapsto Pu$ admits a unique extension to $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X)$ for $0 < \nu < 1$, and $u \mapsto Pu$ has a unique continuous extension to $\mathcal{H}^s(X)$ for $\nu \geq 1$.

The traces $\gamma_\pm$ can be formulated in terms of the boundary defining function x. This is clear for γ_-, which is just the restriction of $x^{\nu-1/2}u$ to the boundary. If ∂_x is the unique vector field on $\overline{X}$ which pulls back via ϕ_x to the coordinate vector field ∂_x, then γ_+ is the restriction of $x^{1-2\nu}\partial_x(x^{\nu-1/2}u)$ to the boundary.

Lemma 3.20. *Suppose that x and ρ are boundary defining functions satisfying the conditions in Lemma 1.2. Then the traces $\gamma_\pm$ defined with respect to x agree with those defined with respect to ρ.*

Proof. This can be checked in local coordinates using Lemmas 1.1, 1.2. However, note that the spaces $\mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ defined with respect to x and ρ do not agree. □

The parameter-dependent norms on $\mathcal{H}^s(X)$ are defined by replacing $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$ with $|\!|\!|\cdot|\!|\!|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}$ in Definition 11, and similarly for $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X)$. Then P is uniformly bounded in λ with respect to these norms.

The following compactness result is established in the appendix. A different proof can be found in [32, Section 6]. It is used in Section 5 to prove the Fredholm property for certain boundary value problems.

Lemma 3.21. [32, Section 6] *Let $\nu > 0$ and μ be a density of product type near ∂X.*

1. *The inclusion $\mathcal{H}^1(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(X)$ is compact.*
2. *The injection $\mathcal{H}^0(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-1}(X)$ induced by the $L^2(X;\mu)$ inner product is compact.*
3. *If $0 < \nu < 1$, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ and the injection $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^0(X) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)'$ induced by the $L^2(X;\mu), L^2(\partial X;\mu_{\partial X})$ inner products are compact.*

Proof. (1) For a proof, see the appendix. The other cases (2), (3) follow by duality. □

Finally, it is important to consider the action of standard pseudodifferential operators whose Schwartz kernels are compactly supported in $X \times X$. The class of such pseudodifferential operators of order m is denoted by $\Psi^m_{\mathrm{comp}}(X)$. If $Q \in \Psi^m_{\mathrm{comp}}(X)$, then there exists a compact subset $K \subseteq X$ such that $\operatorname{supp} Qu \subseteq K$ for each $u \in C^\infty(X)$.

Lemma 3.22. *Suppose that* $Q \in \Psi^2_{\mathrm{comp}}(X)$. *Then there exists a compact subset* $K \subseteq X$ *such that for each* $s = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$, *the map*

$$u \mapsto Qu, \quad u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu$$

extends uniquely to a bounded map

$$Q : \begin{cases} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X) \to H^{s-2}_K(X) & \text{if } 0 < \nu < 1, \\ \mathcal{H}^s(X) \to H^{s-2}_K(X) & \text{if } \nu \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove this in local coordinates, where the result follows from Lemma 3.17. □

Remark. It is also necessary to consider the class of (compactly supported) parameter-dependent pseudodifferential operators, denoted here by $\Psi^{m,(\lambda)}_{\mathrm{comp}}(X)$ — see [52, Chapter II.9] for this class of operators, or [65, Chapter 4], [19, Chapter 7] for an equivalent semiclassical description. In that case, if $Q \in \Psi^{2,(\lambda)}_{\mathrm{comp}}(X)$, then the boundedness result of Lemma 3.22 holds uniformly for the appropriate parameter-dependent norms.

3.11. **Graph norms.** Throughout this section, assume that $0 < \nu < 1$. Following [50, Chapter 6.1], an alternative characterization of the spaces $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X)$ is given. Given $s = 0, 1, 2$ and a Bessel operator P, define the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(X)} + \|Pu\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(X)}$$

for $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$.

Lemma 3.23. *Give* $s = 0, 1, 2$ *there exists* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$C^{-1}\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)} \leq \|(u, \underline{\gamma} u)\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X)} \leq C^{-1}\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)}$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$.

Proof. The first inequality above holds according to Lemma 3.14. For the converse, first recall that the trace map $\underline{\gamma} : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X) \to H^{s-\underline{\nu}}(\partial X)$ has a continuous right inverse $\mathcal{K}$, which furthermore maps $C^\infty(\partial X) \times C^\infty(\partial X) \to \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ — see Lemma A.3 in the Appendix. Fix $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ and define the linear form ℓ on $C^\infty(\partial X) \times C^\infty(\partial X)$ by

$$\ell(\underline{\psi}) = \langle Pu, v \rangle_X - \langle u, P^* v \rangle_X \, ,$$

where $v \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ is any element satisfying $\underline{\gamma} v = \underline{\psi}$. The form ℓ is well defined, since by Green's formula it is independent of the choice of v; in particular, it is possible to take $v = \mathcal{K}(\underline{\psi})$. Here the duality is induced by a fixed density of product type near ∂X. Then

$$|\ell(\underline{\psi})| \leq C_1(\|Pu\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(X)} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(X)})\|\mathcal{K}(\underline{\psi})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{2-s}(X)} \leq C_2\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)}\|\underline{\psi}\|_{H^{2-s-\underline{\nu}}(\partial X)}.$$

By Hahn–Banach and the Riesz theorem, there exists a unique $\underline{\phi} \in H^{s-2+\underline{\nu}}(\partial X)$ such that for each $u, v \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$,

$$\langle Pu, v\rangle_X - \langle u, P^* v\rangle_X = \left\langle \underline{\phi}, \underline{\gamma} v\right\rangle_{\partial X}, \quad \|\underline{\phi}\|_{H^{s-2+\underline{\nu}}(\partial X)} \leq C_2 \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)}.$$

The constant C_2 in the latter inequality is independent of $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$. On the other hand, Green's formula implies that $\ell(\underline{\psi}) = -\left\langle J\underline{\gamma} u, \underline{\psi}\right\rangle_{\partial X}$ for all $\underline{\psi}$, so in particular $\underline{\phi} = -J\underline{\gamma} u$. Since

$$\|\underline{\gamma} u\|_{H^{s-\underline{\nu}}(\partial X)} = \|\underline{\phi}\|_{H^{s-2+\underline{\nu}}(\partial X)},$$

it follows that $\|(u, \underline{\gamma} u)\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X)} \leq C_2 \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)}$. □

Let $\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)$ denote the closure of $\mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)}$. Since $(u, \underline{\gamma} u)$, $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ is dense in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X)$, it follows from Lemma 3.23 that $\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X)$ via the closure of the map $u \mapsto (u, \underline{\gamma} u)$. Moreover, any element of $\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)$ can be identified with a unique pair (u, f), where $u \in \mathcal{H}^s(X)$, $f \in \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(X)$, and $Pu = f$ in the weak sense (described at the end of Section 3.9).

4. ELLIPTIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

This section concerns boundary value problems for Bessel operators on a compact manifold with boundary $\overline{X}$ as in Section 1.2. When $0 < \nu < 1$, these are of them form

$$\begin{cases} Pu = f & \text{on } X \\ Tu = g & \text{on } \partial X. \end{cases}$$

Here $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X)$ is Bessel operator which is elliptic in the sense of Section 1.4 on ∂X, and

$$T = T^+ \gamma_+ + T^- \gamma_-$$

for some differential operators $T^\pm$ on the boundary, to be specified in the next section. The boundary operator T is only relevant when $0 < \nu < 1$. When $\nu \geq 1$, one considers the simpler equation

$$Pu = f \text{ on } X.$$

To highlight the difference between the cases $0 < \nu < 1$ and $\nu \geq 1$, fix $p \in \partial X$ and consider the model equation on $\mathbb{R}_+$ determined by the boundary symbol operator,

$$\widehat{P}_{(p,\eta)} u = f. \tag{4.1}$$

referring to Section 1.4 for notation. Suppose that P is elliptic at $p \in \partial X$. Any two solutions to the equation (4.1) differ by an element of the kernel of $\widehat{P}_{(p,\eta)}$. If $u \in \ker \widehat{P}_{(p,\eta)}$ satisfies $u \in L^2((1,\infty))$, then necessarily $u \in \mathcal{M}_+(p,\eta)$. On the other hand, if ν is not an integer, then

$$K_\nu(s) = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{I_{-\nu}(s) - I_\nu(s)}{\sin(\nu\pi)}, \tag{4.2}$$

where I_ν is the modified Bessel function of the first kind [49, Chapter 7.8] (if ν is an integer, equality holds in the sense of limits). In particular, if $0 < \nu < 1$, then $I_{\pm\nu}(s) = \mathcal{O}(s^{\pm\nu})$. Consequently $\ker \widehat{P}_{(p,\eta)} \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) = \mathcal{M}_+(p,\eta)$, and hence $\widehat{P}_{(p,\eta)}$ cannot be an isomorphism between any L^2 based spaces: in general, (4.1) must be augmented by boundary conditions so that the L^2 kernel is trivial. Of course, all of these observations are classical when $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ (boundary value problems in the smooth setting).

This is in contrast to the situation when $\nu \geq 1$. In that case, $\sqrt{x}K_\nu(i\xi(p,\eta)x)$ is not square integrable near the origin, and so the L^2 kernel of $\widehat{P}_{(p,\eta)}$ is always trivial. Hence specifying f on the right hand side of (4.1) (in an appropriate function space) will uniquely determine a solution u. Thus in the case $\nu \geq 1$, it is not necessary to impose any boundary conditions apart from the square integrability requirement.

In the self-adjoint setting, the heuristic above is the limit point/limit circle criterion of Weyl on self-adjoint extensions of symmetric ordinary differential operators with regular singular points — see [64] for an exhaustive modern treatment, and [4, 35] for discussions in the context of AdS cosmology.

4.1. **Boundary conditions.** This section is only relevant in the case $0 < \nu < 1$. Choose differential operators

$$T^- \in \mathrm{Diff}^1(\partial X), \quad T^+ \in \mathrm{Diff}^0(\partial X),$$

noting that T_+ is just multiplication by a smooth function on ∂X. Then set $T = T^\pm \gamma_\pm$, where Einstein summation is implied. A natural question is how to define the "leading order" term in T. Suppose that $\mu \in \{1-\nu, 2-\nu, 1+\nu\}$ and

$$\mathrm{ord}(T^-) - \nu \leq \mu - 1, \quad \mathrm{ord}(T^+) + \nu \leq \mu - 1. \tag{4.3}$$

Then T is said to have ν-order less than or equal to μ, written as $\mathrm{ord}_\nu(T) \leq \mu$. Note that if $\mathrm{ord}_\nu(T) \leq \mu$, then $B : \mathcal{H}^2(X) \to H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)$ is continuous.

Suppose that $\mu \in \{1-\nu, 2-\nu, 1+\nu\}$ and $\mathrm{ord}_\nu(T) \leq \mu$. Define the family of operators

$$\widehat{T}_{(y,\eta)} = \sigma_{\lceil \mu-1+\nu \rceil}(T^-)\gamma_- + \sigma_{\lceil \mu-1-\nu \rceil}(T^+)\gamma_+,$$

indexed by $(y,\eta) \in T^*\partial X$. Thus each $(y,\eta) \in T^*\partial X$ gives rise to a one-dimensional boundary operator $\widehat{T}_{(y,\eta)}$.

4.2. **The boundary value problem.** Although boundary value problems of the form (4) are ultimately of interest, for duality purposes it is convenient to consider a more general type of problem. Fix $J \in \mathbb{N}$, and choose

- $\mu_k \in \{1-\nu, 2-\nu, 1+\nu\}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, J+1\}$,
- numbers $\tau_j \in \mathbb{R}$ for $j \in \{1, \dots J\}$, not necessarily integers.

Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_{J+1})^\top$ denote a $(J+1) \times 1$ matrix of boundary operators, such that $\mathrm{ord}_\nu(T_k) \leq \mu_k$. Furthermore, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, J+1\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, J\}$, suppose $C_{k,j} \in \mathrm{Diff}^*(\partial X)$ is a differential operator on ∂X such that

$$\mathrm{ord}(C_{k,j}) \leq \tau_j + \mu_k.$$

Let C denote the $(J+1) \times J$ matrix with entries $C_{k,j}$. Given these prerequisites, consider the modified boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} Pu = f & \text{on } X \\ Tu + C\underline{u} = \underline{g} & \text{on } \partial X, \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

where $\underline{u} = (u_1, \dots u_J)$, $\underline{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_{J+1})$ are collections of functions on ∂X. In order to associate an operator to this problem, note that Tu may be written in the form

$$Tu = G\underline{\gamma}u,$$

where G is the $(J+1) \times 2$ matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} T_1^- & T_1^+ \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ T_{J+1}^- & T_{J+1}^+ \end{pmatrix}.$$

Throughout, it is always understood that G is associated with T in this way. Finally, set $\underline{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{J+1})$ and $\underline{\tau} = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_J)$. Then let $\mathscr{P}$ denote the map

$$\mathscr{P}(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) = (P(u, \underline{\phi}), G\underline{\phi} + C\underline{u}).$$

This is also written as $\mathscr{P} = \{P, T, C\}$.

Lemma 4.1. *The map $\mathscr{P} = \{P, T, C\}$ is bounded*

$$\mathscr{P} : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X) \times H^{s+\underline{\tau}}(\partial X) \to \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(X) \times H^{s-\underline{\mu}}(\partial X)$$

for each $s = 0, 1, 2$.

Proof. The mapping properties follows from the results of Section 3.10. □

4.3. **The adjoint boundary value problem.** Fix a density μ which is of product type near ∂X. Let P^* denote the formal $L^2(X; \mu)$ adjoint of P; then P^* is also a Bessel operator in light of Lemma 1.3. Let C^*, G^* denote the formal $L^2(\partial X; \mu_{\partial X})$ adjoints of C, G. Define the problem

$$\begin{cases} P^* v = f & \text{on } X, \\ J\underline{\gamma}v + G^*\underline{v} = \underline{g} & \text{on } \partial X, \\ C^*\underline{v} = \underline{h} & \text{on } \partial X, \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

where $\underline{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_{J+1})$, $(\underline{g}, \underline{h}) = (g_1, g_2, h_1, \dots h_J)$ are functions on ∂X.

Although Green's formula (3.18) was previously only established for the formal adjoint of a Bessel operator on $\mathbb{T}^n_+$, it is clear that (3.18) also holds here when the appropriate μ and $\mu_{\partial X}$ inner products are substituted on X and ∂X:

$$\langle Pu, v\rangle_X + \langle Tu + C\underline{u}, \underline{v}\rangle_{(\partial X)^{J+1}} = \langle u, P^* v\rangle_X + \left\langle \underline{\gamma} u, G^* \underline{v} + J\underline{\gamma} v\right\rangle_{(\partial X)^2} + \langle \underline{u}, C^* \underline{v}\rangle_{(\partial X)^J}.$$

In light of this, the problem (4.5) is said to be the formal adjoint of (4.4). Also notice that (4.5) has the same form as (4.4). The corresponding operator is denoted by $\mathscr{P}^*$.

4.4. **The Lopatinskiĭ condition.** The standard Lopatinskiĭ condition for smooth elliptic boundary value problems (see [43, 50]) has a natural generalization to the situation here. Begin by choosing $c_{k,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ (not necessarily nonnegative) such that

$$\operatorname{ord}(C_{k,j}) \leq c_{k,j} \leq \tau_j + \mu_k,$$

and then define the matrix $\widehat{C}_{(y,\eta)}$ with entries

$$(\widehat{C}_{(y,\eta)})_{k,j} = \sigma_{c_{k,j}}(C_{k,j})(y,\eta).$$

Thus $(y,\eta) \mapsto \widehat{C}_{(y,\eta)}$ is a function on $T^*\partial X$ with values in matrices over $\mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, define $\widehat{G}_{(y,\eta)}$ by the equality

$$\widehat{G}_{(y,\eta)} \underline{\gamma} u = \widehat{T}_{(y,\eta)} u.$$

Remark. The matrix $\widehat{C}_{(y,\eta)}$ depends strongly on the choice of $c_{k,j}$, and is not necessarily obtained by calculating the principal symbol of $C_{k,j}$ entry-wise with respect to the order of $C_{k,j}$. The numbers $c_{k,j}$ in general will depend on the choice of τ_j, μ_k as well. Similarly, $\widehat{G}_{(y,\eta)}$ is in general different from the principal symbol of G calculated entry-wise with respect to the order of each entry.

Definition 12. Suppose P is elliptic on ∂X. The boundary operators (T, C) are said to satisfy the Lopatinskiĭ condition with respect to P if for each fixed $p \in \partial M$ and $\eta \in T^*_p \partial X \setminus 0$, the only element $(u, \underline{u}) \in \mathcal{M}_+(p,\eta) \times \mathbb{C}^J$ satisfying

$$\widehat{T}_{(p,\eta)} u + \widehat{C}_{(p,\eta)} \underline{u} = 0$$

is the trivial solution $(u, \underline{u}) = 0$. The boundary value problem (4.4), or equivalently the operator $\mathscr{P} = \{P, T, C\}$, is said to be elliptic on ∂X if P is elliptic on ∂X in the sense of Definition 3 and (T, C) satisfy the Lopatinskiĭ condition on ∂X with respect to P.

As in Section 1.4, the one-dimensional space $\mathcal{M}_+(y,\eta)$ is spanned by the function

$$u(y,\eta;x) = \frac{2^{1-\nu}\Gamma(1-\nu)\sin(\pi\nu)}{\pi}(i\xi(y,\eta))^{\nu}\sqrt{x}K_\nu(i\xi(y,\eta)x). \tag{4.6}$$

The argument is chosen so that all of the quantities are positive when ξ lies on the negative imaginary axis. This choice of normalization for u is motivated by the following:

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $0 < \nu < 1$. *Then*

$$\gamma_- \left(u(y,\eta)\right) = 1, \quad \gamma_+ \left(u(y,\eta)\right) = -2\nu \frac{\Gamma(1-\nu)}{\Gamma(1+\nu)} \left(\frac{i\xi(y,\eta)}{2}\right)^{2\nu}.$$

Proof. This follows from the asymptotic behavior

$$K_\nu(i\xi x) \sim \frac{\pi}{2\sin(\pi\nu)} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\nu)} \left(\frac{i\xi x}{2}\right)^{-\nu} - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\nu)} \left(\frac{i\xi x}{2}\right)^{\nu} \right)$$

as $x \to 0^+$. □

Example. It is clear from Lemma 4.2 that the Dirichlet condition $T = \gamma_-$ and Neumann condition $T = \gamma_+$ satisfy the Lopatinskiĭ condition with respect to any elliptic Bessel operator. The same is therefore true for the Robin condition $T = \gamma_+ + T^- \gamma_-$, where $T^- \in C^\infty(\partial X)$.

Example. Consider a boundary condition $T = \gamma_+ + T^- \gamma_-$, where T^- is a nonzero vector field on ∂X.

(1) If $1/2 < \nu < 1$, then $\widehat{T}_{(y,\eta)} = \gamma_+$ for arbitrary T^-. Thus T satisfies the Lopatinskiĭ conditions with respect to any elliptic Bessel operator.
(2) If $\nu = 1/2$, then T is a classical oblique boundary condition. The Lopatinskiĭ condition is satisfied if T^- is a real vector field for example, but can otherwise fail.
(3) If $0 < \nu < 1/2$, then

$$\widehat{T}_{(y,\eta)} = \sigma_1(T^-)(y,\eta)\gamma_-.$$

Since $\sigma_1(T^-)(y,\eta)$ is linear in η, it must have a nontrivial zero at each $y \in \partial X$ provided the dimension of the underlying manifold X is at least four (or three if T^- is real). In that case the Lopatinskiĭ condition necessarily fails at every point on the boundary.

Example. Consider the operator $\Delta_\nu = |D_\nu|^2 + D_y^2 + D_z^2$ acting on $(0,1) \times \mathbb{T}^2$, where (y,z) are standard coordinates on $\mathbb{T}^2 = (R/2\pi\mathbb{Z})^2$. Clearly Δ_ν is an elliptic Bessel operator. Consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_\nu u = f, \\ T_0 u = g, \quad T_1 u = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $T_1 u = u|_{x=1}$, and $T_0 = (\partial_y - \partial_z)\gamma_-$. This is not a Fredholm problem, since there is an infinite dimensional kernel: for each $n \geq 0$, consider the function

$$u_n(x,y,z) = \left(\sqrt{x} K_\nu(nx) - \frac{K_\nu(n)}{K_\nu(-n)} \sqrt{x} K_\nu(-nx) \right) e^{in(y+z)}.$$

The family of u_n is linearly independent and each u_n solves the boundary value problem. If $0 < \nu < 1/2$, then $T_0' = \gamma_+ + T_0$ is a compact perturbation of the original problem; thus the problem with T_0' replacing T_0 is not Fredholm either. If $1/2 \leq \nu < 1$ then the problem with T_0' satisfies the Lopatinskiĭ condition, so is indeed Fredholm.

Before proceeding with the next lemma, suppose that $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X)$ and μ is a density of product type near ∂X. If P is elliptic at $p \in \partial X$, then so is P^*, since the function (1.5) is simply replaced by its complex conjugate.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that $\mathscr{P} = \{P, T, C\}$ is elliptic. If μ is a density of product type near ∂X and $\mathscr{P}^*$ is the corresponding adjoint boundary value problem, then $\mathscr{P}^*$ is also elliptic.*

Proof. Since ellipticity only depends on various "principal symbols", it is easy to see that

$$\widehat{P^*}_{(y,\eta)} = \widehat{P}^*_{(y,\eta)},$$

where the latter adjoint is calculated with respect to the standard $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ inner product. Similarly

$$\widehat{T^*}_{(y,\eta)} = \widehat{T}^*_{(y,\eta)}, \quad \widehat{C^*}_{(y,\eta)} = \widehat{C}^*_{(y,\eta)},$$

where the latter adjoints are taken in the sense of matrices over $\mathbb{C}$.

Suppressing the dependence on (y, η), Green's formula (3.18) implies that

$$\langle \widehat{P}u, v\rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+} + \langle \widehat{T}u + \widehat{C}\underline{u}, \underline{v}\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^{J+1}} = \langle u, \widehat{P}^* v\rangle_X + \langle \underline{\gamma} u, \widehat{G}^*\underline{v} + J\underline{\gamma} v\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} + \langle \underline{u}, \widehat{C}^*\underline{v}\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^J}.$$

The goal is to prove that if the right hand side vanishes, then $(v, \underline{v}) = 0$. The proof relies on Lemma 4.6 below (whose proof is of course independent of the present lemma). As in the proof of Lemma 4.6, the Lopatinskiĭ condition implies that

$$(u, \underline{u}) \mapsto \widehat{T}u + \widehat{C}\underline{u}$$

is an isomorphism between the spaces $\mathcal{M}_+ \times \mathbb{C}^J \to \mathbb{C}^{J+1}$. So choose $(u, \underline{u}) \in \mathcal{M}_+ \times \mathbb{C}^J$ such that

$$\widehat{T}u + \widehat{C}\underline{u} = \underline{v}.$$

Since $\widehat{A}u = 0$, it follows from Green's formula that $\underline{v} = 0$. On the other hand, from Lemma 4.6 it is always possible to solve the inhomogeneous equation

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{A}u = v, \\ \widehat{T}u + \widehat{C}\underline{u} = 0, \end{cases}$$

whence Green's formula implies that $v = 0$ as well. □

4.5. **The Dirichlet Laplacian.** The results of this section are applied in one dimension to Section 4.7, and in higher dimensions to Appendix A.5. Define the Bessel operator $\Delta_\nu \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ by

$$\Delta_\nu = |D_\nu|^2 + \Delta_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}},$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D^2_{y^i}$ is the positive Laplacian on $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$. Consider the continuous, nonnegative Hermitian form

$$\ell(u,v) := \langle D_\nu u, D_\nu v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\langle D_{y^i} u, D_{y^i} u\right\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+} \tag{4.7}$$

on $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. Associated to this form is the unbounded self-adjoint operator L on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ with domain

$$D(L) = \{u \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+) : v \mapsto \ell(u,v) \text{ is continuous on } L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)\}.$$

Standard manipulations show that

$$D(L) = \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \cap \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) : \Delta_\nu u \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)\}, \tag{4.8}$$

and $Lu = \Delta_\nu u$ in the sense of distributions for each $u \in D(L)$. The domain $D(L)$ is equipped with the graph norm.

Remark. In one dimension it is obvious tht $D(L) = \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$, with an equivalence of norms via the open mapping theorem. This is also true in higher dimensions, but is not immediate from the definition

The next lemma follows from the Lax–Milgram theorem.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\nu > 0$. For each $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ the inverse $(L+a)^{-1}$ exists, and maps*

$$(L+a)^{-1} : \begin{cases} \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)' \to \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+), \\ L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to D(L). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $a \notin (-\infty, 0]$ the form $\ell_a(u,v) = \ell(u,v) + a\langle u, v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_+}$ is coercive on $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, so $\ell_a(u,v)$ defines an inner product on $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1$ equivalent to the usual one. The Lax–Milgram theorem guarantees that for each $f \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)'$ there exists a unique $u \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ such that $\ell_a(u,v) = \langle f, v\rangle$, and the mapping $u \mapsto f$ is continuous $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)' \to \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Furthermore, the unbounded operator associated to ℓ_a is clearly $L + a$ (acting in the distributional sense) so $L + a : D(L) \to L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is bijective. Since this map is continuous when $D(L)$ is equipped with the graph norm, it is an isomorphism by the open mapping theorem. □

4.6. **Elliptic Bessel operators on $\mathbb{R}_+$.** In this section, fix an operator P on $\mathbb{R}_+$ of the form

$$P = |D_\nu|^2 + a, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{4.9}$$

Thus $\xi \mapsto \xi^2 + a$ has no real roots precisely when $a \notin (-\infty, 0]$. In that case, P is said to be *regular*. This is distinguished from ellipticity of P since the principal symbol of multiplication by a as a second order operator is zero (in other words, the boundary symbol operator is $|D_\nu|^2$ and not $|D_\nu|^2 + a$). Furthermore, if $0 < \nu < 1$, fix boundary conditions (T, C). Thus T is just a column vector of J boundary operators $T_k = T_k^\pm \gamma_\pm$ with $T_k^\pm \in \mathbb{C}$, and C is a $(J+1) \times J$ matrix with $\mathbb{C}$-valued entries.

Regularity of the operator $\mathscr{P} = \{P, T, C\}$ is defined as just the Lopatinskiĭ condition: let $\mathcal{M}_+$ denote the space of bounded solutions to the equation $Pu = 0$. Then $\mathscr{P}$ is regular if the only element $(u, \underline{u}) \in \mathcal{M}_+ \times \mathbb{C}^J$ satisfying $Tu + C\underline{u} = 0$ is the trivial solution.

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose that P given by (4.9) is regular, and that $\mathscr{P} = \{P, T, C\}$ is regular if $0 < \nu < 1$.*

(1) If $0 < \nu < 1$, then $\mathscr{P}$ is an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^J \to \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^{1+J}$$

for each $s = 0, 1, 2$. The operator norm of $\mathscr{P}^{-1}$ depends continuously on a and the coefficients of G and C

(2) If $\nu \geq 1$, then P is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_+) \to \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$$

for each $s = 0, 1, 2$. The operator norm of P^{-1} depends continuously on a.

The proof of this proposition is split up across several Lemmas.

Lemma 4.6. *Proposition 4.5 holds when $0 < \nu < 1$ and $s = 2$.*

Proof. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ via the map $v \mapsto (v, \underline{\gamma} v)$, it is sufficient to prove the lemma with $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ replacing $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. By the regularity condition, P is injective. Indeed any solution in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to the equation $Pu = 0$ must lie in $\mathcal{M}_+$, and the Lopatinskiĭ condition implies that such a solution is unique. It remains to show surjectivity.

Fix $(f, g) \in \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^{J+1}$. From Lemma 4.4, it follows that that the equation

$$Pu = f$$

has a solution $u_1 \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. It then suffices to let $(u_2, \underline{u}) \in \mathcal{M}_+ \times \mathbb{C}^J$ solve

$$\begin{cases} Pu_2 = 0, \\ Tu_2 + C\underline{u} = g - Tu_1, \end{cases}$$

This is possible since

$$(u, \underline{u}) \mapsto Tu + C\underline{u}$$

as a map between the finite dimensional vector spaces $\mathcal{M}_+ \times \mathbb{C}^J \to \mathbb{C}^{J+1}$ is injective, hence an isomorphism. Setting $u = u_1 + u_2$ shows that $\mathscr{P}(u, \underline{u}) = (f, g)$. It is also easy to see that the operator norm of $\mathscr{P}$ depends continuously on a and the coefficients of G and C, which implies the same for the operator norm of $\mathscr{P}^{-1}$ via the resolvent identity. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. *Proposition 4.5 holds when $0 < \nu < 1$ and $s = 0$.*

Proof. Since the formal adjoint operator $\mathscr{P}^*$ is also regular according to Lemma 4.3, the map

$$\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^{1+J} \to \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^J$$

given by

$$(v, \underline{v}) \mapsto (P^* v,\ J\underline{\gamma} v + G^* \underline{v},\ C^* \underline{v})$$

is an isomorphism according to Lemma 4.6. But in that case, a direct calculation shows that $\mathscr{P}^*$ agrees with the Hilbert space adjoint $\mathscr{P}'$ of

$$\mathscr{P} : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^0(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^J \to \mathcal{H}^{-2}(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^{1+J}.$$

Since $\mathscr{P}'$ is an isomorphism, $\mathscr{P}$ is an isomorphism on the stated spaces as well. $\square$

To prove Proposition 4.5 for $s = 1$, the following regularity result is needed.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $0 < \nu < 1$. Suppose that $(u, \underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfies $P(u, \underline{\phi}) \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Then $(u, \underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)'$ denote the restriction of the functional $P(u, \underline{\phi})$ to $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. This implies that $f = Pu$ in the sense of distributions. By Lemma 4.4, there exists a unique $\tilde{u} \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $P\tilde{u} = f$ in the distributional sense. Thus in sense of distributions on $\mathbb{R}_+$,

$$P(u - \tilde{u}) = 0.$$

Since u and $\tilde{u}$ are square integrable, it follows that $u - \tilde{u} \in \mathcal{M}_+$. Thus it is certainly true that

$$u = (u - \tilde{u}) + \tilde{u} \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

It remains remains to prove that $\phi_- = \gamma_- u$. A priori $(u, \underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^0(\mathbb{R}_+)$, so for each $v \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$,

$$\langle f, v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+} = \langle u, P^* v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+} - \phi_+(\gamma_- v) + \phi_-(\gamma_+ v).$$

Using that $u \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$, this may be rewritten as

$$\langle f, v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+} - \langle D_\nu u, D_\nu v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+} - a\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathbb{R}_+} + \phi_+(\gamma_- v) = (\phi_- - \gamma_- u)\gamma_+ v$$

for each $v \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. But the left hand side extends to a continuous functional on $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$, which is not true of the right hand side unless $\phi_- = \gamma_- u$, thus completing the proof. ☐

Lemma 4.9. *Proposition 4.5 holds when* $0 < \nu < 1$ *and* $s = 1$.

Proof. The regularity result of Lemma 4.8 combined with Lemma 4.7 shows that $\mathscr{P}$ defines a continuous bijection, hence an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^J \to \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^{J+1}$$

as stated. ☐

Lemma 4.10. *Proposition 4.5 holds when* $\nu \geq 1$.

Proof. If $\nu \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_+) = \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for $s \geq 0$. Thus it suffices to apply Lemma 4.4 directly when $s = 1, 2$. The case $s = 0$ is handled by duality, similar to 4.7. ☐

Proof of Proposition 4.5. The combination of Lemmas 4.6, 4.9, 4.7, 4.10 establishes Proposition 4.5 ☐

4.7. **Elliptic Bessel operators on $\mathbb{T}^n_+$ with constant coefficients.** Throughout this section, P denotes a constant coefficient Bessel operator on $\mathbb{T}^n_+$,

$$P(D_\nu, D_y) = |D_\nu|^2 + A(D_y) \tag{4.10}$$

If $0 < \nu < 1$, then P is also augmented by boundary conditions (T, C) with constant coefficients: thus each boundary operator is of the form $T_k(D) = T_k^{\pm}(D_y)\gamma_{\pm}$, and each entry of $C(D_y)$ has constant coefficients.

The principal part of P is the operator

$$P^\circ(D_\nu, D_y) = |D_\nu|^2 + A^\circ(D_y),$$

where $A^\circ(D_y)$ is the standard principal part of A. The principal parts of $(T(D_y), C(D_y))$ are defined to be the unique boundary operators $(T^\circ(D_y), C^\circ(D_y))$ satisfying

$$T^\circ(\eta) = \widehat{T}_\eta, \quad C^\circ(\eta) = \widehat{C}_\eta$$

for each $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Finally, define $\mathscr{P}^\circ(D_\nu, D_y) = \{P^\circ(D_\nu, D_y), T^\circ(D_y), C^\circ(D_y)\}$. Ellipticity of either P or $\mathscr{P}$ depends only on these principal parts.

Lemma 4.11. *Assume that P and $\mathscr{P}$ are elliptic. Furthermore, assume that the one dimensional operators $P(D_\nu, q)$ (if $\nu \geq 1$) and $\mathscr{P}(D_\nu, q)$ (if $0 < \nu < 1$) are regular for each $q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$.*

(1) If $0 < \nu < 1$, then

$$\mathscr{P} : \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{2+\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times \mathcal{H}^{2-\underline{\mu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$$

is an isomorphism.

(2) If $\nu \geq 1$*, then*

$$P : \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. (1) Let $0 < \nu < 1$. By ellipticity,

$$\mathscr{P}^\circ(D_\nu, \langle q \rangle^{-1} q) : \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \times \mathbb{C}^J \to \mathbb{C}^{1+J}$$

is an isomorphism for each $q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, according to Proposition 4.5. Since $\langle q \rangle^{-1} q$ ranges over a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, the operator norm of $\mathscr{P}^\circ(D_\nu, \langle q \rangle^{-1} q)^{-1}$ is bounded uniformly with respect to $q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \setminus 0$. On the other hand, the homogeneity of P° implies

$$\tau^{-2} S_{-\tau} P^\circ(D_\nu, D_y) S_\tau = P^\circ(D_\nu, \tau^{-1} D_y), \quad \tau^{-\underline{\mu}+1/2} T^\circ(D_y) S_\tau = T^\circ(\tau^{-1} D_y).$$

Using $\tau = \langle q \rangle$, this implies that the operator norm corresponding to the problem

$$\begin{cases} \langle q \rangle^{-2} S_{\langle q \rangle^{-1}} P(D_\nu, q) S_{\langle q \rangle} v = \phi, \\ \langle q \rangle^{-\mu_k+1/2} T(q) S_{\langle q \rangle} v + \sum_{i=1}^J \langle q \rangle^{-\tau_j - \mu_k} C_{k,j}(q) \underline{v} = \underline{\psi} \end{cases}$$

tends to that of $\mathscr{P}^\circ(D_\nu, \langle q \rangle^{-1} D_y)$ as $|q| \to \infty$. Thus the former problem is invertible for $q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ with operator norm uniformly bounded in q. Apply this invertibility result to the functions

$$v = S_{\langle q \rangle^{-1}} \hat{u}(q), \quad \underline{v} = (\langle q \rangle^{\tau_1 + 1/2} \hat{\underline{u}}_1(q), \ldots, \langle q \rangle^{\tau_J + 1/2} \hat{\underline{u}}_J(q)).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\| S_{\langle q \rangle^{-1}} \hat{u}(q) \|^2_{\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} + \langle q \rangle^{1+2\underline{\tau}} \| \hat{\underline{u}}(q) \|^2_{\mathbb{C}^J} \\ &\quad \leq C \left(\langle q \rangle^{-4} \| S_{\langle q \rangle^{-1}} P(D_\nu, q) \hat{u}(q) \|^2 + \langle q \rangle^{1-2\underline{\mu}} \| T(q) \hat{u}(q) + C_{k,j}(q) \hat{u}(q) \|^2_{\mathbb{C}^{J+1}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

From (4.11) it follows that $\mathscr{P}$ is injective. Now multiply this equation by $\langle q \rangle^{2s-1} = \langle q \rangle^3$ and sum over $q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. Then Lemma 3.7 shows that the Fourier series for $(u, \underline{u})$ converges in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{2+\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$. Combined with the fact that $\mathscr{P}(D_\nu, q)$ is invertible for each $q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, this shows that $\mathscr{P}$ is surjective.

(2) The proof when $\nu \geq 1$ follows as above, disregarding the boundary operators. ☐

Corollary 1. *Assume that* P *and* $\mathscr{P}$ *are elliptic. Furthermore, assume that the one dimensional operators* $P(D_\nu, q)$ *(if* $\nu \geq 1$*) and* $\mathscr{P}(D_\nu, q)$ *(if* $0 < \nu < 1$*) are regular for each* $q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$*.*

(1) If $0 < \nu < 1$*, then*

$$\mathscr{P} : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{s+\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times \mathcal{H}^{s-\underline{\mu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$$

is an isomorphism for $s = 0, 1, 2$*.*

(2) If $\nu \geq 1$, *then*

$$P : \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \to \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$$

is an isomorphism for $s = 0, 1, 2$.

Proof. (1) It remains to handle the cases $s = 0, 1$. First consider $s = 0$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.7, the formal adjoint

$$\mathscr{P}^* : \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{\underline{\mu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{\underline{\nu}-2}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \times H^{-\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$$

agrees with the adjoint of

$$\mathscr{P} : \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{H}^{-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{-\underline{\mu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}).$$

Now $\mathscr{P}^*$ satisfies the same hypotheses as $\mathscr{P}$ in regards to the application of Lemma 4.11, so is an isomorphism. This implies that $\mathscr{P}'$ is an isomorphism, hence so is $\mathscr{P}$ on the stated spaces.

The case $s = 1$ follows from (4.11) combined with Lemma 4.9: indeed, multiplying the analogue of (4.11) by $\langle q \rangle^{2s-1} = \langle q \rangle$ and using the invertibility result from Lemma 4.9 shows that $\mathscr{P}$ is surjective on $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{1+\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ (as well as injective by the $s = 0$ case).

(2) As usual, when $\nu \geq 1$ the proof follows by dropping the boundary terms. ☐

Remark. If $P(D_\nu, D_y)$ is elliptic, then $P^\circ(D_\nu, D_y + \frac{1}{2})$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 4.11. Similarly, if $0 < \nu < 1$ and $\mathscr{P}(D_\nu, D_y)$ is elliptic, then $\mathscr{P}^\circ(D_\nu, D_y + \frac{1}{2})$ also satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 4.11.

4.8. **Elliptic Bessel operators on $\mathbb{T}^n_+$ with variable coefficients.** In this section, let P be a Bessel operator on $\mathbb{T}^n_+$ of the form

$$P(x, y, D_\nu, D_y) = |D_\nu|^2 + B(x, y, D_y) D_\nu + A(x, y, D_y),$$

where the coefficients of A, B are constant outside a compact subset of $\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+}$. If $0 < \nu < 1$, then P is also augmented by boundary conditions $(T(y, D_y), C(y, D_y))$. Introduce the notation

$$P^{(0)}(D_\nu, D_y) := P^\circ(0, 0, D_\nu, D_y + \tfrac{1}{2}),$$
$$T^{(0)}(D_y) = T^\circ(0, D_y + \tfrac{1}{2}), \quad C^{(0)}(D_y) = C^\circ(0, D_y + \tfrac{1}{2}).$$

According to Lemma 4.11, if P and $\mathscr{P}$ are elliptic, then $P^{(0)}$ (if $\nu \geq 1$) and $\mathscr{P}^{(0)}$ (if $0 < \nu < 1$) are isomorphisms on the appropriate spaces.

Given $\rho > 0$, define the Fourier multiplier $K_\rho = 1_{|x| \geq \rho}(D_y)$. This operator acts both on Sobolev spaces $H^m(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, as well as on $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ (or $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$) via the results of Section 3.6. If $m > m'$ then clearly

$$\| K_\rho \phi \|_{H^{m'}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})} \leq \langle \rho \rangle^{m-m'} \| \phi \|_{H^m(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})} \tag{4.12}$$

for $\phi \in H^m(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$. Similarly,

$$\|K_\rho u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq \langle \rho \rangle^{-|\alpha|} \|D^\alpha_y u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq \langle \rho \rangle^{-|\alpha|} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s+|\alpha|}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \tag{4.13}$$

for $u \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, provided $s + |\alpha| \leq 2$. A similar statement holds for $(u, \underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Lemma 4.12. *Assume that P and $\mathscr{P}$ are elliptic. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the following hold.*

(1) *Let $0 < \nu < 1$ and $s = 0, 1, 2$. Suppose that $(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{s+\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ satisfies*

$$\operatorname{supp} u \subseteq \{(x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+} : |x| + |y| < \varepsilon\},$$
$$\operatorname{supp} \underline{\phi} \subseteq \{y \in \mathbb{T}^{n-1} : |y| < \delta\}, \quad \operatorname{supp} \underline{u} \subseteq \{y \in \mathbb{T}^{n-1} : |y| < \delta\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{s+\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})} \leq C(&\|\mathscr{P}(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{s-\underline{\mu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})} \\ &+ \|(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{s-1}(X) \times H^{s-1+\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

where $C > 0$ does not depend on $(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})$. In addition, if $s = 0, 1$ and

$$\mathscr{P}(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) \in \mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times \mathcal{H}^{s-\underline{\mu}+1}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}),$$

then $(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{s+\underline{\tau}+1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

(2) *Let $\nu \geq 1$ and $s = 0, 1, 2$. Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ satisfies*

$$\operatorname{supp} u \subseteq \{(x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+} : |x| + |y| < \delta\}$$

Then

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C(\|Pu\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}),$$

where $C > 0$ does not depend on u. In addition, if $s = 0, 1$ and $Pu \in \mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, then $u \in \mathcal{H}^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Proof. (1) For concreteness, assume that $s = 1$ and $\mathscr{P}(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) \in \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{2-\underline{\mu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$. If $(f, \underline{g}) = \mathscr{P}(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})$, consider the identity

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathscr{P}^{(0)}(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) + (\mathscr{P} - \mathscr{P}^{(0)})(K_\rho(u, \underline{\phi}), K_\rho \underline{u}) \\ &\qquad = (f, \underline{g}) - (\mathscr{P} - \mathscr{P}^{(0)})((1 - K_\rho)(u, \underline{\phi}), (1 - K_\rho)\underline{u}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Noting that the term $(P - P^{(0)})(u, \underline{\phi})$ depends only on u (and not on $\underline{\phi}$), it follows from Lemmas 3.12, 3.13 and (4.13) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|(P - P^{(0)})K_\rho u\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} &\leq C_1 \delta \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} + C_2 \|K_\rho u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \\ &\leq (C_1 \delta + C_2 \langle \rho \rangle^{-1}) \|(u, \underline{\phi})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)},\end{aligned}$$

for positive constants C_1, C_2. By standard interpolation inequalities on $H^m(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|(T_k - T^{(0)})_k K_\rho \underline{\phi}\| &\leq C_3 \delta \|\underline{\phi}\|_{H^{2-\underline{\nu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})} + C_4 \|K_\rho \underline{\phi}\|_{H^{1-\underline{\nu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})} \\ &\leq (C_3\delta + C_4 \langle \rho \rangle^{-1}) C_5 \|(u, \underline{\phi})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}.\end{aligned}$$

For this, one should consider the cases $0 < \nu < 1/2$, $\nu = 1/2$, and $1/2 < \nu < 1$ separately, but they all yield the same type of the estimate. Similarly,

$$\|(C - C^{(0)}) K_\rho \underline{u}\|_{H^{2-\underline{\mu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})} \leq (C_6 \delta + C_7 \langle \rho \rangle^{-1}) \|\underline{u}\|_{H^{s+\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})}.$$

These inequalities imply that the operator norm of

$$(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) \mapsto (\mathscr{P} - \mathscr{P}^{(0)})(K_\rho u, K_\rho \underline{u})$$

can be made arbitrarily small by choosing $\delta > 0$ small and $\rho > 0$ large. Since $\mathscr{P}^{(0)}$ is invertible with domain $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{2+\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, it follows that the operator on the left hand side of (4.15) is invertible for δ small and ρ large.

On the other hand, the map

$$(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) \mapsto (\mathscr{P} - \mathscr{P}^{(0)})((1 - K_\rho)(u, \underline{\phi}), (1 - K_\rho)\underline{u})$$

is bounded $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{1+\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{2-\underline{\mu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$. In particular, $(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{2+\underline{\tau}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})}$, and the estimate (4.14) holds. Of course this also implies that (4.14) holds for arbitrary $(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{2+\tau}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ as well. The exact same argument establishes the regularity result for $s = 0$, as well (4.14) for $s = 0, 1$.

(2) As usual, the case $\nu \geq 1$ can be handled by a simpler argument not involving the boundary operators.

□

Lemma 4.12 can be semi-globalized via a partition of unity argument.

Corollary 2. *Assume that P and $\mathscr{P}$ are elliptic at ∂X. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\varphi, \chi \in C_c^\infty([0, \delta))$ satisfy $\varphi = 1$ near $x = 0$ and $\chi = 1$ near $\operatorname{supp} \varphi$, then the following hold.*

(1) Let $0 < \nu < 1$ and $s = 0, 1, 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{s+\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})} \leq C(&\|\varphi \mathscr{P}(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{s-\underline{\mu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})} \\ &+ \|\chi(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{s-1+\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

for each $(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times \mathcal{H}^{s+\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$. In addition, if $s = 0, 1$ and

$$\varphi \mathscr{P}(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) \in \mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times \mathcal{H}^{s-\underline{\mu}+1}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}),$$

then $\varphi(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{s+\underline{\tau}+1}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$.

(2) Let $\nu \geq 1$ *and* $s = 0, 1, 2$. *Then*

$$\|\varphi u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C(\|\varphi P u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} + \|\chi u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)}), \tag{4.17}$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. *In addition, if* $s = 0, 1$ *and* $\varphi P u \in \mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, *then* $\varphi u \in \mathcal{H}^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Sketch of proof for $0 < \nu < 1$. By compactness of $\mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ it is possible to choose δ and a finite cover $\mathbb{T}^{n-1} = \bigcup_i U_i$ such that Lemma 4.12 is valid for $(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})$ supported in $[0, \delta) \times U_i$. Fix a partition of unity β_i subordinate to U_i, and choose γ_i supported in U_i that $\gamma_i = 1$ on $\operatorname{supp} \beta_i$. For φ, χ as in the statement of the corollary,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{s+\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})} \leq C_1 \|\varphi \mathscr{P}(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{s-\underline{\mu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})} \\ + \sum_i \|[\mathscr{P}, \beta_i \varphi] \gamma_i \chi (u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{\mu})\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{s-\underline{\mu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})} \\ + C_2 \|\varphi(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{s-1+\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Writing $\varphi_i \beta_i \varphi$, the commutator $[\mathscr{P}, \beta_i \varphi]$ is given by

$$(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) \mapsto (P(\varphi_i u, \beta_i \underline{\phi}) - \varphi_i P(u, \underline{\phi}), [G, \beta_i] \underline{\phi} + [C, \beta_i] \underline{u}).$$

It is then straightforward to check that this operator has the requisite mapping properties. The regularity statement is established in the same way. ☐

Remark. As usual, the norms of the lower order terms on the right hand sides of (4.16), (4.17) can be taken in less regular Sobolev spaces by iterating Corollary 2. Similarly, the regularity result can also be iterated.

4.9. **Elliptic Bessel operators on a compact manifold with boundary.**

The main theorem in this section establishes elliptic estimates and elliptic regularity for elliptic Bessel operators on a compact manifold with boundary $\overline{X}$.

Theorem 1. *Let* $\overline{X}$ *be a compact manifold with boundary as in Section 1.2. Assume that* $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X)$ *is elliptic at* ∂X *in the sense of Section 1.4. If* $0 < \nu < 1$, *then assume* P *is augmented by boundary conditions* (T, C) *such that* $\mathscr{P} = \{P, T, C\}$ *is elliptic at* ∂X. *There exists* $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ *such that if* $\varphi, \chi \in C_c^\infty(\{0 \leq x < \delta\})$ *satisfy* $\varphi = 1$ *near* ∂X *and* $\chi = 1$ *near* $\operatorname{supp} \varphi$, *then the following hold.*

(1) Let $0 < \nu < 1$ *and* $s = 0, 1, 2$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X) \times H^{s+\underline{\tau}}(\partial X)} \leq C(&\|\varphi \mathscr{P}(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(X) \times H^{s-\underline{\mu}}(\partial X)} \\ &+ \|\chi(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{s-1}(X) \times H^{s-1+\underline{\tau}}(\partial X)}), \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

for each $(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X) \times \mathcal{H}^{s+\underline{\tau}}(\partial X)$. *In addition, if* $s = 0, 1$ *and*

$$\varphi \mathscr{P}(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) \in \mathcal{H}^{s-1}(X) \times \mathcal{H}^{s-\underline{\mu}+1}(\partial X),$$

then $\varphi(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{s+1}(X) \times H^{s+\underline{\tau}+1}(\partial X)$.

(2) *Let* $\nu \geq 1$ *and* $s = 0, 1, 2$. *Then*

$$\|\varphi u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(X)} \leq C(\|\varphi P u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(X)} + \|\chi u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-1}(X)}),$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{H}^s(X)$. *In addition, if* $s = 0, 1$ *and* $\varphi P u \in \mathcal{H}^{s-1}(X)$, *then* $\varphi u \in \mathcal{H}^{s+1}(X)$.

Proof. The global problem may be reduced to a local problem on $\mathbb{T}^n_+$ via coordinate charts and a partition of unity. Indeed, cover the collar neighborhood $\overline{W}$ by finitely many coordinate charts (U_i, ψ_i) of the form

$$U_i = \psi([0, \varepsilon) \times Y_i), \quad \psi_i = (1 \times \theta_i) \circ \phi^{-1},$$

where (Y_i, θ_i) is a coordinate chart on ∂X. Choose a partition of unity β_i subordinate to Y_i and a function $\alpha \in C^\infty_c(0 \leq x < \varepsilon)$ such that $\alpha = 1$ near $\{0 \leq x < \delta\}$. Then set $\chi_i = \alpha \beta_i$ and apply the results of Corollary 2 to each element

$$((\chi_i u) \circ \psi_i^{-1}, (\beta_i \underline{\phi}) \circ \theta_i^{-1}, (\beta_i \underline{u}) \circ \theta_i^{-1}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \times H^{s+\underline{\tau}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}).$$

Again there will be various commutator terms which give only lower order contributions, as in Corollary 2. □

As in the remark following Corollary 2, the error terms in Theorem 1 can taken in weaker Sobolev spaces by iteration.

Recall the definition of $\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)$ in Section 3.11. Theorem 1 can be used to show that $\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)$ (or equivalently $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X)$) may be identified with the space of all pairs $(u, f) \in \mathcal{H}^s(X) \times \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(X)$ such that $Pu = f$ in the weak sense, see also [50, Chapter 6.1].

Lemma 4.13. *Let* $0 < \nu < 1$, *and suppose that* P *is elliptic at* ∂X. *Then for* $s = 0, 1, 2$,

$$\mathcal{H}^s_P(X) = \{(u, f) \in \mathcal{H}^s(X) \times \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(X) : \; Pu = f \text{ weakly}\},$$

where the space on the right hand side is equipped with the $\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)$ *norm.*

Proof. As in the remark following Lemma 3.23, $\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)$ is contained in the space on the right hand side. For the converse, suppose that $u \in \mathcal{H}^s(X)$ and $f = Pu \in \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(X)$ weakly. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.23, consider the functional

$$\ell(\underline{\psi}) = \langle u, P^* v\rangle_X - \langle f, v\rangle_X, \quad \underline{\psi} \in C^\infty(\partial X) \times C^\infty(\partial X)$$

where v is any member of $\mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ such that $\underline{\gamma} v = \underline{\psi}$. Since $Pu = f$ weakly, ℓ is well defined (namely it does not depend on the choice of v). In particular, one may take

$v = \mathcal{K}(\underline{\psi})$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is a bounded right inverse mapping $C^\infty(\partial X) \times C^\infty(\partial X) \to \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$. Thus

$$\ell(\underline{\psi}) \leq C_1 \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)} (\|\mathcal{K}(\underline{\psi})\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2-s}(X)} + \|\underline{\psi}\|_{H^{2-s-\underline{\nu}}(\partial X)}) \leq C_2 \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)} \|\underline{\psi}\|_{H^{2-s-\underline{\nu}}(\partial X)}$$

By Hahn–Banach and the Riesz theorem, there exists a unique $\underline{\phi} \in H^{s-\underline{\nu}}(\partial X)$ such that

$$\langle u, P^* v\rangle_X - \langle f, v\rangle_X = \left\langle J\underline{\phi}, \underline{\gamma} v\right\rangle_{\partial X}.$$

for each $v \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$. Consider the pair $(u, \underline{\phi})$; a priori this is an element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^0(X)$. On the other hand, for each $v \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle P(u, \underline{\phi}), v\right\rangle_X &= \langle u, P^* v\rangle_X + \left\langle \underline{\phi}, J\underline{\gamma} v\right\rangle_{\partial X} \\ &= \langle f, v\rangle_X, \end{aligned}$$

so $P(u, \underline{\phi}) = f$. Since $f \in \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(X)$ and $\phi_- \in H^{s-1+\nu}(\partial X)$, Theorem 1 implies that $(u, \underline{\psi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X)$ since the the boundary value problem $\{P, \gamma_-\}$ is elliptic at ∂X. According to Lemma 3.23, this means that the pair (u, f) can be identified with an element of $\mathcal{H}^s_P(X)$. □

Suppose that P is elliptic at ∂X and let $s = 0, 1$. If $u \in \mathcal{H}^s(X)$ and $Pu \in \mathcal{H}^0(X)$ in distributions, then there is a canonical $f \in \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(X)$ such that $Pu = f$ weakly, namely the element $Pu \in \mathcal{H}^0(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{s-2}(X)$ itself. According to Lemma 4.13, to this choice of f there is a uniquely associated $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^{s-\underline{\nu}}(\partial X)$ such that $P(u, \underline{\phi}) = Pu$ and the norm $\|(u, \underline{\phi})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X)}$ is equivalent to $\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(X)} + \|Pu\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2-s}(X)}$. Adding $\|Pu\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X)}$ to both of these norms shows that the spaces

$$\{u \in \mathcal{H}^s(X) : Pu \in \mathcal{H}^0(X)\} \text{ and } \{(u, \underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X) : P(u, \underline{\phi}) \in \mathcal{H}^0(X)\}$$

coincide, with an equivalence between the natural graph norms. This will be exploited in Section 5.1.

4.10. **Parameter-elliptic boundary value problems.** This section concerns elliptic estimates for parameter-dependent Bessel operators. The exposition is deliberately brief, since most of the definitions and facts in this section are straightforward adaptations from the non-parameter-dependent setting. In particular the main theorem of this section, Theorem 2, is stated without proof. The interested reader is referred to [50, Chap. 9] for an indication of how the proofs should be modified in the parameter-dependent setting.

Fix a compact manifold with boundary $\overline{X}$ with the usual data of a boundary defining function and collar diffeomorphism. Let $P(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Bess}^{(\lambda)}_\nu(X)$ be a parameter-dependent Bessel operator; if $0 < \nu < 1$, then $P(\lambda)$ is augmented by boundary conditions as in

Section 4.2. The boundary conditions themselves may depend on the spectral parameter λ, namely one considers $(T(\lambda), C(\lambda))$ where

$$T_k(\lambda) = T^{\pm}(\lambda)\gamma_{\pm}, \quad T_{-}(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Diff}^1_{(\lambda)}(\partial X),\, T^{+}(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Diff}^0(\partial X).$$

and $C_{k,j} \in \mathrm{Diff}^*_{(\lambda)}(\partial X)$. It is necessary to formulate a parameter-dependent Lopatinskiĭ condition for $(T(\lambda), C(\lambda))$. Suppose that $\mu \in \{1-\nu, 2-\nu, 1+\nu\}$ and $\mathrm{ord}^{(\lambda)}_{\nu}(T(\lambda)) \leq \mu$. Here the order of T with respect to ν is defined in the parameter-dependent sense, namely factors of λ are given the same weight as a derivative tangent to ∂X. Define the family of operators

$$\widehat{T}_{(y,\eta;\lambda)} = \sigma^{(\lambda)}_{\lceil \mu-1+\nu \rceil}(T^{-}(\lambda))\gamma_{-} + \sigma^{(\lambda)}_{\lceil \mu-1-\nu \rceil}(T^{+}(\lambda))\gamma_{+},$$

indexed by $(y, \eta, \lambda) \in T^*\partial X \times \mathbb{C}$. Thus each $(y, \eta, \lambda) \in T^*\partial X \times \mathbb{C}$ gives rise to a one-dimensional boundary operator $\widehat{T}_{(y,\eta;\lambda)}$.

Next, choose $c_{k,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\mathrm{ord}^{(\lambda)}(C_{k,j}(\lambda)) \leq c_{k,j} \leq \tau_j + \mu_k,$$

and then define the matrix $\widehat{C}_{(y,\eta)}$ with entries

$$(\widehat{C}_{(y,\eta;\lambda)})_{k,j} = \sigma^{(\lambda)}_{c_{k,j}}(C_{k,j}(\lambda))(y, \eta; \lambda).$$

Again the order of $C_{k,j}(\lambda)$ is taken in the parameter-dependent sense.

Definition 13. Suppose that $P(\lambda)$ is parameter-elliptic on ∂X with respect to an angular sector Λ. The boundary operators $(T(\lambda), C(\lambda))$ are said to satisfy the parameter-dependent Lopatinskiĭ condition with respect to P and Λ if for each $p \in \partial X$ and $(\eta, \lambda) \in T^*_p\partial X \times \Lambda \setminus 0$, the only element $(u, \underline{u}) \in \mathcal{M}_{+}(p, \eta, \lambda) \times \mathbb{C}^J$ satisfying

$$\widehat{T}_{(p,\eta,\lambda)}u + \widehat{C}_{(p,\eta,\lambda)}\underline{u} = 0$$

is the trivial solution $(u, \underline{u}) = 0$. The operator $\mathscr{P}(\lambda) = \{P(\lambda), T(\lambda), C(\lambda)\}$, is said to be parameter elliptic if $P(\lambda)$ is parameter-elliptic and $(T(\lambda), C(\lambda))$ satisfy the parameter-dependent Lopatinskiĭ condition on ∂X with respect to $P(\lambda)$ and Λ.

Example. If $P(\lambda)$ is parameter-elliptic in an angular sector Λ and T is a λ-independent boundary condition satisfying the Lopatinskiĭ condition, then $\{P(\lambda), T\}$ is parameter-elliptic with respect to Λ.

Example. If $1/2 < \nu < 1$, then any boundary condition of the form $T = \gamma_{+} + T^{-}(\lambda)\gamma_{-}$, where $T^{-}(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Diff}^1_{(\lambda)}(\partial X)$, satisfies the parameter-dependent Lopatinskiĭ condition with respect to any angular sector.

In the notation of Theorem 1, the main theorem of this section is the following. As remarked in the introduction to this section, it is provided without proof.

Theorem 2. *Let $\overline{X}$ be a compact manifold with boundary as in Section 1.2. Assume that $P(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu^{(\lambda)}(X)$ is parameter-elliptic at ∂X with respect to an angular sector Λ in the sense of Section 1.5. If $0 < \nu < 1$, then assume $P(\lambda)$ is augmented by parameter-dependent boundary conditions $(T(\lambda), C(\lambda))$ such that $\mathscr{P}(\lambda) = \{P(\lambda), T(\lambda), C(\lambda)\}$ is elliptic with respect to Λ. There exists $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ such that if $\varphi, \chi \in C_c^\infty(\{0 \leq x < \delta\})$ satisfy $\varphi = 1$ near ∂X and $\chi = 1$ near $\operatorname{supp} \varphi$, then the following hold.*

(1) Let $0 < \nu < 1$ and $s = 0, 1, 2$. Then

$$\|\!|\varphi(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})\|\!|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X) \times H^{s+\underline{\mathcal{T}}}(\partial X)} \leq C(\|\!|\varphi \mathscr{P}(\lambda)(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})\|\!|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(X) \times H^{s-\underline{\mu}}(\partial X)} + \|\!|\chi(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u})\|\!|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{s-1}(X) \times H^{s-1+\underline{\mathcal{T}}}(\partial X)}), \tag{4.19}$$

for each $(u, \underline{\phi}, \underline{u}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^s(X) \times \mathcal{H}^{s+\underline{\mathcal{T}}}(\partial X)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

(2) Let $\nu \geq 1$ and $s = 0, 1, 2$. Then

$$\|\!|\varphi u\|\!|_{\mathcal{H}^s(X)} \leq C(\|\!|\varphi P(\lambda) u\|\!|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-2}(X)} + \|\!|\chi u\|\!|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-1}(X)}), \tag{4.20}$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{H}^s(X)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

4.11. **Conormal regularity.** So far only regularity at the $\mathcal{H}^2$ level has been discussed. Higher order regularity is defined in terms of a scale of conormal Sobolev spaces relative to $\mathcal{H}^s$. Let $\overline{X}$ be a compact manifold with boundary with a fixed boundary defining function x and collar neighborhood $\overline{W}$. Then let $\overline{X}_{\mathrm{even}}$ denote the manifold $\overline{X}$ equipped with a new smooth structure: on the collar $\overline{W} \simeq [0, \varepsilon)_x \times \partial X$, functions are smooth if in the normal direction they depend on x^2 (rather than just x).

Define the Lie algebra $\mathcal{V}_b(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{even}})$ of smooth vector fields on $\overline{X}_{\mathrm{even}}$ which are tangent to ∂X. In local coordinates $x, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}$ on the collar, elements of $\mathcal{V}_b(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{even}})$ are $C^\infty(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{even}})$ linear combinations of $x\partial_x$ and ∂_{y_i}.

Lemma 4.14. *If $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X)$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}_b(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{even}})$ satisfies $Vx = x + \mathcal{O}(x^3)$, then $[P, V] \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X)$.*

Proof. The hypothesis implies that in local coordinates,

$$V(x, y) = a(x^2, y) x\partial_x + b^i(x^2, y)\partial_{y_i}$$

where $a(0, y) = 1$. Note that

$$[|\partial_\nu|^2, x\partial_x] = -2|\partial_\nu|^2, \quad [\partial_\nu, x\partial_x] = -\partial_\nu.$$

Also from (3.10), if $a \in C^\infty(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{even}})$, then

$$[|\partial_\nu|^2, a] = \hat{a} x \partial_\nu + \tilde{a}$$

for $\hat{a}, \tilde{a} \in C^\infty(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{even}})$, as well as $[x\partial_\nu, a] \in C^\infty(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{even}})$. The result follows immediately from these observations. ☐

Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s = 0, 1, 2$, the space $\mathcal{H}^{s,k}(X)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{H}^{s,k}(X) = \{u \in \mathcal{H}^s(X) : V_1 \cdots V_k u \in \mathcal{H}^s(X) \text{ for any } V_1, \dots, V_k \in \mathcal{V}_b(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{even}})\}.$$

Fixing a finite generating set $\mathscr{V}$ for $\mathcal{V}_b(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{even}})$, this space can be given the topology of a Hilbert space by inductively defining the norms

$$\|u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{s,k}(X)} = \sum_{V \in \mathscr{V}} \|Vu\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{s,k-1}(X)}.$$

A different choice of generating set yields an equivalent norm. Note that over any compact $K \subseteq X$, there is an equivalence between functions in $\mathcal{H}^{s,k}(X)$ and $H^{s+k}(X)$ which are supported on K. In addition, all of the density results which hold for $\mathcal{H}^s(X)$ also hold for $\mathcal{H}^{s,k}(X)$.

Remark. If $s = 0, 1$, then in fact

$$\mathcal{H}^{s,k}(X) = \{u \in \mathcal{H}^s(X) : V_1 \cdots V_k u \in \mathcal{H}^s(X) \text{ for any } V_1, \dots, V_k \in \mathcal{V}_b(\overline{X})\}.$$

Thus only $\mathcal{H}^{2,k}(X)$ necessitates the introduction of a new smooth structure on $\overline{X}$.

Lemma 4.15. *Let $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

1. *If $\nu > 0$, then $P : \mathcal{H}^{2,k}(X) \to \mathcal{H}^{0,k}(X)$ is bounded.*
2. *If $0 < \nu < 1$ and T is a boundary operator such that $\mathrm{ord}_\nu(T) \le \mu$, then $T : \mathcal{H}^{2,k}(X) \to H^{k+2-\mu}(\partial X)$ is bounded.*

Proof. (1) Any $V \in \mathcal{V}_b(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{even}})$ can be written as $V = aV_1$, where $a \in C^\infty(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{even}})$ and $V_1 x = x + \mathcal{O}(x^3)$. The result can now be deduced from Lemma 4.14.

(2) Given a vector field Z on ∂X, there exists $V \in \mathcal{V}_b(\overline{X}_{\mathrm{even}})$ such that $V|_{\partial X} = Z$. Then $Z(\gamma_\pm u) = \gamma_\pm(Vu)$ for each $u \in \mathcal{H}^{2,k}(X)$; this is certainly true on $\mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ and extends by density. □

Fix a generating set $\mathscr{V} = \{V_0, V_1, \dots, V_N\}$ for $\mathcal{V}_b(\overline{W}_{\mathrm{even}})$ as follows: set $V_0 = x\partial_x$, and then choose a collection of vector fields $V_1, \dots, V_N$ on ∂X which span $T\partial X$. Then $V_0, \dots, V_N$ may be considered as vector fields on $[0, \varepsilon)_x \times \partial X$, hence on $\overline{W}$. Note that the flow of V_0 is given by $\exp(hV_0)(x, y) = (e^h x, y)$, where $(x, y) \in [0, \varepsilon)_x \times \partial X$. Given $V \in \mathscr{V}$, let

$$\varrho^h_V u = (u \circ \exp(hV) - u)/h$$

denote the associated difference quotient.

Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{H}^{2,k}(X)$ is supported in $\{0 \le x < \delta\}$, where $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$. Observe that there exists $h_0 > 0$ depending on δ such that $\varrho^h_{V_0} u$ is well defined for $0 < h_0 < h$; the difference quotients corresponding to $V_1, \dots, V_N$ are defined for all h. The first step is to calculate the commutator of P with $\varrho^h_{V_0}$; this is illustrated for $[|D_\nu|^2, \varrho^h_{V_0}]$. First note that

$$[\partial_x, \varrho^h_{V_0}]u = h^{-1}(e^h - 1)(\partial_x u) \circ \exp(hV_0).$$

A short calculation gives

$$[|D_\nu|^2, \varrho^h_{V_0}]u = h^{-1}(1-e^{2h})(|D_\nu|^2 u)\circ \exp(hV_0),$$

which shows that

$$\|[|D_\nu|^2, \varrho^h_{V_0}]u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{0,k}(X)} \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2,k}(X)}$$

for $0 < h_0 < h$, where $C > 0$ does not depend on u or h. Continuing this calculation shows that $\|[P, \varrho^h_V]u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{0,k}(X)} \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2,k}(X)}$ for any $V \in \mathscr{V}$. As for the boundary operators, one has

$$\gamma_-(u\circ \exp(hV_0)) = \gamma_- u, \quad \gamma_+(u\circ\exp(hV_0)) = e^{(1/2+\nu)s}\gamma_+ u,$$

so $\gamma_-\circ \varrho^h_{V_0} = 0$ and $\gamma_+ \circ \varrho^h_{V_0} = (e^{(1/2+\nu)s}-1)\gamma_+ u$. Similarly,

$$\|[T, \varrho^h_{V_i}]u\|_{H^{k+2-\mu}(\partial X)} \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2,k}(X)}$$

for $i = 1,\dots,N$, uniformly in h.

Theorem 3. *Let $\overline{X}$ be a compact manifold with boundary as in Section 1.2. Assume that $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X)$ is elliptic at ∂X in the sense of Section 1.4. If $0 < \nu < 1$, then assume P is augmented by a boundary condition T such that $\mathscr{P} = \{P, T\}$ is elliptic at ∂X. There exists $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ such that if $\varphi, \chi \in C_c^\infty(\{0 \leq x < \delta\})$ satisfy $\varphi = 1$ near ∂X and $\chi = 1$ near $\operatorname{supp}\varphi$, then the following hold.*

1. *Let $0 < \nu < 1$. If $\chi u \in \mathcal{H}^2(X)$ and $\chi P u \in \mathcal{H}^{0,k}(X)$, $Tu \in H^{k+2-\mu}(\partial X)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\varphi u \in \mathcal{H}^{2,k}(X)$. Furthermore,*

   $$\|\varphi u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2,k}(X)} \leq C\left(\|\chi \mathscr{P} u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{0,k}\times H^{k+2-\mu}(\partial X)} + \|\chi u\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X)}\right),$$

   where $C > 0$ does not depend on u.
2. *Let $\nu \geq 1$. If $\chi u \in \mathcal{H}^2(X)$ and $\chi P u \in \mathcal{H}^{0,k}(X)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\varphi u \in \mathcal{H}^{2,k}(X)$. Furthermore,*

   $$\|\varphi u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2,k}(X)} \leq C\left(\|\chi P u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{0,k}} + \|\chi u\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X)}\right),$$

   where $C > 0$ does not depend on u.

Proof. The proof is by induction; the case $k = 0$ is Theorem 1. Suppose that the result holds for $k \in \mathbb{N}$; combined with the calculations preceeding the theorem, this gives that $\varrho^h_V \varphi u \in \mathcal{H}^{2,k}(X), V \in \mathscr{V}$ is well defined and uniformly bounded for h sufficiently small. Standard functional analysis (extracting a weakly convergent subsequence, etc.) proves that $V\varphi u \in \mathcal{H}^{2,k}(X)$ for every $V \in \mathscr{V}$, with a corresponding estimate. This allows one to conclude the result for $k+1$. □

4.12. **Asymptotic expansions.** Using Mellin transform techniques, it is straightforward to give asymptotic expansions for solutions of certain Bessel equations. This section is a special case of far more general expansions; see [45, Section 7] for example. The approach taken here is essentially the same as [55, Lemma 4.13]. The space $\dot{C}^\infty(X)$ refers to smooth functions on X which vanish to infinite order at ∂X.

Lemma 4.16 ([55, Lemma 4.13]). *Suppose that* $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X)$ *for* $\nu > 0$, *and* $g_\pm \in C^\infty(\partial X)$. *Then there exist* $v_\pm$ *such that* $P(x^{1/2+\nu}v_+ + x^{1/2-\nu}v_-) \in \dot{C}^\infty(X)$ *and* $v_\pm|_{\partial X} = g_\pm$ *with the following properties.*

(1) If $2\nu \notin \{3,5,7,\dots\}$, *then* $v_\pm \in C^\infty(\overline{X})$. *In addition* $v_\pm - g_\pm \in x^2 C^\infty(\overline{X})$.

(2) If $2\nu \in \{3,5,7,\dots\}$, *then* $v_+ \in C^\infty(\overline{X})$ *and*

$$v_- \in C^\infty(\overline{X}) + x^{2\nu}(\log x)C^\infty(\overline{X}),$$

where $a_j \in C^\infty(\partial X)$.

Suppose that $P \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X)$ is elliptic at ∂X. Write P in the form

$$P = |D_\nu|^2 - E$$

near ∂X, where $E \in \mathrm{Diff}^2_b(\overline{X})$ and $\mathrm{Diff}^m_b(\overline{X})$ are the operators of order at most m generated by vector fields in $\mathcal{V}_b(\overline{X})$. If $0 < \nu < 1$, then also fix a boundary condition T such that $\{P, T\}$ is elliptic at ∂X. The equation $Pu = f$ can be expressed as

$$|D_\nu|^2 u = x^2(Eu + f) \tag{4.21}$$

Formally, the Mellin transform of the left hand side of (4.21) is

$$(s + 1/2 - \nu)(s + 1/2 + \nu)Mu(s, \cdot), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Now suppose that $u \in \mathcal{H}^0(X)$ and $f \in \dot{C}^\infty(X)$. Also suppose that $Tu \in C^\infty(\partial X)$ when $0 < \nu < 1$. If u is supported sufficiently close to ∂X, then $u \in \mathcal{H}^{2,k}(X)$ by Theorem 3 for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In that case, the left hand side of (4.21) is square integrable with respect to the measure $d(\operatorname{Im} s)$ along the line $\{\operatorname{Re} s = 1/2\}$. Furthermore, since $u \in \mathcal{H}^{2,k}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^{0,k}(X)$ and $E \in \mathrm{Diff}^2_b(\overline{X})$, the right hand side of (4.21) is an element of $x^2\mathcal{H}^0(X)$. Define $\mathcal{H}^{s,\infty}(X) = \cap_{k \geq 0}\mathcal{H}^{s,k}(X)$.

Proposition 4.17. *Suppose that* P *and* $\{P, T\}$ *are elliptic at* ∂X. *If* $u \in \mathcal{H}^0(X)$ *and*

$$Pu \in \dot{C}^\infty(X), \quad Tu \in C^\infty(\partial X),$$

then the following hold.

(1) Let $0 < \nu < 1$. *Then there exist* $u_\pm \in C^\infty(\overline{X})$ *such that*

$$u = x^{1/2+\nu}u_+ + x^{1/2-\nu}u_-.$$

In addition $u_\pm - g_\pm \in x^2 C^\infty(\overline{X})$, *where* $g_- = \gamma_- u$ *and* $2\nu g_+ = \gamma_+ u$.

(2) *Let* $\nu \geq 1$. *If* $2\nu \notin \{3, 5, 7, \ldots\}$, *then there exists* $u_\pm \in C^\infty(\overline{X})$ *such that*

$$u = x^{1/2+\nu} u_+ + x^{1/2-\nu} u_-,$$

where $u_- \in x^k C^\infty(\overline{X})$ *for some* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *satisfying* $k > \nu - 1$. *If* $2\nu \in \{3, 5, 7, \ldots\}$, *then the same statement holds but with* $u_- \in x^k C^\infty(\overline{X}) + x^{2\nu}(\log x) C^\infty(\overline{X})$.

Proof. For a more details, again see [55, Lemma 4.13]. By cutting off u (which does not affect the condition $Pu \in \dot{C}^\infty(X)$), it may be assumed that u is supported near ∂X, hence a function on $(0, \varepsilon) \times \partial X$. Let

$$h = Eu + f \in \mathcal{H}^0((0, \varepsilon) \times \partial X).$$

Write $l_\nu(s) = (s + 1/2 + \nu)(s + 1/2 - \nu)$ and then take the Mellin transform to yield

$$Mu(s, \cdot) = l_\nu(s)^{-1} Mh(s + 2, \cdot).$$

First suppose that 2ν is not an integer, so the roots of $l_\nu(s)$ are simple. Since $Mh(s + 2, \cdot)$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$, this provides a meromorphic extension of $Mu(s, \cdot)$ from $\{\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2\}$ to $\{\operatorname{Re} s > -3/2\}$ with simple poles at the roots of $l_\nu(s)$ in the strip $\{-3/2 < \operatorname{Re} s < 1/2\}$. Since $u \in \mathcal{H}^{0,\infty}(X)$ the residues are smooth functions on ∂X.

Now take the inverse Mellin transform by deforming the contour to any line $\{\operatorname{Re} s = -3/2 + \varepsilon\}$. Note that $Mu(s, \cdot)$ has two poles in $\{-3/2 < \operatorname{Re} s < 1/2\}$ if $0 < \nu < 1$, and no poles in this region if $\nu \geq 1$. In the former case,

$$u = x^{1/2+\nu} g_+ + x^{1/2-\nu} g_- + u_1$$

for $g_\pm \in C^\infty(\partial X)$ and $u_1 \in x^2 \mathcal{H}^{0,\infty}(X) \cap \mathcal{H}^{2,\infty}(X)$, while in the latter case $u \in x^2 \mathcal{H}^{0,\infty}(X) \cap \mathcal{H}^{2,\infty}(X)$. In the first case, choose $v_\pm$ as in Lemma 4.16, where $v_\pm = g_\pm + x^2 C^\infty(\overline{X})$. Thus

$$u - x^{1/2-\nu} v_+ - x^{1/2+\nu} v_- \in x^2 \mathcal{H}^{0,\infty}(X) \cap \mathcal{H}^{2,\infty}(X)$$

and $P(u - x^{1/2+\nu} v_+ - x^{1/2-\nu} v_-) \in \dot{C}^\infty(X)$. Applying the same argument gives the next terms in the expansion, which come from the poles at $s = -5/2 \pm \nu$. This may be continued indefinitely, but note that after this second step there may appear powers of the form $x^{r+1/2\pm\nu}$ (for $r > 2$) rather than just $x^{2r+1/2\pm\nu}$ (unless additional evenness assumptions are made on E). A similar argument applies in the second case, where $Mu(s, \cdot)$ is first continued further to left until an indicial root is crossed. When 2ν is an integer, one picks up a logarithmic factor when taking the inverse Mellin transform, corresponding to a pole of multiplicity two. □

5. The Fredholm alternative and unique solvability

5.1. **Global assumptions.** Let $\overline{X}$ denote a compact manifold with boundary as in Section 1.2. Consider a pseudodifferential operator $P \in \Psi^2(X)$ of the form $P = P_1+P_2$, where

$$P_1 \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu(X), \quad P_2 \in \Psi^2_{\mathrm{comp}}(X)$$

Assume that P_1 is elliptic at ∂X in the sense of Section 1.4. Furthermore, if $0 < \nu < 1$, fix a scalar boundary condition T with $\mathrm{ord}_\nu(T) \leq \mu$; this is just for simplicity, whereas matrix boundary conditions arise in the adjoint problem. Assume that $\mathscr{P} = \{P, T\}$ is elliptic at ∂X as well. Since P_2 has a compactly supported Schwartz kernel, this is just a statement about the operator $\{P_1, T\}$.

Without any assumptions on the behavior of P away from ∂X, there is no reason to expect that P or $\mathscr{P}$ are Fredholm. This section outlines some additional global assumptions which guarantee a Fredholm problem. The simplest of these assumptions is that P is everywhere elliptic (in the standard sense) on X, but in view of applications to general relativity, this is overly restrictive. Indeed, operators which arise in the study of quasinormal modes on black holes spacetimes have the property that their ellipticity degenerates at the event horizon. Moreover, rotating Kerr–AdS black holes contain an ergoregion, so that the corresponding operator is not everywhere elliptic even in the black hole exterior.

The global assumptions on P presented next are motivated by recent work of Vasy [54], which applies to the setting of rotating black holes. More generally, these assumptions are typical for situations where coercive estimates are proved via propagation results.

Given $\nu > 0$, define the space

$$\mathcal{Y} = \begin{cases} \{u \in \mathcal{H}^1(X) : Pu \in \mathcal{H}^0(X),\, Tu \in H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)\} & \text{if } 0 < \nu < 1, \\ \{u \in \mathcal{H}^1(X) : Pu \in \mathcal{H}^0(X)\} & \text{if } \nu \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

where Pu is taken as a distribution on X. That Tu is well defined follows from Lemma 4.13. Equip $\mathcal{Y}$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = \begin{cases} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(X)} + \|Pu\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X)} + \|Tu\|_{H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)} & \text{if } 0 < \nu < 1 \\ \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(X)} + \|Pu\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X)} & \text{if } \nu \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

According to the discussion following Lemma 4.13, the space $\mathcal{Y}$ is equivalent to

$$\{(u, \underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X) : \mathscr{P}(u, \underline{\phi}) \in \mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)\} \tag{5.1}$$

for $0 < \nu < 1$ when the latter space is equipped with the norm $\|(u, \underline{\phi})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)} + \|\mathscr{P}(u, \underline{\phi})\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)}$.

Lemma 5.1. *The space $\mathcal{Y}$ has the following properties.*

(1) $\mathcal{Y}$ *is complete.*

(2) $\mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ *is dense in* $\mathcal{Y}$

(3) If $0 < \nu < 1$, *then* $\mathscr{P} : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)$ *is bounded.*

(4) If $\nu \geq 1$, *then* $P : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{H}^0(X)$ *is bounded.*

(5) If $\zeta \in C_c^\infty(X)$ *and* $K = \operatorname{supp} \zeta$, *then for each* $m < 1$ *the map* $\mathcal{Y} \to H^m_K(X)$ *given by* $u \mapsto \zeta u$ *is compact.*

Proof. (1) For $0 < \nu < 1$, use the alternative description (5.1) of $\mathcal{Y}$: suppose that $(u_n, \underline{\phi_n}) \in \mathcal{Y}$ is a Cauchy sequence. This implies that there exists

$$(u, \underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X), \quad (w, \underline{w}) \in \mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)$$

such that

$$(u_n, \underline{\phi_n}) \to (u, \underline{\phi}) \text{ in } \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X), \quad \mathscr{P}(u_n, \underline{u_n}) \to (w, \underline{w}) \text{ in } \mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{2-\mu}(\partial X).$$

Certainly $(u_n, \underline{\phi_n}) \to (u, \underline{\phi})$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)$, and then by continuity $\mathscr{P}(u_n, \underline{\phi_n}) \to \mathscr{P}(u, \underline{\phi})$ in $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(X) \times H^{1-\mu}(\partial X)$. This implies that $\mathscr{P}(u, \underline{\phi}) = (w, \underline{w})$ since the natural map

$$\mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{2-\mu}(\partial X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-1}(X) \times H^{1-\mu}(\partial X),$$

is injective. Thus $\mathcal{Y}$ is complete. A simpler proof works when $\nu \geq 1$.

(2) Again assume that $0 < \nu < 1$. Fix a cutoff χ such that $\chi = 1$ in a neighborhood of ∂X. If χ is supported sufficiently close to ∂X, then $\chi u \in \mathcal{H}^2(X)$ by Theorem 1. Thus there is certainly a sequence $u_n \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ such that $u_n \to \chi u$ in $\mathcal{H}^1(X)$ and $P u_n \to P\chi u$ in $\mathcal{H}^0(X)$, along with $T u_n \to T u$. If $\varphi = 1$ near ∂X and $\chi = 1$ near $\operatorname{supp} \varphi$, then also $\varphi u_n \to \varphi u$ and $\varphi P u_n \to \varphi P u$. This also implies that $P(\varphi u_n) \to P(\varphi u)$ since

$$[P, \varphi] u_n \to [P, \varphi] u = [P, \varphi] u$$

in $\mathcal{H}^0(X)$ by continuity. On the other hand, the same reasoning above combined with the mollification argument in [54, Section 2.6] shows the existence of a sequence $v_n \in C^\infty(X)$ such that $(1-\varphi)v_n \to (1-\varphi)u$ in $\mathcal{H}^1(X)$ and $P((1-\varphi)v_n) \to P((1-\varphi)u)$ in $\mathcal{H}^0(X)$. It then suffices to take the sequence $\varphi u_n + (1-\varphi)v_n \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ which converges to u in $\mathcal{Y}$.

(3) The boundedness of $u \mapsto Pu$ as a map $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{H}^0(X)$ holds by construction of $\mathcal{Y}$. As in the previous part, $\chi u \in \mathcal{H}^2(X)$ so $T : \mathcal{Y} \to H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)$ is also bounded. This establishes the boundedness of $\mathscr{P} = \{P, T\}$.

(4) See (3) above.

(5) The map $u \to \zeta u$ is bounded $\mathcal{H}^1(X) \to H^1_K(X)$, which embeds compactly in $H^m_K(X)$.

□

Typically, one constructs a partition of unity of the form

$$1 = \varphi + \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i + R,$$

where $A_i \in \Psi^0_{\mathrm{comp}}(X)$, $R \in \Psi^{-\infty}_{\mathrm{comp}}(X)$ are pseudodifferential operators with compactly supported Schwartz kernels, and $\varphi \in C^\infty(\overline{X})$ satisfies $\varphi = 1$ near ∂X. Under various hypotheses on P (now considered as an element of $\Psi^2(X)$), it is often the case that there exists $B_i, X_i \in \Psi^0_{\mathrm{comp}}(X)$ such that

$$\|A_i u\|_{H^1(X)} \leq C\|B_i P u\|_{H^0(X)} + \|X_i u\|_{H^m(X)}, \quad m < 1 \tag{5.2}$$

for each $u \in C^\infty(X)$. Since the operators B_i, X_i and R have compactly supported Schwartz kernels, it is possible to combine (5.2) with the results of Theorem 1 to conclude the following type of a priori estimate: if $0 < \nu < 1$, then

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(X)} \leq C\left(\|\mathscr{P}u\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X)\times H^{2-\mu}(X)} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X)} + \|\chi u\|_{H^m(X)}\right) \tag{AP0}$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$, while if $\nu \geq 1$ then

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(X)} \leq C\left(\|Pu\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X)} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X)} + \|\chi u\|_{H^m(X)}\right) \tag{AP1}$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$. Since $\mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ is dense in $\mathcal{Y}$, the estimate (AP0) implies

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq C\left(\|\mathscr{P}u\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X)\times H^{2-\mu}(X)} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X)} + \|\chi u\|_{H^m(X)}\right)$$

for each $u \in \mathcal{Y}$, and similarly for (AP1). It is standard that (AP0), (AP1) imply $\mathscr{P} : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)$ and $P : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{H}^0(X)$ have finite dimensional kernels provided $m < 1$ — see Lemma 5.4.

Suppose that $0 < \nu < 1$. In order to prove that $\mathscr{P}$ has finite dimensional cokernel, it is necessary to introduce spaces associated with the formal adjoint $\mathscr{P}^*$ and Hilbert space adjoint $\mathscr{P}'$. Fix a density μ on $\overline{X}$ of product type near ∂X. A priori, $\mathscr{P}^*$ is bounded

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^0(X) \times H^{\mu-2}(\partial X) \to \mathcal{H}^{-2}(X) \times H^{\underline{\nu}-2}(\partial X).$$

Recall that if $(f, \underline{g}) = \mathscr{P}^*(v, \underline{\psi}, \underline{v})$, then

$$\langle u, f\rangle_X + \left\langle \underline{w}, \underline{g}\right\rangle_{\partial X} = \langle Pu, v\rangle_X + \left\langle \underline{w} - \underline{\gamma}u, J\underline{\psi}\right\rangle_{\partial X} + \langle G\underline{w}, \underline{v}\rangle_{\partial X}\,, \tag{5.3}$$

where the dualities on X and ∂X are induced by μ and $\mu_{\partial X}$. Now define the space

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{X}} = \{(v, \underline{\psi}, \underline{v}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^0(X) \times H^{\mu-2}(\partial X) : \mathscr{P}^*(v, \underline{\psi}, \underline{v}) \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}(X) \times H^{\underline{\nu}-1}(\partial X)\}.$$

The corresponding space for $\nu \geq 1$ is defined to be

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{u \in \mathcal{H}^0(X) : Pu \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}(X)\}.$$

The spaces $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ have properties similar to those in Lemma 5.1. In particular, the set of all $(v, \underline{\gamma}v, \underline{v})$ such that $v \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ and $\underline{v} \in C^\infty(\partial X)$ is dense in $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$. Similarly, $\mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$ is dense in $\mathcal{Z}$ for $\nu \geq 1$.

The analogue of (AP0), (AP1) is formulated next for the adjoint problems. First suppose that $0<\nu<1$. The a priori estimate is

$$\begin{aligned}\|(v,\underline{\gamma}v,\underline{v})\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X)\times H^{\mu-2}(\partial X)} \leq C(&\|\mathscr{P}^*(v,\underline{v})\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}(X)\times H^{\underline{\nu}-1}(\partial X)}\\ &+\|(v,\underline{v})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}(X)\times H^{\mu-3}(\partial X)}+\|\chi v\|_{H^m(X)})\end{aligned} \tag{AP0*}$$

for each $(v,\underline{v})\in\mathcal{F}_\nu(X)\times C^\infty(\partial X)$. By density this implies the same estimate for $(v,\underline{\psi},\underline{v})\in\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}$. When $\nu\geq 1$ the estimate is

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X)}\leq C(\|P^*v\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}(X)}+\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}(X)}+\|\chi v\|_{H^m(X)} \tag{AP1*}$$

for each $v\in\mathcal{F}_\nu(X)$. For (AP0*), (AP1*) to be useful, one should require $m<0$.

Remark. As with the direct problem, it is frequently possible to combine the local estimates of Theorem 1 with interior estimates via a pseudodifferential partition of unity to show that the adjoint estimates (AP0*), (AP1*) hold.

When $0<\nu<1$, the formally adjoint operator $\mathscr{P}^*$ should be compared with the Hilbert space adjoint

$$\mathscr{P}':\mathcal{H}^0(X)\times H^{\mu-2}(\partial X)\to\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2(X)',$$

defined by

$$\big\langle(u,\underline{\phi}),\mathscr{P}'(v,\underline{v})\big\rangle_X=\langle Pu,v\rangle_X+\langle Tu,\underline{v}\rangle_{\partial X}.$$

Recall that the inclusion of $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2(X)\hookrightarrow\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)$ is dense. Consequently $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)'$ may be identified with a dense subspace of $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2(X)'$, where this identification is induced by the μ–inner product. In order to describe $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)'$, note that that there is an isomorphism

$$\Phi:\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)\to\mathcal{H}^1(X)\times H^{-\nu}(\partial X)$$

given by $\Phi(u,\underline{\phi})=(u,\phi_+)$; the inverse of Φ is $\Phi^{-1}(u,\phi_+)=(u,\gamma_-u,\phi_+)$. Thus for each $\alpha\in\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)'$ there exist unique $f\in\mathcal{H}^{-1}(X)$, $g_+\in H^\nu(\partial X)$ such that

$$\alpha(u,\underline{\phi})=\langle f,u\rangle_X+\langle g_+,\phi_+\rangle_{\partial X}.$$

Furthermore, note that if $g_-\in H^{-\nu}(\partial X)$, then the functional given by $u\mapsto\langle g_-,\gamma_-u\rangle_{\partial X}$ is an element of $\mathcal{H}^1(X)'$. Thus it may be represented in the form $u\mapsto\langle f_-,u\rangle_X$ for a unique $f_-\in\mathcal{H}^{-1}(X)$. The next lemma summarizes this discussion.

Lemma 5.2. *Each* $\alpha\in\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)'$ *admits a representation*

$$\alpha(u,\underline{\phi})=\langle f,u\rangle_X+\langle\underline{g},\underline{\phi}\rangle_{\partial X}, \tag{5.4}$$

where $f\in\mathcal{H}^{-1}(X)$ *and* $\underline{g}\in H^{\underline{\nu}-1}(\partial X)$. *Furthermore,* $\|\alpha\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)'}$ *is equivalent to the norm*

$$\inf\{\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}(X)}+\|\underline{g}\|_{H^{\underline{\nu}-1}(\partial X)}\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all $f,\underline{g}$ *such that* (5.4) *holds.*

Now define $\widetilde{\mathscr{Z}} = \{(v, \underline{v}) \in \mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{\mu-2}(\partial X) : \mathscr{P}'(v, \underline{v}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)'\}$.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that* (AP0*) *holds. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\|(v, \underline{v})\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X)\times H^{\mu-2}(\partial X)} \leq C(&\|\mathscr{P}'(v, \underline{v})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)'} \\ &+ \|(v, \underline{v})\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}(X)\times H^{\mu-3}(\partial X)} + \|\chi v\|_{H^m(X)})\end{aligned} \tag{AP0'}$$

for each $(v, \underline{v}) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{Z}}$.

Proof. Since $\mathscr{P}'(v, \underline{v}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)'$, there exists $f \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}(X)$ and $\underline{g} \in H^{\underline{\nu}-1}(\partial X)$ such that the action of $\mathscr{P}'(v, \underline{v})$ on $(u, \underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)$ is given by

$$(u, \underline{\phi}) \mapsto \langle f, u \rangle_X + \langle g, \underline{\phi} \rangle_{\partial X}. \tag{5.5}$$

Now let $\underline{\psi} = JG^*\underline{v} - J\underline{g}$, so that $J\underline{\psi} + G^*\underline{v} = \underline{g}$. Furthermore, note that $\underline{\psi} \in H^{-\underline{\nu}}(\partial X)$, so $(v, \underline{\psi})$ may be considered as an element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^0(X)$. Referring back to (5.3), it follows that $\mathscr{P}^*(u, \underline{\psi}, \underline{v}) = (f, g)$. This shows that $(u, \underline{\psi}, \underline{v}) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{X}}$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\|(v, \underline{v})\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X)\times H^{\mu-2}(\partial X)} \leq C(&\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}(X)} + \|g\|_{H^{\underline{\nu}-1}(\partial X)} \\ &+ \|(v, \underline{v})\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}(X)\times H^{\mu-3}(\partial X)} + \|\chi v\|_{H^m(X)})\end{aligned}$$

by (AP0*). In the last line, this used the fact that

$$\|\underline{\psi}\|_{H^{-1-\underline{\nu}}(\partial X)} \leq C(\|\underline{v}\|_{H^{\mu-3}} + \|g\|_{H^{\underline{\nu}-1}(\partial X)}).$$

It now suffices to take the infimum over all $f, \underline{g}$ satisfying (5.5), and then appeal to Lemma 5.2. ☐

5.2. **The Fredholm property.** In this section, the Fredholm property is established whenever (AP0), (AP1), (AP1), (AP1*) hold. A complete proof is given for the more complicated case $0 < \nu < 1$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let* $0 < \nu < 1$.

(1) *If* (AP0) *holds with* $m < 1$, *then the operator*

$$\mathscr{P} : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)$$

has a finite dimensional kernel.

(2) *If* (AP0*) *holds with* $m < 0$, *then the operator*

$$\mathscr{P}' : \mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{\mu-2}(\partial X) \to \mathcal{H}^{-2}(X) \times H^{\underline{\nu}-2}(\partial X)$$

has a finite dimensional kernel

Proof. (1) This is immediate from the compactness of the inclusion $\mathcal{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(X)$ and the multiplication operator $\chi : \mathcal{Y} \to H^m_{\operatorname{supp}\chi}(X)$, combined with (AP0).

(2) Clearly the kernel of $\mathscr{P}'$ restricted to $\mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{\mu-2}(\partial X)$ is equal to the kernel of $\mathscr{P}'$ restricted to $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}$. The result follows from the same type of compactness considerations as in (1), using (AP0'). ☐

In light of Lemma 5.4, let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the finite dimensional kernel of $\mathscr{P}'|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}}$.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $0 < \nu < 1$, and assume that (AP0') holds. Suppose that*

$$(h, \underline{k}) \in \mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)$$

lies in the annihilator of $\mathcal{K}$ via the duality between $\mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{\mu-2}(\partial X)$ and $\mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)$. Then there exists $(u, \underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)$ such that $\mathscr{P}(u, \underline{\phi}) = (h, \underline{k})$.

Proof. This fact is more or less standard, but a complete proof is included for the readers convenience.

(1) Fix a (closed) subspace V of $\mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{\mu-2}(\partial X)$ which is complementary to the finite-dimensional space $\mathcal{K} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}$. Then there exists $C' > 0$ such that

$$\|(v, \underline{v})\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{\mu-2}(\partial X)} \leq C' \|\mathscr{P}'(v, \underline{v})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)'} \tag{5.6}$$

for each $(v, \underline{v}) \in V \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}$. If this were not true, there would exist a sequence $(v_n, \underline{v_n}) \in V \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ such that

$$\|(v_n, \underline{v_n})\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{\mu-2}(\partial X)} = 1, \quad \|\mathscr{P}'(v_n, \underline{v_n})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)'} \to 0.$$

By weak compactness of the $\mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{\mu-2}(\partial X)$-unit ball, it may be assumed that $(v_n, \underline{v_n})$ is weakly convergent. Since V is closed, it follows that $(v_n, \underline{v_n}) \to (v, \underline{v})$ weakly for some $(v, \underline{v}) \in V$. Thus

$$\mathscr{P}'(v_n, \underline{v_n}) \to \mathscr{P}'(v, \underline{v})$$

weakly in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2(X)'$. This means $\mathscr{P}'(v, \underline{v}) = 0$ since $\mathscr{P}'(v_n, \underline{v_n}) \to 0$ (in norm) in $\mathcal{H}^1(X)'$. Thus $(v, \underline{v}) \in V \cap \mathcal{K}$, which implies $(v, \underline{v}) = 0$, since V complements the kernel.

Now by compactness there exists a subsequence $(v_{n_j}, \underline{v_{n_j}})$, such that χv_{n_j} is convergent in $H^m_{\operatorname{supp}\chi}(X)$ and $(v_{n_j}, \underline{v_{n_j}})$ is convergent in $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(X) \times H^{\mu-3}(\partial X)$. Then (AP0') implies that $(v_{n_j}, \underline{v_{n_j}})$ is Cauchy, hence convergent in $\mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{\mu-2}(\partial X)$. This limit must be $(v, \underline{v})$, but that contradicts $(v, \underline{v}) = 0$ since $(v_n, \underline{v_n})$ has unit norm in $\mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{\mu-2}(\partial X)$. This completes the proof of 5.6.

(2) Now suppose that $(h, k) \in \mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)$ is in the annihilator of $\mathcal{K}$. Define the antilinear functional ℓ on the range of $\mathscr{P}'|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}}$ by the formula

$$\mathscr{P}'(v, \underline{v}) \mapsto \langle h, v \rangle_X + \langle k, \underline{v} \rangle_{\partial X},$$

where $(v,\underline{v}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}$. This is well defined, since if $\mathscr{P}'(v,\underline{v}) = 0$ and $(v,\underline{v}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}$, then $(v,\underline{v}) \in \mathcal{K}$, hence the right hand side vanishes.

For each $(v,\underline{v}) \in V \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}$, one has by (5.6)

$$\langle h, v\rangle_X + \langle k, \underline{v}\rangle_{\partial X} \leq C \left(\|(h,\underline{k})\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(X)\times H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)} \right) \left(\|\mathscr{P}^*(v,\underline{v})\|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)'} \right).$$

Since this is invariant under adding elements of $\mathcal{K}$, it is in fact true for $(v,\underline{v}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}$. Thus ℓ is bounded on the range of $\mathscr{P}'|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}}$.

Now extend ℓ to an antilinear functional on $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)'$ by the Hahn–Banach theorem. Then there exists a unique $(u,\underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)$ such that $\ell(\alpha) = \alpha(u,\underline{\phi})$, and furthermore

$$\ell(\mathscr{P}'(v,\underline{v})) = \langle h, v\rangle_X + \langle \underline{k}, \underline{v}\rangle_{\partial X}$$

whenever $(v,\underline{v}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}$.

The claim is that $\mathscr{P}(u,\underline{\phi}) = (h,\underline{k})$. To see this, approximate $(u,\underline{\phi})$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)$ by a sequence $(u_n,\underline{\phi_n}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^2(X)$. Certainly $\mathscr{P}(u_n,\underline{\phi_n}) \to \mathscr{P}(u,\underline{\phi})$ in $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(X) \times H^{1-\mu}(\partial X)$. Furthermore, the pairing between $(u_n,\underline{\phi_n})$ and $\mathscr{P}'(v,\underline{v})$ is given by

$$\langle Pu_n, v\rangle_{\partial X} + \langle Bu_n, \underline{v}\rangle_{\partial X}.$$

for each $(v,\underline{v}) \in \mathcal{H}^1(X) \times H^{\mu-1}(\partial X)$. Thus for $(v,\underline{v}) \in \mathcal{F}_\nu \times C^\infty(\partial X) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^1(X) \times H^{\mu-1}(\partial X)$, this converges to $\langle Pu, v\rangle_X + \langle Tu, \underline{v}\rangle_{\partial X}$. But on the other hand it converges to $\langle h, v\rangle_X + \langle \underline{k}, \underline{v}\rangle_{\partial X}$ since $\mathcal{F}_\nu(X) \times C^\infty(\partial X) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ as well. Thus $\mathscr{P}(u,\underline{\phi}) = (h,\underline{k})$, since $\mathcal{F}_\nu(X) \times C^\infty(\partial X)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^1(X) \times H^{\mu-1}(\partial X)$. □

Theorem 4. *Let $\nu > 0$ and P as in Section 5.1 be elliptic at ∂X. If $0 < \nu < 1$, then let T denote a scalar boundary operator satisfying $\mathrm{ord}_\nu(T) \leq \mu$, such that $\mathscr{P} = \{P, T\}$ is elliptic at ∂X.*

1. *Suppose that $0 < \nu < 1$. If $\mathscr{P}$ satisfies (AP0) with $m < 1$ and (AP0*) with $m < 0$, then*
$$\mathscr{P} : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)$$
is Fredholm.
2. *Suppose that $\nu \geq 1$. If P satisfies (AP1) with $m < 1$ and (AP1*) with $m < 0$, then*
$$P : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{H}^0(X)$$
is Fredholm.

Proof. (1) Lemma 5.4 shows the kernel is finite dimensional. On the other hand, Lemma 5.5 shows that the equation $\mathscr{P}(u,\underline{\phi}) = (h,\underline{k})$ has a solution $(u,\underline{\phi}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}^1(X)$ for $(h,\underline{k})$ in a space of finite codimension in $\mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{2-\mu}(X)$; clearly this $(u,\underline{\phi})$ can be identified with a unique element of $\mathcal{Y}$, namely u.

(2) When $\nu \geq 1$, there is a natural analogue of Lemma 5.5. Since the arguments are simpler when there is no boundary operator, the proofs are omitted. ☐

5.3. **Unique solvability.** In this section, again let $\overline{X}$ denote a compact manifold with boundary as in Section 1.2. This time, consider a pseudodifferential operator $P(\lambda) \in \Psi^{2,(\lambda)}(X)$ of the form $P(\lambda) = P_1(\lambda) + P_2(\lambda)$, where

$$P_1(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu^{(\lambda)}(X), \quad P_2(\lambda) \in \Psi^{2,(\lambda)}_{\mathrm{comp}}(X).$$

Assume that $P_1(\lambda)$ is parameter-elliptic at ∂X with respect to an angular sector Λ in the sense of Section 1.5. If $0 < \nu < 1$, fix a scalar boundary condition $T(\lambda)$ with $\mathrm{ord}_\nu^{(\lambda)}(T(\lambda)) \leq \mu$, and assume that $\mathscr{P}(\lambda) = \{P(\lambda), T(\lambda)\}$ is parameter-elliptic at ∂X with respect to Λ. It is also assumed that the 'principal parts' of $P(\lambda), T(\lambda)$ do not depend on λ, so the spaces $\mathcal{Y}$ are independent of λ.

The parameter-dependent versions of (AP0), (AP1), (AP0*), (AP1*) are obtained by replacing the norms $\|\cdot\|$ with their uniform counterparts $|||\cdot|||$, and insisting that the estimates hold for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Theorem 5. *Let $\nu > 0$ and $P(\lambda), \mathscr{P}(\lambda), \Lambda$ be as above. Suppose that the parameter-dependent versions of (AP0), (AP1), (AP0*), (AP1*) hold.*

(1) Let $0 < \nu < 1$. There exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\mathscr{P}(\lambda) : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)$$

is an isomorphism for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ satisfying $|\lambda| > R$.

(2) Let $\nu \geq 1$. Then there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$P(\lambda) : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{H}^0(X)$$

is an isomorphism for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ satisfying $|\lambda| > R$.

Proof. The parameter-dependent versions of (AP0), (AP0*) show that $\mathscr{P}(\lambda)$ and $\mathscr{P}(\lambda)'$ respectively are injective on the appropriate spaces (for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $|\lambda|$ sufficiently large). This implies that $\mathscr{P}(\lambda)$ is an isomorphism for $|\lambda|$ sufficiently large. Similar remarks hold for P when $\nu \geq 1$. ☐

6. Completeness of generalized eigenfunctions

In this section, sufficient conditions are given which guarantee an elliptic parameter-dependent Bessel operator has a complete set of generalized eigenvectors. Completeness of eigenvectors for non-self adjoint boundary value problems has a long history, going back to classic works of Keldysh [38], Browder [12], Schechter [51], Agmon [1], among many others. The results of this section apply to large classes Bessel operator pencils with a spectral parameter in the boundary condition, and two-fold completeness is established (which is stronger than just completeness).

One application of this section is to describe a class of boundary conditions for which linearized scalar perturbations of global anti-de Sitter space have complete sets of normal modes. Recent numerical and perturbative studies have hinted at a relationship between the linear spectra of such perturbations and possible nonlinear instability mechanisms [5, 7, 9, 8, 13, 17, 16]. These normal modes have been studied by separation of variable techniques, but there has not appeared a general criterion guaranteeing completeness of normal modes (nor even the discreteness of normal frquencies) for general, possibly time-periodic, boundary conditions. The results of this section also apply to more general stationary aAdS spacetimes with compact time slices, where ∂_t is Killing but the spacetime is not necessarily static.

6.1. **Two-fold completeness.** The main reference for this section is [44, Chapter II] Let $\overline{X}$ be a manifold with boundary, and let $P(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Bess}_\nu^{(\lambda)}(X)$ be a parametet-dependent Bessel operator such that $P(\lambda)$ is parameter-elliptic at ∂X in the sense of Section 1.4, and $P(\lambda)$ is parameter-elliptic on X in the usual sense. If $0 < \nu < 1$, let $T(\lambda)$ be a scalar parameter-dependent boundary operator such that $\mathscr{P}(\lambda) = \{P(\lambda), T(\lambda)\}$ is parameter-elliptic at ∂X.

Parameter-ellipticity of $P(\lambda)$ implies a decomposition

$$P(\lambda) = P_2 + \lambda P_1 + \lambda^2 P_0,$$

where $P_0 \in C^\infty(\overline{X})$ does not vanish. Dividing by P_0, it may be assumed that $P(\lambda)$ is of the form $P(\lambda) = P_2 + \lambda P_1 + \lambda^2$. The boundary operator $T(\lambda)$ is written as $T(\lambda) = T_1 + \lambda T_0$.

If $0 < \nu < 1$, a complex number $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is said to be an eigenvalue of $\mathscr{P}(\lambda)$ if there exists $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}^2(X)$ such $\mathscr{P}(\lambda_0)u_0 = 0$. Corresponding to an eigenvalue λ_0, a sequence $(u_0, \ldots, u_k)$ with $u_0 \neq 0$ is said to be a chain of generalized eigenvectors if

$$\begin{gathered} P(\lambda_0)u_p + \frac{1}{1!}\partial_\lambda P(\lambda_0)u_{p-1} + \frac{1}{2!}\partial_\lambda P(\lambda_0)u_{p-2} = 0, \\ T(\lambda_0)u_p + \frac{1}{1!}T(\lambda_0)u_{p-1} = 0 \end{gathered}$$

for $p = 0, \ldots, k$. Thus $(u_0, \ldots, u_k)$ is a chain of generalized eigenvectors with eigenvalue λ_0 if and only if the function

$$u(t) = e^{\lambda_0 t} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{t^k}{k!} u_{k-j}$$

solves the (time-dependent) equation $\mathscr{P}(\partial_t)u(t) = 0$. Such a solution $u(t)$ is called elementary. To each elementary solution is associated the Cauchy data $(u(0), \partial_t u(0))$.

The set of generalized eigenvectors (for all possible eigenvalues) is said to be two-fold complete in a Hilbert space H continuously embedded in $\mathcal{H}^0(X) \times \mathcal{H}^0(X)$ if the span of all Cauchy data $(u(0), \partial_t u(0))$ corresponding to elementary solutions (for all eigenvalues) is dense in H. The same definition holds if $\nu \geq 1$, this time replacing $\mathscr{P}(\lambda)$ with $P(\lambda)$.

A general criterion concerning two-fold completeness is given by [62, Theorem 3.4]; that theorem is a refinement of the standard reference [20, Corollary XI.9.31].

Proposition 6.1. *Let $P(\lambda), T(\lambda)$ be defined as above. Fix rays $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_s$ through the origin of the complex plane such the angle between any two adjacent rays is less than or equal to π/n, where* $\dim X = n$*.*

1. *Let $0 < \nu < 1$. If $\mathscr{P}(\lambda)$ is elliptic with respect to $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_s$, then the eigenvalues of $\mathscr{P}(\lambda)$ are discrete and the set of generalized eigenvectors is two-fold complete in the space $\{(v_1, v_2) \in \mathcal{H}^2(X) \times \mathcal{H}^1(X) : T_0 v_2 + T_1 v_1 = 0\}$.*
2. *Let $\nu \geq 1$. If $P(\lambda)$ is elliptic with respect to $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_s$, then the eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$ are discrete and the set of generalized eigenvectors is two-fold complete in the space $\mathcal{H}^2(X) \times \mathcal{H}^1(X)$.*

Proof. (1) To apply [62, Theorem 3.4], it must be verified that the singular values of the embeddings $J_k : \mathcal{H}^k(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{k-1}(X)$ satisfy $s_j(J_k) \leq C j^{-1/n}$ for $k = 1, 2$, and that the space $\{(v_1, v_2) \in \mathcal{H}^2(X) \times \mathcal{H}^1(X) : T_0 v_2 + T_1 v_1 = 0\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^1(X) \times \mathcal{H}^0(X)$.

The claim about the singular values follows from Lemma B.4. To verify the density claim, first fix a sequence $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\lambda_n|$ tends to infinity along one of the rays of ellipticity, say Γ_1.

Given $(u_1, u_2) \in \mathcal{H}^1(X) \times \mathcal{H}^0(X)$, take a sequence $(v_1^n, v_2^n) \in \mathcal{H}^2(X) \times \mathcal{H}^1(X)$ such that $(v_1^n, v_2^n) \to (u_1, u_2)$ in $\mathcal{H}^1(X) \times \mathcal{H}^0(X)$ as $n \to \infty$. According to Theorem 5, the operator

$$\mathscr{P}(\lambda_n)^{-1} : \mathcal{H}^0(X) \times H^{2-\mu}(X) \to \mathcal{H}^2(X)$$

exists for n sufficiently large, where $\mu = \operatorname{ord}_\nu^{(\lambda)}(T(\lambda))$. Note that $T_0 v_2^n + T_1 v_1^n \in H^{2-\mu}(\partial X)$. Let

$$w_1^n = \mathscr{P}(\lambda_n)^{-1}(0, -T_0 v_2^n - T_1 v_1^n),$$

so w_1^n lies in $\mathcal{H}^2(X)$, and set $w_2^n = \lambda w_1^n$. Then

$$(v_1^n + w_1^n, v_2^n + w_2^n) \in \{(v_1, v_2) \in \mathcal{H}^2(X) \times \mathcal{H}^1(X) : T_0 v_2 + T_1 v_1 = 0\}.$$

Furthermore, according to Theorems 2, 5 the solution w_1^n satisfies

$$|\lambda|^{2-s} \| w_1^n \|_{\mathcal{H}^s(X)} \leq C \| T_0 v_2^n + T_1 v_1^n \|_{H^{2-\nu}(\partial X)}$$

for $s = 0, 1$. The right hand side is uniformly bounded in $H^{2-\mu}(X)$ as $n \to \infty$, so $(w_1^n, w_2^n) \to 0$ in $\mathcal{H}^1(X) \times \mathcal{H}^0(X)$. This shows that $(v_1^n + w_1^n, v_2^n + w_2^n) \to (u_1, u_2)$, establishing the density.

(2) For $\nu \geq 1$ the singular value estimates remain the same, and the density result is trivial. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper is based on work supported by NSF grants DMS-1201417 and DMS-1500852. I would like to thank Maciej Zworski for his encouragement on this project.

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF LEMMA 3.5

The proof of Lemma 3.5 is broken up into several stages. Recall in this section that $\gamma_\pm$ are defined as in the beginning of Section 3.4 without any mention of the space $\mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Lemma A.1. *Let* $\nu > 0$.

(1) If $u \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ *and* $\gamma_- u = 0$, *then for a.e.* $y \in \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$,

$$u(x,y) = x^{1/2-\nu} \int_0^x t^{\nu-1/2} \partial_\nu u(t,y)\, dt.$$

(2) Suppose in addition that $0 < \nu < 1$. *If* $u \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, *and* $\underline{\gamma} u = 0$, *then for a.e.* $y \in \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$,

$$u(x,y) = x^{1/2-\nu} \int_0^x t^{-2\nu+1} \int_0^t s^{1/2-\nu} \partial_\nu^* \partial_\nu u(s,y)\, ds\, dt.$$

Proof. These two facts follow from the Sobolev embedding for weighted spaces, as in Section 3.1. In the first case, for a.e. $y \in \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$ the function $x \mapsto x^{\nu-1/2} u(x,y)$ is absolutely continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$, and $\gamma_- u = 0$ implies that $x^{\nu-1/2}u(x,y) \to 0$ as $x \to 0$ for a.e. $y \in \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$. The the result follows from the fundamental theorem of calculus. A similar argument applies in the second case, in which the functions $x \mapsto x^{\nu-1/2}u(x,y)$, $x \mapsto x^{1/2-\nu}\partial_\nu u(x,y)$ are absolutely continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$ for a.e. $y \in \mathbb{T}^{n-1}$, and vanish at $x = 0$.

$\square$

Lemma A.2. *Let* $0 < \nu < 1$. *Then* $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+) = \ker \gamma_-$, *and* $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) = \ker \underline{\gamma}$.

Proof. The first equality comes from [27, Proposition 1.2]. It remains to show the second equality.

(1) First show that if $u \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ and $\underline{\gamma} u = 0$, then $u \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. Begin by assuming that u has compact support in $\overline{\mathbb{T}^n_+}$; this is possible, since if $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$ satisfies $\chi = 1$ near $x = 0$, then it is easy to see that u is approximated in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ by the functions $\chi(x/n)u$ as $n \to \infty$.

Next, fix $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying (i) $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, (ii) $\chi(x) = 0$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$, (iii) $\chi(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 2$. Then let $\chi_n(x) = \chi(nx)$ and consider the sequence $u_n = \chi_n u$. Then u_n has compact support in $\mathbb{T}^n_+$, which implies that $u_n \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ since the $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ and $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ norms are comparable on compact subsets of $\mathbb{T}^n_+$. But the compact support also implies that $u_n \in \mathring{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ by the well known characterization of $\mathring{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. This implies u_n can be approximated by compactly supported functions in the $H^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ norm, all of whose supports are contained in a fixed compact subset of $\mathbb{T}^n_+$. Again by the comparability of norms, this implies $u_n \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

It now suffices to prove that $u_n \to u$ in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, since $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is closed. This is easily deduced from Lemma A.1, imitating the proof of [23, Chapter 5.5, Theorem 2] for instance.

(2) The inclusion $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \subseteq \ker \underline{\gamma}$ is clear, since $\underline{\gamma} = 0$ for each $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, and hence $\underline{\gamma} = 0$ for each $u \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ by density and continuity. ☐

Lemma A.3. *There exists a map*

$$\mathcal{K} : C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$$

such that $\underline{\gamma} \circ \mathcal{K} = 1$ *on* $C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ *and* $\mathcal{K}$ *extends by continuity*

$$\mathcal{K} : H^{s-\underline{\nu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$$

for each $s = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$. *In particular, if* $s = 2$ *then* $\mathcal{K}$ *is a right inverse for* $\underline{\gamma}$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$ be such that $\varphi = 1$ near $x = 0$, and set

$$v_-(x) = x^{1/2-\nu}\varphi(x^2), \quad v_+(x) = (2\nu)^{-1}x^{1/2+\nu}\varphi(x^2),$$

so $v_\pm \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. Given $(f_-, f_+) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, define $u_\pm(x,y)$ by its Fourier coefficients,

$$\hat{u}_\pm(x,q) = \langle q \rangle^{-(1/2\pm\nu)}\, \hat{f}_\pm(q) v_\pm(\langle q \rangle\, x).$$

Then $u_\pm \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ and $\gamma_\pm(u_- + u_+) = f_\pm$ in the sense of Lemma 3.4. Appealing to Section 3.6 shows that the map defined by

$$\mathcal{K}(f_-, f_+) := u_- + u_+$$

extends by continuity to a map $\mathcal{K} : H^{s-\underline{\nu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. If $s = 2$, then $\underline{\gamma}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, and $\underline{\gamma} \circ \mathcal{K}$ is the identity on $H^{s-\underline{\nu}}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ by Lemma 3.4 and continuity. ☐

Lemma A.4. *Suppose that* $0 < \nu < 1$. *Then* $\mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ *is dense in* $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ *for each* $s = 0, 1, 2$.

Proof. This is clear when $s = 0$. The proof is given here in the case $s = 2$; the case $s = 1$ is simpler, and can be handled similarly. Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, and let $\tilde{u} = \mathcal{K}(\underline{\gamma} u)$. Then $\underline{\gamma}(u - \tilde{u}) = 0$, so $u - \tilde{u} \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ by Lemma A.2. It follows that there exists a sequence $u_j \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ such that $u_j \to u - \tilde{u}$ in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

On the other hand, approximate $\underline{\gamma} u$ by a sequence $\underline{v}_j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, and hence $\tilde{u}_j = \mathcal{K}\underline{v}_j$ satisfy $\tilde{u}_j \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ and $\tilde{u}_j \to \tilde{u}$ in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. Therefore, $u_j + \tilde{u}_j \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ and $u_j + \tilde{u}_j \to u$, which shows that $\mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^{2,k}(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. □

Lemma A.5. *Suppose that* $\nu \geq 1$. *Then* $C^\infty_c(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ *is dense in* $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ *for each* $s = 0, 1, 2$.

Proof. This result clearly holds for $s = 0$, and by the results of Section 3.2, it also holds for $s = 1$. For $s = 2$, Lemma 4.4 implies that $\Delta_\nu + 1$ is an isomorphism from $D(L)$ onto $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. The first step is write down an explicit formula for the inverse $(\Delta_\nu + 1)^{-1}$ acting on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Introduce the Zemanian space $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(\mathbb{R}_+)$ [63, Chapter 5] by

$$\mathcal{Z}_\nu(\mathbb{R}_+) = \{v(x) = x^{1/2+\nu} v_+(x^2) : v_+(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})\},$$

where $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of Schwartz functions on $\mathbb{R}$. Note that $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is contained in $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for each $s = 0, 1, 2$. Given $v \in \mathcal{Z}_\nu(\mathbb{R}_+)$, define the Hankel transform

$$(\mathscr{H}_\nu v)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (\xi x)^{1/2} J_\nu(\xi x) v(x)\,dx.$$

Referring to [63, Chapter 5], it is well known that (i) $\mathscr{H}_\nu$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(\mathbb{R}_+)$, (ii) $\mathscr{H}_\nu^2 = I$, (iii) $\mathscr{H}_\nu$ is isometric with respect to the $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ norm.

If $f = v \otimes w$ where $v \in \mathcal{Z}_\nu(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $w \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, then

$$f = (2\pi)^{-(n-1)/2} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{i\langle q, y\rangle} (\xi x)^{1/2} J_\nu(\xi x) (\mathscr{H}_\nu v)(\xi) \hat{w}(q)\,d\xi,$$

and

$$\|f\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} = (2\pi)^{-(n-1)/2} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |(\mathscr{H}_\nu v)(\xi) \hat{w}(q)|^2 d\xi.$$

Given $f = v \otimes w$ as above, let

$$u = (2\pi)^{-(n-1)/2} \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (1 + |q|^2 + |\xi|^2)^{-1} e^{i\langle q, y\rangle} (\xi x)^{1/2} J_\nu(\xi x) (\mathscr{H}_\nu v)(\xi) \hat{w}(q)\,d\xi. \tag{A.1}$$

Then u has an expansion

$$u(x, y) = x^{1/2+\nu} u_+(x^2, y), \tag{A.2}$$

where $u_+(x, y) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ is rapidly decaying in the x variable [63, Chaper 5]. Denote this space by $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, which is contained in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \cap \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1_0(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. Note that there is a continuous inclusion

$$\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \cap \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1_0(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \hookrightarrow D(L).$$

Since $(\Delta_\nu + 1)u = f$, it follows that u is the unique solution in $D(L)$ to the equation $(\Delta_\nu + 1)u = f$. Furthermore,

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)},$$

where $C > 0$ does not depend on u or f. Lemma 4.4 and the open mapping theorem imply that $D(L) = \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \cap \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ with an equivalence of norms; since functions $v \otimes w$ as above are dense in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, the space $\mathcal{Z}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \cap \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. Finally, if $\nu \geq 1$ then $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) = \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+) \cap \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ by the density result for $s = 1$.

Given $u \in \mathcal{Z}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$, there exists a sequence $v_n \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ such that $v_n \to u$ weakly in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. To see this, fix $\chi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying (i) $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, (ii) $\chi(x) = 0$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$, (iii) $\chi(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 2$, and then let $\chi_n(x) = \chi(nx)$. The claim is that $\chi_n u \to u$ weakly in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ after passing to a subsequence if necessary. Take for example

$$\begin{aligned} |D_\nu|^2(\chi_n(x) - 1)u(x,y) = {} & (\chi_n(x) - 1)|D_\nu|^2 u(x) \\ & + 2n\chi_n'(x)\left((1/2+\nu)x^{-1/2+\nu}u_+(x^2,y) + 2x^{3/2+\nu}\partial_x u_+(x^2,y)\right) \\ & + n^2\chi_n''(x)u(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

The first term tends to zero in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ norm. The $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ norm squared of the third term is bounded by a constant times

$$n^4 \int_{\mathbb{T}^{n-1}} \int_{1/n}^{2/n} x^{1+2\nu}|u_+(x^2,y)|^2\, dx\, dy \leq Cn^4 \int_{1/n}^{2/n} x^{1+2\nu} = \mathcal{O}(n^{2\nu-2}).$$

So $n^2\chi_n''(x)u(x,y)$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ for $\nu \geq 1$ (and converges to zero if $\nu > 1$). The second term is similarly bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. It is also clear the second and third terms converge to zero in $\mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Extracting a weakly convergent subsequence, this implies that $|D_\nu|^2(\chi_n - 1)u(x,y)$ tends to zero weakly along a subsequence. Repeating this argument for the other terms whose $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ norms define the $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ norm (as in (3.3)), it follows that $\chi_n u \to u$ weakly in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. Furthermore, by truncating $\chi_n u$ at successively larger values of $x > 0$, one may find a sequence of $C^\infty_c(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ functions v_n such that $v_n \to u$ weakly in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$.

Now suppose that $u \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ satisfies

$$\langle u, v\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} = 0$$

for all $v \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. Choose a sequence $u_m \in \mathcal{Z}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ such that $u_m \to u$ in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$. But if $v_{m,n}$ is an associated sequence of $C^\infty_c(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ functions converging weakly to u_m (as constructed above), then

$$\langle u, u_m\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \langle u, v_{m,n}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_+)} = 0.$$

Passing to the limit $m \to \infty$ gives $u = 0$, so $C^\infty_c(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ is dense.

□

Appendix B. Compactness and embeddings of Schatten class

Let $\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp = \mathbb{T}^{n-1} \times (0,1)$. The spaces $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$, $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ are defined as before. The goal of this section is to study Schatten class properties of the embeddings of these spaces into $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ for $s = 1, 2$. First, compactness properties are examined — this is done differently in [32, Section 6], but the approach taken here immediately yields the Schatten property.

The first observation is that the embedding $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ is compact for $\nu > 0$, since $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) = \mathring{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ according to Lemma 3.3. To prove compactness of the embedding $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ requires slightly more work.

Recall the following facts: first,

$$\sqrt{x}K_\nu(x) \sim (\pi/2)^{1/2}e^{-x}, \quad \sqrt{x}I_\nu(x) \sim (1/2\pi)^{1/2}e^{x},$$

valid for real $x \to \infty$. Furthermore,

$$|\sqrt{x}K_\nu(x)| \leq C\left(\frac{1+x^\nu}{1+x^{1/2}}\right)x^{1/2-\nu}e^{-x}$$

for all $x > 0$. Combined with the equation satisfied by $\sqrt{x}K_\nu(x)$, this gives

$$\int_0^1 |\sqrt{x}K_\nu(\tau x)|^2\,dx \leq C\tau^{-2}, \quad \int_0^1 |(|D_\nu|^2\sqrt{x}K_\nu(\tau x))|^2\,dx \leq C\tau^2 \tag{B.1}$$

for $\tau > 0$. Combining (B.1) with Lemma 4.2, and integration by parts shows that

$$\int_0^1 |(\partial_\nu\sqrt{x}K_\nu(\tau x))|^2\,dx \leq C. \tag{B.2}$$

By Laplace's method, integrals of $\sqrt{x}I_\nu(\tau x)$ may be evaluated as well. In particular,

$$\int_0^1 |\sqrt{x}I_\nu(\tau x)|^2 \sim \frac{1}{4\pi}\tau^{-2}e^{2\tau}, \quad \int_0^1 |(\partial_\nu\sqrt{x}I_\nu(\tau x))|^2 \sim \frac{1}{4\pi}e^{2\tau}, \tag{B.3}$$

$$\int_0^1 |(|D_\nu|^2\sqrt{x}I_\nu(\tau x))|^2 \sim \frac{1}{4\pi}\tau^2e^{2\tau}. \tag{B.4}$$

as $\tau \to \infty$. The following construction of a Poisson operator is a refinement of Lemma A.3.

Lemma B.1. *Let $0 < \nu < 1$. There exists a map $\mathcal{K}_0 : C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ such that*

$$(\gamma_- \circ \mathcal{K}_0)\phi = \phi, \quad (\mathcal{K}_0\phi)(1,\cdot) = 0, \quad (\Delta_\nu + 1)\mathcal{K}_0\phi = 0$$

for each $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$. The map $\mathcal{K}_0$ extends by continuity

$$\mathcal{K}_0 : H^{s-1+\nu}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$$

for each $s = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$. *Similarly, for each* $\nu > 0$ *there exists a map* $\mathcal{K}_1 : C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ *such that*

$$(\gamma_- \circ \mathcal{K}_1)\phi = 0, \quad (\mathcal{K}_1\phi)(1,\cdot) = \phi, \quad (\Delta_\nu + 1)\mathcal{K}_1\phi = 0,$$

and $\mathcal{K}_1$ *extends by continuity*

$$\mathcal{K}_1 : H^{s-1/2}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$$

for $s = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$.

Proof. Only $\mathcal{K}_1$ is constructed in detail; the construction of $\mathcal{K}_0$ is similar but somewhat simpler. For each $q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, consider the function

$$v(x,q) = \Gamma(1-\nu)\left(\frac{\langle q\rangle}{2}\right)^\nu \frac{\sqrt{x}K_\nu(\langle q\rangle\, x)I_\nu(\langle q\rangle) - \sqrt{x}I_\nu(\langle q\rangle\, x)K_\nu(\langle q\rangle)}{\frac{\pi}{2\sin\pi\nu}I_\nu(\langle q\rangle) - K_\nu(\langle q\rangle)}.$$

Note that $v(q) \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ by asymptotics of Bessel functions. Furthermore,

$$(|D_\nu|^2 + \langle q\rangle^2)v(x,q) = 0,$$

and $v(1,q) = 0, \gamma_- v(q) = 1$.

Given $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, let

$$\hat{u}(x,q) = \hat{f}(q)v(x,q).$$

According to (B.1), (B.2), (B.3), (B.4), the map sending f to the function u with Fourier coefficients $\hat{u}(q)$ is bounded $H^{s-1+\nu}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{T}^n_+)$ for $s = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$.

□

Recall that $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ is a Hilbert space, equipped with the scalar product

$$\langle u, v\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)} = \langle D_\nu u, D_\nu v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\langle D_{y_i}u, D_{y_i}v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp} + \langle u, v\rangle_{\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp}.$$

Suppose that $0 < \nu < 1$. Lemma B.1 implies that $\langle \mathcal{K}_0\phi_0 + \mathcal{K}_1\phi_1, v\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)} = 0$ for all $\phi_0, \phi_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ and $v \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$. By continuity this holds true for $\phi_0 \in H^\nu(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$, $\phi_1 \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ and $v \in \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$. Consider the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) = \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \oplus \mathcal{X},$$

where $\mathcal{X}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ in $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$. Let γ_1 denote the restriction to $\{x = 1\}$. Lemma B.1 shows that the map

$$\Gamma : u \mapsto (\gamma_- u, \gamma_1 u)$$

is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{X}$ onto $H^\nu(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \times H^{1/2}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$. The inverse of Γ is given by $\mathcal{K}_0 + \mathcal{K}_1 : (\phi_0, \phi_1) \mapsto \mathcal{K}_0\phi_0 + \mathcal{K}_1\phi_1$.

A similar discussion applies if $\nu \geq 1$. In that case, $\gamma_1 : \mathcal{X} \to H^{1/2}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ is an isomorphism, with inverse $\mathcal{K}_1$.

Lemma B.2. *If $\nu > 0$, then the embeddings*

$$\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp), \quad \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$$

are compact.

Proof. (1) First suppose that $0 < \nu < 1$. Write the orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) = \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \oplus \mathcal{X}$. The inclusion of $\mathcal{X}$ into $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ can be factored as

$$\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} H^\nu(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \times H^{1/2}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \hookrightarrow H^{-1+\nu}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \times H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}_0+\mathcal{K}_1} L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp), \tag{B.5}$$

noting that $\mathcal{K}_0 + \mathcal{K}_1 : H^{-1+\nu}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \times H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \to L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ is an extension by continuity of the same map acting $H^\nu(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \times H^{1/2}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \to \mathcal{X}$. This is compact since the inclusion of $H^\nu(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \times H^{1/2}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ into $H^{-1+\nu}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \times H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ is compact.

The space $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ may be identified with a closed subspace H of $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)^{n+1} \times \mathcal{H}^1_*(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ via the mapping

$$u \mapsto (u, \partial_{y_1} u, \ldots, \partial_{y_{n-1}} u, \partial_\nu u).$$

With this in mind, the embedding $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ is identified with the embedding

$$\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)^{n+1} \times \mathcal{H}^1_*(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)^{n+2}, \tag{B.6}$$

restricted to H. But the inclusion $\mathcal{H}^1_*(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ is compact by the first part as well (since $0 < 1 - \nu < 1$), so (B.6) is compact.

(2) Now suppose that $\nu \geq 1$. The same argument as in the first part shows that $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ is compact. Next, by the same reductions as above, it suffices to consider the inclusion $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \cap \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \hookrightarrow \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$. As in Section 4.5, let L denote the self-adjoint operator with distributional action Δ_ν and form domain $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$. Now the embedding of $D((L+1)^{1/2}) = \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$ into $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ is compact, hence so is each embedding $D((L+1)^N) \hookrightarrow D((L+1)^n)$ for $N > n$. But as in Lemma A.5, the domain $D(L) = D(L+1)$ (with the graph norm) is equivalent to $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \cap \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ (with its usual norm). □

Let L denote the self-adjoint operator with distributional action given by Δ_ν and form domain $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ (see Section 4.5). Lemma B.2 and Lax–Milgram guarantee that this operator has discrete spectrum. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors are well known. The eigenvalues are $|q|^2 + j_{\nu,n}^2 + 1$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ and $j_{\nu,n}$ is the n'th positive root of the Bessel function J_ν. The corresponding eigenfunction is

$$\sqrt{x} J_\nu(j_{\nu,n} x) \otimes e^{i\langle q, y\rangle}.$$

The zeros $j_{\nu,n}$ satisfy the asymptotic formula

$$j_{\nu,n} = \left(n + \tfrac{1}{2}\nu - \tfrac{1}{4}\right)\pi + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1})$$

as $n \to \infty$. The eigenvalues of the compact operator $(L+1)^{-1/2}$ are therefore $(1+|q|^2+j_{\nu,n}^2)^{-1/2}$, and if they are listed in descending order $\lambda_1 > \ldots > \lambda_j > \ldots > 0$ (with multiplicity) then

$$\lambda_j \leq Cj^{-1/n}.$$

for some $C > 0$.

Lemma B.3. *If $\nu > 0$, then the singular values of the embedding*

$$J_1 : \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp), \quad J_2 : \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$$

satisfy $s_j(J_i) < Cj^{-1/n}$.

Proof. (1) First suppose that $0 < \nu < 1$. Let Π denote the orthogonal projection onto $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$, so $J_1 = J_1\Pi + J_1(1-\Pi)$. Since $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ is the form domain of L, the operator $(L+1)^{-1/2}$ is an isomorphism acting $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \to \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$. Write

$$J_1\Pi = J_1(L+1)^{-1/2}(L+1)^{1/2}\Pi.$$

The composition $J_1(L+1)^{-1/2}$ is self-adjoint and positive definite on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$, so its singular values are the λ_j which satisy $\lambda_j \leq Cj^{-1/n}$. Furthermore, $(L+1)^{1/2}\Pi$ is bounded $\mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \to L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$, so the inequality $s_j(AB) \leq s_j(A)\|B\|$ shows that $s_j(J_1\Pi) \leq Cj^{-1/n}$. On the other hand, the term $J_1(1-\Pi)$ factors through the map in (B.5). It is well known that the inclusion

$$H^\nu(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \times H^{1/2}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \hookrightarrow H^{\nu-1}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1}) \times H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{T}^{n-1})$$

has singular values bounded by $Cj^{-1/(n-1)}$. The inequality $s_{i+j-1}(A+B) \leq s_i(A) + s_j(B)$ applied to the sum $J_1 = J_1\Pi + J_1(1-\Pi)$ shows that $s_j(J_1) \leq Cj^{-1/n}$. The same method of proof applies when $\nu \geq 1$

(2) Now consider J_2. In the case $0 < \nu < 1$, the space $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ is identified with the closed subspace $H \subseteq \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)^{n+1} \times \mathcal{H}^1_*(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$ as in the proof of Lemma B.2. Since the singular values of the embedding $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)^{n+1} \times \mathcal{H}^1_*(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)^{n+2}$ are bounded by $Cj^{-1/n}$, the same is true of the embedding $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$. For $\nu \geq 1$ it would also suffice to bound the singular values of $J_2' : \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \cap \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp) \hookrightarrow \mathring{\mathcal{H}}^1(\mathbb{T}^n_\sharp)$. But $J_2' = J_2'(L+1)^{-1/2}(L+1)^{1/2}$, and the singular values of $J_2'(L+1)^{-1/2}$ are again the $\lambda_j \leq Cj^{-1/n}$. □

Lemma B.3 easily extends to the case of a compact manifold with boundary.

Lemma B.4. *Let $\overline{X}$ be a compact manifold with boundary. If $\nu > 0$, then the embeddings*

$$J_1 : \mathcal{H}^1(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0(X), \quad J_2 : \mathcal{H}^2(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1(X)$$

are compact, and the singular values of J_i satisfy $s_j(J_i) \leq Cj^{-1/n}$.

References

[1] Shmuel Agmon. On the eigenfunctions and on the eigenvalues of general elliptic boundary value problems. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 15(2):119–147, 1962.

[2] M.T. Anderson. On the structure os asymptotically de sitter and anti-de sitter spaces. *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, 8(5):861–893, 2004.

[3] S. J. Avis, C. J. Isham, and D. Storey. Quantum field theory in anti-de sitter space-time. *Phys. Rev. D*, 18:3565–3576, Nov 1978.

[4] A. Bachelot. The klein–gordon equation in the anti-de Sitter cosmology. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 96(6):527–554, 2011.

[5] V. Balasubramanian, A. Buchel, S.R. Green, L. Lehner, and S.L. Liebling. Holographic thermalization, stability of anti–de Sitter space, and the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam paradox. *Physical Review Letters*, 113(7):071601, 2014.

[6] M. Berkooz, A. Sever, and A. Shomer. 'Double trace' deformations, boundary conditions and space-time singularities. *JHEP*, 0205:034, 2002.

[7] P. Bizoń. Is AdS stable? *Gen.Rel.Grav.*, 46(5):1724, 2014.

[8] P. Bizoń, M. Maliborski, and A. Rostworowski. Resonant dynamics and the instability of anti–de sitter spacetime. *Physical review letters*, 115(8):081103, 2015.

[9] P. Bizoń and A. Rostworowski. Weakly turbulent instability of anti de sitter spacetime. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 107:031102, Jul 2011.

[10] P. Breitenlohner and D.Z. Freedman. Positive Energy in anti-De Sitter Backgrounds and Gauged Extended Supergravity. *Phys. Lett.*, B115:197, 1982.

[11] P. Breitenlohner and D.Z Freedman. Stability in gauged extended supergravity. *Annals of Physics*, 144(2):249 – 281, 1982.

[12] F.E. Browder. On the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the general linear elliptic differential operator. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 39(5):433, 1953.

[13] Alex Buchel, Stephen R Green, Luis Lehner, and Steven L Liebling. Conserved quantities and dual turbulent cascades in anti–de sitter spacetime. *Physical Review D*, 91(6):064026, 2015.

[14] V Cardoso, Ó.J.C. Dias, G.S. Hartnett, L. Lehner, and J.E. Santos. Holographic thermalization, quasinormal modes and superradiance in kerr-ads. *JHEP*, 1404:183, 2014.

[15] M. del Mar Gonzalez and J. Qing. Fractional conformal Laplacians and fractional Yamabe problems. December 2010.

[16] Ó.J.C. Dias, G.T. Horowitz, D. Marolf, and J.E. Santos. On the nonlinear stability of asymptotically anti-de sitter solutions. *Class.Quant.Grav.*, 29:235019, 2012.

[17] Ó.J.C Dias, G.T. Horowitz, and J.E. Santos. Gravitational turbulent instability of anti-de sitter space. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 29(19):194002, 2012.

[18] Ó.J.C. Dias and J.E. Santos. Boundary conditions for kerr-ads perturbations. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2013(10), 2013.

[19] M. Dimassi and J. Sjostrand. *Spectral Asymptotics in the Semi-Classical Limit*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 1999.

[20] N. Dunford and J. Schwartz. *Linear operators. Part 2: Spectral theory. Self adjoint operators in Hilbert space*. Interscience Publishers, 1963.

[21] A. Enciso and N. Kamran. A singular initial-boundary value problem for nonlinear wave equations and holography in asymptotically anti-de Sitter spaces. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2013.

[22] A. Erdélyi. Singularities of generalized axially symmetric potentials. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 9(3):403–414, 1956.

[23] L.C. Evans. *Partial Differential Equations*. Graduate studies in mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2010.

[24] O. Gannot. A global definition of quasinormal modes for Kerr-AdS Black Holes.

[25] R.P. Gilbert. Some properties of generalized axially symmetric potentials. *American Journal of Mathematics*, pages 475–484, 1962.

[26] C.R. Graham and J.M. Lee. Einstein metrics with prescribed conformal infinity on the ball. *Advances in Mathematics*, 87(2):186 – 225, 1991.

[27] P. Grisvard. Espaces intermédiaires entre espaces de sobolev avec poids. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze*, 17(3):255–296, 1963.

[28] C. Guillarmou. Meromorphic properties of the resolvent on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 129(1):1–37, 07 2005.

[29] G. Holzegel. Well-posedness for the massive wave equation on asymptotically anti-de sitter spacetimes. *Journal of Hyperbolic Differential Equations*, 09(02):239–261, June 2012.

[30] G. Holzegel, J. Luk, J. Smulevici, and C. Warnick. Asymptotic properties of linear field equations in anti-de Sitter space. 2015.

[31] G. Holzegel and J. Smulevici. Stability of schwarzschild-ads for the spherically symmetric einstein-klein-gordon system. *Commun.Math.Phys.*, 317:205–251, 2013.

[32] G.H. Holzegel and C.M. Warnick. Boundedness and growth for the massive wave equation on asymptotically anti-de Sitter black holes. 2012.

[33] G.T. Horowitz and V.E. Hubeny. Quasinormal modes of ads black holes and the approach to thermal equilibrium. *Phys. Rev. D*, 62:024027, Jun 2000.

[34] A. Huber. On the uniqueness of generalized axially symmetric potentials. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 351–358, 1954.

[35] A. Ishibashi and R.M. Wald. Dynamics in nonglobally hyperbolic static space-times. 3. Anti-de Sitter space-time. *Class.Quant.Grav.*, 21:2981–3014, 2004.

[36] V.V. Katrakhov. General boundary values problems for a class of singular and degenerate elliptic equations. *Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik*, 40(3):325, 1981.

[37] V.V. Katrakhov and L.N. Lyakhov. Full fourier-bessel transform and the algebra of singular pseudodifferential operators. *Differential Equations*, 47(5):681–695, 2011.

[38] MV Keldyš. On the characteristic values and characteristic functions of certain classes of non-self-adjoint equations. In *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (NS)*, volume 77, pages 11–14, 1951.

[39] I. A. Kipriyanov. *Singulyarnye ellipticheskie kraevye zadachi*. Fizmatlit "Nauka", Moscow, 1997.

[40] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko. Quasinormal modes of black holes: From astrophysics to string theory. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 83:793–836, Jul 2011.

[41] V.A. Kozlov, V.G. Mazia, and J. Rossmann. *Elliptic Boundary Value Problems in Domains with Point Singularities*. Fields Institute Monographs. American Mathematical Society, 1997.

[42] J.-L. Lions. Sur les espaces d'interpolation; dualit'e. *Mathematica Scandinavica*, 9:147–177, 1961.

[43] J.L. Lions, P. Kenneth, and E. Magenes. *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications*. Number v. 1 in Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1968.

[44] A.S. Markus. *Introduction to the Spectral Theory of Polynomial Operator Pencils*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs. American Mathematical Society, 2012.

[45] R. Mazzeo. Elliptic theory of differential edge operators i. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 16(10):1615–1664, 1991.

[46] R. Mazzeo and B. Vertman. Elliptic theory of differential edge operators, II: boundary value problems. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2013.

[47] Rafe Mazzeo, Frank Pacard, et al. Constant curvature foliations in asymptotically hyperbolic spaces. *Revista Matematica Iberoamericana*, 27(1):303–333, 2011.

[48] R.R. Mazzeo and R.B. Melrose. Meromorphic extension of the resolvent on complete spaces with asymptotically constant negative curvature. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 75(2):260 – 310, 1987.

[49] F.W.J. Olver. *Introduction to Asymptotics and Special Functions*. Elsevier Science, 2014.

[50] Y. Roitberg. *Elliptic Boundary Value Problems in the Spaces of Distributions*. Mathematics and Its Applications. Springer Netherlands, 1996.

[51] M. Schechter. Remarks on elliptic boundary value problems. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 12(4):561–578, 1959.

[52] A. Shubin. *Pseudodifferential Operators and Spectral Theory*. Pseudodifferential Operators and Spectral Theory. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2001.

[53] A. Vasy. The wave equation on asymptotically anti de Sitter spaces. *Anal. PDE*, 5(1):81–144, 2012.

[54] A. Vasy. Microlocal analysis of asymptotically hyperbolic and kerr-de sitter spaces (with an appendix by semyon dyatlov). *Inventiones mathematicae*, 194(2):381–513, 2013.

[55] András Vasy. The wave equation on asymptotically de sitter-like spaces. *Advances in Mathematics*, 223(1):49–97, 2010.

[56] C. M. Warnick. The Massive Wave Equation in Asymptotically AdS Spacetimes. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 321(1):85–111, May 2013.

[57] C.M. Warnick. On quasinormal modes of asymptotically anti-de sitter black holes. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 333(2):959–1035, 2015.

[58] A. Weinstein. Generalized axially symmetric potential theory. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 59(1):20–38, 1953.

[59] E. Winstanley. On classical superradiance in Kerr-Newman - anti-de Sitter black holes. *Phys.Rev.*, D64:104010, 2001.

[60] E. Witten. Multitrace operators, boundary conditions, and ads / cft correspondence. 2001.

[61] J. Wittsten. Generalized axially symmetric potentials with distributional boundary values. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2014.

[62] S. Yakubov. *Completeness of Root Functions of Regular Differential Operators*. Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Taylor & Francis, 1993.

[63] A.H. Zemanian. A distributional hankel transformation. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 14(3):561–576, 1966.

[64] A. Zettl. *Sturm-Liouville Theory*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, 2010.

[65] M. Zworski. *Semiclassical Analysis*. Graduate studies in mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2012.

E-mail address: `ogannot@math.berkeley.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Evans Hall, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA